

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2021

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number **1-16811**



United States Steel Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

25-1897152

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

600 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, PA

(Address of principal executive offices)

15219-2800

(Zip Code)

(412) 433-1121

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading Symbol	Name of each exchange on which registered
United States Steel Corporation Common Stock	X	New York Stock Exchange
United States Steel Corporation Common Stock	X	Chicago Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company", and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Common stock outstanding at October 25, 2021 – 270,232,763 shares

INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements:	
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations (Unaudited)	1
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited)	2
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (Unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	4
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	5
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	41
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	41
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	41
Item 1A. Risk Factors	46
Item 2. Purchases of Equity Securities by Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers	46
Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities	46
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure	46
Item 5. Other Information	46
Item 6. Exhibits	47
SIGNATURE	48
WEB SITE POSTING	48

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains information that may constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend the forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements in those sections. Generally, we have identified such forward-looking statements by using the words “believe,” “expect,” “intend,” “estimate,” “anticipate,” “project,” “target,” “forecast,” “aim,” “should,” “will,” “may” and similar expressions or by using future dates in connection with any discussion of, among other things, the construction or operation of new or existing facilities, operating performance, trends, events or developments that we expect or anticipate will occur in the future, statements relating to volume changes, share of sales and earnings per share changes, anticipated cost savings, potential capital and operational cash improvements, anticipated disruptions to our operations and industry due to the COVID-19 pandemic, changes in global supply and demand conditions and prices for our products, international trade duties and other aspects of international trade policy, the integration of Big River Steel in our existing business, business strategies related to the combined business and statements expressing general views about future operating results. However, the absence of these words or similar expressions does not mean that a statement is not forward-looking. Forward-looking statements are not historical facts, but instead represent only the Company’s beliefs regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside of the Company’s control. It is possible that the Company’s actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in these forward-looking statements. Management believes that these forward-looking statements are reasonable as of the time made. However, caution should be taken not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements because such statements speak only as of the date when made. Our Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. In addition, forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from our Company’s historical experience and our present expectations or projections. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described in this report and in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020 and those described from time to time in our future reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

References in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to (i) “U. S. Steel,” “the Company,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to United States Steel Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries unless otherwise indicated by the context, and (ii) “Big River Steel” refer to Big River Steel Holdings LLC and its direct and indirect subsidiaries unless otherwise indicated by the context.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
Net sales:				
Net sales	\$ 5,623	\$ 2,072	\$ 13,676	\$ 6,469
Net sales to related parties (Note 19)	341	268	977	710
Total (Note 6)	5,964	2,340	14,653	7,179
Operating expenses (income):				
Cost of sales	3,881	2,295	10,633	7,174
Selling, general and administrative expenses	108	65	316	199
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	196	162	587	481
(Earnings) loss from investees	(57)	31	(106)	78
Gain on sale of Transtar (Note 5)	(506)	—	(506)	—
Asset impairment charges (Note 1)	—	—	28	263
Gain on equity investee transactions (Note 5)	—	—	(111)	(31)
Restructuring and other charges (Note 20)	—	—	37	130
Net loss (gain) on sale of assets	7	(2)	(8)	(2)
Other (gains) losses, net	(7)	—	(18)	5
Total	3,622	2,551	10,852	8,297
Earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes	2,342	(211)	3,801	(1,118)
Interest expense	75	84	251	198
Interest income	(1)	(1)	(3)	(6)
Loss on debt extinguishment	26	—	282	—
Other financial costs (benefits)	17	(30)	39	(26)
Net periodic benefit income	(37)	(6)	(97)	(22)
Net interest and other financial costs	80	47	472	144
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	2,262	(258)	3,329	(1,262)
Income tax expense (benefit) (Note 12)	260	(24)	224	(48)
Net earnings (loss)	2,002	(234)	3,105	(1,214)
Less: Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—
Net earnings (loss) attributable to United States Steel Corporation	\$ 2,002	\$ (234)	\$ 3,105	\$ (1,214)
Earnings (loss) per common share (Note 13):				
Earnings (loss) per share attributable to United States Steel Corporation stockholders:				
-Basic	\$ 7.41	\$ (1.06)	\$ 11.80	\$ (6.43)
-Diluted	\$ 6.97	\$ (1.06)	\$ 11.13	\$ (6.43)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 2,002	\$ (234)	\$ 3,105	\$ (1,214)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Changes in foreign currency translation adjustments	(26)	34	(50)	31
Changes in pension and other employee benefit accounts	15	16	244	97
Changes in derivative financial instruments	60	8	9	18
Total other comprehensive income, net of tax	49	58	203	146
Comprehensive income (loss) including noncontrolling interest	2,051	(176)	3,308	(1,068)
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to United States Steel Corporation	\$ 2,051	\$ (176)	\$ 3,308	\$ (1,068)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2021	December 31, 2020
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 7)	\$ 2,044	\$ 1,985
Receivables, less allowance of \$43 and \$34	2,275	914
Receivables from related parties (Note 19)	128	80
Inventories (Note 8)	2,086	1,402
Other current assets	266	51
Total current assets	6,799	4,432
Long-term restricted cash (Note 7)	91	130
Operating lease assets	198	214
Property, plant and equipment	19,880	17,704
Less accumulated depreciation and depletion	12,500	12,260
Total property, plant and equipment, net	7,380	5,444
Investments and long-term receivables, less allowance of \$4 and \$5	628	1,177
Intangibles, net (Note 9)	527	129
Deferred income tax benefits (Note 12)	46	22
Goodwill (Note 9)	909	4
Other noncurrent assets	755	507
Total assets	\$ 17,333	\$ 12,059
Liabilities		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	\$ 2,870	\$ 1,779
Accounts payable to related parties (Note 19)	130	105
Payroll and benefits payable	542	308
Accrued taxes	315	154
Accrued interest	52	59
Current operating lease liabilities	60	59
Short-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt (Note 15)	61	192
Total current liabilities	4,030	2,656
Noncurrent operating lease liabilities	148	163
Long-term debt, less unamortized discount and debt issuance costs (Note 15)	4,272	4,695
Employee benefits	202	322
Deferred income tax liabilities (Note 12)	200	11
Deferred credits and other noncurrent liabilities	473	333
Total liabilities	9,325	8,180
Contingencies and commitments (Note 21)		
Stockholders' Equity (Note 17):		
Common stock (279,350,782 and 229,105,589 shares issued) (Note 13)	279	229
Treasury stock, at cost (9,133,393 shares and 8,673,131 shares)	(184)	(175)
Additional paid-in capital	5,185	4,402
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	2,480	(623)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (Note 18)	156	(47)
Total United States Steel Corporation stockholders' equity	7,916	3,786
Noncontrolling interests	92	93
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 17,333	\$ 12,059

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2021	2020
Increase (decrease) in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash		
Operating activities:		
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 3,105	\$ (1,214)
Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	587	481
Gain on sale of Transtar (Note 5)	(506)	—
Asset impairment charges (Note 1)	28	263
Gain on equity investee transactions	(111)	(31)
Restructuring and other charges (Note 20)	37	130
Loss on debt extinguishment	282	—
Pensions and other postretirement benefits	(88)	(18)
Deferred income taxes (Note 12)	59	(36)
Net gain on sale of assets	(8)	(2)
Equity investee (earnings) loss, net of distributions received	(106)	78
Changes in:		
Current receivables	(1,281)	(18)
Inventories	(539)	495
Current accounts payable and accrued expenses	968	(267)
Income taxes receivable/payable	137	13
All other, net	41	(23)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>2,605</u>	<u>(149)</u>
Investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(460)	(591)
Acquisition of Big River Steel, net of cash acquired (Note 5)	(625)	—
Investment in Big River Steel	—	(3)
Proceeds from sale of Transtar (Note 5)	627	—
Proceeds from sale of assets	25	3
Proceeds from sale of ownership interests in equity investees	—	8
Other investing activities	(3)	(4)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(436)</u>	<u>(587)</u>
Financing activities:		
Issuance of short-term debt, net of financing costs (Note 15)	—	240
Repayment of short-term debt (Note 15)	(180)	—
Revolving credit facilities - borrowings, net of financing costs (Note 15)	50	1,474
Revolving credit facilities - repayments (Note 15)	(911)	(1,633)
Issuance of long-term debt, net of financing costs (Note 15)	862	1,043
Repayment of long-term debt (Note 15)	(2,719)	(8)
Proceeds from public offering of common stock (Note 22)	790	410
Proceeds from Stelco Option Agreement	—	55
Other financing activities	(12)	(7)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(2,120)</u>	<u>1,574</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(15)	10
Net increase in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	34	848
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of year (Note 7)	2,118	939
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of period (Note 7)	<u>\$ 2,152</u>	<u>\$ 1,787</u>
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Change in accrued capital expenditures	\$ 58	\$ (109)
U. S. Steel common stock issued for employee/non-employee director stock plans	28	18
Capital expenditures funded by finance lease borrowings	11	30
Export Credit Agreement (ECA) financing	23	34

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

The year-end Consolidated Balance Sheet data was derived from audited statements but does not include all disclosures required for complete financial statements by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). The other information in these condensed financial statements is unaudited but, in the opinion of management, reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods covered, including assessment of certain accounting matters using all available information including consideration of forecasted financial information in context with other information reasonably available to us. However, our future assessment of our current expectations, including consideration of the unknown future impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, could result in material impacts to our consolidated financial statements in future reporting periods. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless disclosed otherwise. These condensed financial statements, including notes, have been prepared in accordance with the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and do not include all of the information and disclosures required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. Additional information is contained in the United States Steel Corporation Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020, which should be read in conjunction with these condensed financial statements.

Asset Impairment

In May 2019, U. S. Steel announced that it planned to construct a new endless casting and rolling facility at its Edgar Thomson Plant in Braddock, Pennsylvania, and a cogeneration facility at its Clairton Plant in Clairton, Pennsylvania, both part of the Company's Mon Valley Works. The Company purchased certain equipment for this project before delaying groundbreaking in March 2020 in response to COVID-19. In April 2021, the Company determined not to pursue this project and is re-evaluating uses for the already purchased equipment, including at the Company's recently announced potential mini mill investment. An impairment of \$28 million was recognized for this project during the three-month period ended June 30, 2021.

2. New Accounting Standards

In August 2020, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update 2020-06, *Accounting for Convertible Instruments and Contracts in an Entity's Own Equity* (ASU 2020-06). ASU 2020-06 simplifies the accounting for certain financial instruments with characteristics of liabilities and equity, including convertible instruments and contracts on an entity's own equity. ASU 2020-06 requires entities to provide expanded disclosures about the terms and features of convertible instruments and amends certain guidance in ASC 260 on the computation of EPS for convertible instruments and contracts on an entity's own equity. ASU 2020-06 is effective for public companies for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2021, and interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption of all amendments in the same period permitted. The Company is continuing to assess the impact of adoption of the ASU.

3. Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In December 2019, the FASB issued ASU 2019-12, *Income Taxes (Topic 740) - Simplifying the Accounting for Income Taxes* (ASU 2019-12). ASU 2019-12 simplifies accounting for income taxes by removing certain exceptions from the general principles in Topic 740 including elimination of the exception to the incremental approach for intraperiod tax allocation when there is a loss from continuing operations and income or a gain from other items such as other comprehensive income. U. S. Steel adopted this guidance on January 1, 2021. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

4. Segment Information

U. S. Steel has four reportable segments: North American Flat-Rolled (Flat-Rolled), Mini Mill, U. S. Steel Europe (USSE); and Tubular Products (Tubular). The Mini Mill segment reflects the acquisition of Big River Steel after the purchase of the remaining equity interest on January 15, 2021. See Note 5 for further details. Prior to the purchase, the equity earnings of Big River Steel were included in the Other segment. The Tubular Products segment includes the newly constructed electric arc furnace at our Fairfield Tubular Operations in Fairfield, Alabama. The results of our real estate business, the previously held equity method investment in Big River Steel, and of our former railroad business are combined and disclosed in the Other category.

The results of segment operations for the three months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020 are:

(In millions) Three Months Ended September 30, 2021	Customer Sales	Intersegment Sales	Net Sales	Earnings (loss) from investees	Earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes
Flat-Rolled	\$ 3,541	\$ 36	\$ 3,577	\$ 53	\$ 1,015
Mini Mill	949	156	1,105	—	424
USSE	1,246	2	1,248	—	394
Tubular	216	6	222	4	—
Total reportable segments	5,952	200	6,152	57	1,833
Other	12	9	21	—	(2)
Reconciling Items and Eliminations	—	(209)	(209)	—	511
Total	\$ 5,964	\$ —	\$ 5,964	\$ 57	\$ 2,342
Three Months Ended September 30, 2020					
Flat-Rolled	\$ 1,728	\$ 71	\$ 1,799	\$ (3)	\$ (159)
USSE	495	1	496	—	13
Tubular	96	—	96	—	(52)
Total reportable segments	2,319	72	2,391	(3)	(198)
Other	21	23	44	(28)	(13)
Reconciling Items and Eliminations	—	(95)	(95)	—	—
Total	\$ 2,340	\$ —	\$ 2,340	\$ (31)	\$ (211)

The results of segment operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020 are:

(In millions) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2021	Customer Sales	Intersegment Sales	Net Sales	Earnings (Loss) from investees	Earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes
Flat-Rolled	\$ 8,804	\$ 142	\$ 8,946	\$ 90	\$ 1,740
Mini Mill	2,158	360	2,518	—	840
USSE	3,122	4	3,126	—	706
Tubular	534	13	547	10	(29)
Total reportable segments	14,618	519	15,137	100	3,257
Other	35	65	100	6	20
Reconciling Items and Eliminations	—	(584)	(584)	—	524
Total	\$ 14,653	\$ —	\$ 14,653	\$ 106	\$ 3,801
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2020					
Flat-Rolled	\$ 5,199	\$ 175	\$ 5,374	\$ (15)	\$ (523)
USSE	1,403	3	1,406	—	(27)
Tubular	533	6	539	3	(147)
Total reportable segments	7,135	184	7,319	(12)	(697)
Other	44	70	114	(66)	(33)
Reconciling Items and Eliminations	—	(254)	(254)	—	(388)
Total	\$ 7,179	\$ —	\$ 7,179	\$ (78)	\$ (1,118)

A summary of total assets by segment is as follows:

(In millions)	September 30, 2021	December 31, 2020
Flat-Rolled	\$ 7,846	\$ 7,099
Mini Mill	4,314	—
USSE	6,116	5,502
Tubular	1,041	887
Total reportable segments	\$ 19,317	\$ 13,488
Other	\$ 135	\$ 911
Corporate, reconciling items, and eliminations ^(a)	(2,119)	(2,340)
Total assets	\$ 17,333	\$ 12,059

^(a) The majority of Corporate, reconciling items, and eliminations total assets is comprised of cash and the elimination of intersegment amounts.

The following is a schedule of reconciling items to consolidated earnings before interest and income taxes:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
Items not allocated to segments:				
Gain on previously held investment in Big River Steel	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 111	\$ —
Big River Steel - inventory step-up amortization	—	—	(24)	—
Big River Steel - unrealized gains (losses) ^(a)	12	—	(3)	—
Big River Steel - acquisition costs	—	—	(9)	—
Gain on sale of Transtar (Note 5)	506	—	506	—
Loss on USSE assets held for sale	(7)	—	(7)	—
Restructuring and other charges (Note 20)	—	—	(37)	(130)
Asset impairment charges (Note 1)	—	—	(28)	(263)
Property Sale	—	—	15	—
Gain on previously held investment in UPI	—	—	—	25
Tubular inventory impairment charge	—	—	—	(24)
December 24, 2018 Clairton coke making facility fire	—	—	—	4
Total reconciling items	\$ 511	\$ —	\$ 524	\$ (388)

^(a) Big River Steel – unrealized losses represent the post-acquisition mark-to-market impacts of hedging instruments acquired with the purchase of the remaining equity interest in Big River Steel on January 15, 2021. See Note 14 for further details.

5. Acquisitions and Disposition

Transtar Disposition

On July 28, 2021, U. S. Steel completed the sale of 100 percent of its equity interests in its wholly-owned short-line railroad, Transtar, LLC (Transtar) to an affiliate of Fortress Transportation and Infrastructure Investors, LLC. The Company received net cash proceeds of \$627 million, subject to certain customary adjustments as set forth in the Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, and recognized a pretax gain of approximately \$506 million in the third quarter 2021. In connection with the closing of the transaction, the Company entered into certain ancillary agreements including a railway services agreement, providing for continued rail services for its Gary and Mon Valley Works facilities, and a transition services agreement. Because Transtar does not represent a significant component of U. S. Steel's business and does not constitute a reportable business segment, its results through the date of disposition are reported in the Other category. See Note 4 for further details.

Big River Steel Acquisition

On January 15, 2021, U. S. Steel purchased the remaining equity interest in Big River Steel for approximately \$625 million in cash net of \$36 million and \$62 million in cash and restricted cash received, respectively, and the assumption of liabilities of approximately \$50 million. There were acquisition related costs of approximately \$9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2021.

Prior to the closing of the acquisition on January 15, 2021, U. S. Steel accounted for its 49.9% equity interest in Big River Steel under the equity method as control and risk of loss were shared among the partnership members. Using step acquisition accounting the Company increased the value of its previously held equity investment to its fair value of \$770 million which resulted in a gain of approximately \$111 million. The gain was recorded in gain on equity investee transactions in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The acquisition has been accounted for in accordance with ASC 805, *Business combinations*. There were step-ups to fair value of approximately \$308 million, \$194 million and \$24 million for property, plant and equipment, debt and inventory, respectively. An intangible asset for customer relationships and goodwill of approximately \$413 million and \$905 million were also recorded, respectively. Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over the fair market value of the net assets. Goodwill is primarily attributable to Big River Steel's operational abilities, workforce and the anticipated benefits from their recent expansion and will be partially tax deductible. The inventory step-up was fully amortized as of March 31, 2021, the intangible asset will be amortized over a 22-year period and the debt step-up will be amortized over the contractual life of the underlying debt. See Note 15 for further details.

The value of Big River Steel was determined using Level 3 valuation techniques. Level 3 valuation techniques include inputs to the valuation methodology that are considered unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. A significant factor in determining the equity value was the discounted forecasted cash flows of Big River Steel. Forecasted cash flows are primarily impacted by the forecasted market price of steel and metallic inputs as well as the expected timing of significant capital expenditures. The model utilized a risk adjusted discount rate of 11.0% and a terminal growth rate of 2%.

The following table presents the preliminary allocation of the aggregate purchase price based on estimated fair values:

	(in millions)
Assets Acquired:	
Receivables	\$ 166
Receivables with U. S. Steel ⁽¹⁾	99
Inventories	184
Other current assets	16
Property, plant and equipment	2,188
Intangibles	413
Goodwill	905
Other noncurrent assets	19
Total Assets Acquired	\$ 3,990
Liabilities Assumed:	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 224
Payroll and benefits payable	27
Accrued taxes	9
Accrued interest	33
Short-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt	29
Long-term debt	1,997
Deferred income tax liabilities	44
Deferred credits and other long-term liabilities	182
Total Liabilities Assumed	\$ 2,545
Fair value of previously held investment in Big River Steel	\$ 770
Purchase price, including assumed liabilities and net of cash acquired	675
Difference in assets acquired and liabilities assumed	\$ 1,445

⁽¹⁾ The transaction to purchase Big River Steel included receivables for payments made by Big River Steel on behalf of U. S. Steel for retention bonuses of \$22 million that impacted the previously held equity investment and for U. S. Steel liabilities assumed in the purchase of approximately \$50 million. In addition, there were assumed receivables of approximately \$27 million for steel substrate sales from Big River Steel to U. S. Steel. The receivables with U. S. Steel eliminate in consolidation with offsetting intercompany payables from U. S. Steel.

U. S. Steel is continuing to conform accounting policies and procedures and evaluate assets and liabilities assumed. During the one-year measurement period, we will continue to obtain information to assist in finalizing the fair value of

assets acquired and liabilities assumed, which may differ materially from these preliminary estimates. The final purchase price allocation may include changes in allocations to intangible assets, such as customer relationships, as well as goodwill, changes to the fair value of long-term debt and other changes to assets and liabilities. We will apply any material adjustments in the reporting period in which the adjustments are determined.

The following unaudited pro forma information for U. S. Steel includes the results of the Big River Steel acquisition as if it had been consummated on January 1, 2020. The unaudited pro forma information is based on historical information and is adjusted for amortization of the intangible asset, property, plant and equipment and debt fair value step-ups discussed above. Non-recurring acquisition related items included in the 2020 period include \$111 million for the gain on previously held equity investment, \$9 million in acquisition related costs and \$24 million in inventory step-up amortization related to the purchase of the remaining interest in Big River Steel. In addition, costs for non-recurring retention bonuses of \$44 million that occurred in January 2021 prior to the purchase of the remaining equity interest are included in the 2020 period. The pro forma information does not include any anticipated cost savings or other effects of the integration of Big River Steel. Accordingly, the unaudited pro forma information does not necessarily reflect the actual results that would have occurred, nor is it necessarily indicative of future results of operations. Pro forma adjustments were not tax-effected in 2020 as U. S. Steel had a full valuation allowance on its domestic deferred tax assets.

(in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2021	2020
Net sales	\$ 14,725	\$ 7,849
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 3,034	\$ (1,292)

USS-POSCO Industries (UPI) Acquisition

On February 29, 2020, U. S. Steel purchased the remaining equity interest in USS-POSCO Industries (UPI) that it did not already own, now known as USS-UPI, LLC, from its joint venture partner for \$3 million, net of cash received of \$2 million. There was an assumption of accounts payable owed to U. S. Steel for prior sales of steel substrate of \$135 million associated with the purchase that is reflected as a reduction in receivables from related parties on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Using step acquisition accounting the Company increased the value of its previously held equity investment to its fair value of \$5 million which resulted in a gain of approximately \$25 million. The gain was recorded in gain on equity investee transactions in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Receivables of \$44 million, inventories of \$96 million, accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$19 million, current portion of long-term debt of \$55 million and payroll and employee benefits liabilities of \$78 million were recorded with the acquisition. Property, plant and equipment of \$97 million which included a fair value step-up of \$47 million and an intangible asset of \$54 million were also recorded on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The intangible asset, which will be amortized over ten years, arises from a land lease contract, under which a certain portion of payment owed to UPI is realized in the form of deductions from electricity costs.

6. Revenue

Revenue is generated primarily from contracts to produce, ship and deliver steel products, and to a lesser extent, raw materials sales such as iron ore pellets and coke by-products and real estate sales. Generally, U. S. Steel's performance obligations are satisfied and revenue is recognized when title transfers to our customer for product shipped or services are provided. Revenues are recorded net of any sales incentives. Shipping and other transportation costs charged to customers are treated as fulfillment activities and are recorded in both revenue and cost of sales at the time control is transferred to the customer. Costs related to obtaining sales contracts are incidental and are expensed when incurred. Because customers are invoiced at the time title transfers and U. S. Steel's right to consideration is unconditional at that time, U. S. Steel does not maintain contract asset balances. Additionally, U. S. Steel does not maintain contract liability balances, as performance obligations are satisfied prior to customer payment for product. U. S. Steel offers industry standard payment terms.

The following tables disaggregate our revenue by product for each of the reportable business segments for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively:

Net Sales by Product (In millions):

Three Months Ended September 30, 2021	Flat-Rolled	Mini Mill	USSE	Tubular	Other	Total
Semi-finished	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 35	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 35
Hot-rolled sheets	887	571	657	—	—	2,115
Cold-rolled sheets	1,037	159	145	—	—	1,341
Coated sheets	1,216	219	356	—	—	1,791
Tubular products	—	—	21	217	—	238
All Other ^(a)	401	—	32	(1)	12	444
Total	\$ 3,541	\$ 949	\$ 1,246	\$ 216	\$ 12	\$ 5,964

(a) Consists primarily of sales of raw materials and coke making by-products.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2020	Flat-Rolled	USSE	Tubular	Other	Total
Semi-finished	\$ 5	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5
Hot-rolled sheets	239	193	—	—	432
Cold-rolled sheets	555	43	—	—	598
Coated sheets	778	233	—	—	1,011
Tubular products	—	11	91	—	102
All Other ^(a)	151	15	5	21	192
Total	\$ 1,728	\$ 495	\$ 96	\$ 21	\$ 2,340

(a) Consists primarily of sales of raw materials and coke making by-products.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2021	Flat-Rolled	Mini Mill	USSE	Tubular	Other	Total
Semi-finished	\$ 12	\$ —	\$ 84	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 96
Hot-rolled sheets	1,990	1,271	1,604	—	—	4,865
Cold-rolled sheets	2,710	365	330	—	—	3,405
Coated sheets	3,114	519	988	—	—	4,621
Tubular products	—	—	45	523	—	568
All Other ^(a)	978	3	71	11	35	1,098
Total	\$ 8,804	\$ 2,158	\$ 3,122	\$ 534	\$ 35	\$ 14,653

(a) Consists primarily of sales of raw materials and coke making by-products.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2020	Flat-Rolled	USSE	Tubular	Other	Total
Semi-finished	\$ 63	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 65
Hot-rolled sheets	980	544	—	—	1,524
Cold-rolled sheets	1,495	114	—	—	1,609
Coated sheets	2,202	664	—	—	2,866
Tubular products	—	31	520	—	551
All Other ^(a)	459	48	13	44	564
Total	\$ 5,199	\$ 1,403	\$ 533	\$ 44	\$ 7,179

(a) Consists primarily of sales of raw materials and coke making by-products.

7. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

The following table provides a reconciliation of cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash reported within U. S. Steel's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets that sum to the total of the same amounts shown in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows:

(In millions)	September 30, 2021	September 30, 2020
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,044	\$ 1,696
Restricted cash in other current assets	17	2
Restricted cash in other noncurrent assets	91	89
Total cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 2,152	\$ 1,787

Amounts included in restricted cash represent cash balances which are legally or contractually restricted, primarily for electric arc furnace construction, environmental and other capital projects, collateral for open cash flow hedge positions and insurance purposes.

8. Inventories

The LIFO method is the predominant method of inventory costing for our Flat-Rolled and Tubular segments. The FIFO and moving average methods are the predominant inventory costing methods for our Mini Mill segment and the FIFO method is the predominant inventory costing method for our USSE segment. At September 30, 2021 and December 31, 2020, the LIFO method accounted for 44 percent and 59 percent of total inventory values, respectively.

(In millions)	September 30, 2021	December 31, 2020
Raw materials	\$ 631	\$ 416
Semi-finished products	1,055	633
Finished products	345	300
Supplies and sundry items	55	53
Total	\$ 2,086	\$ 1,402

Current acquisition costs were estimated to exceed the above inventory values by \$1.012 billion and \$848 million at September 30, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively. As a result of the liquidation of LIFO inventories, cost of sales decreased and earnings before interest and income taxes increased by \$5 million and \$12 million for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2021, respectively. Cost of sales decreased and earnings before interest and income taxes increased by \$11 million and \$5 million for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2020, respectively, as a result of liquidation of LIFO inventories.

9. Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Intangible assets that are being amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives are detailed below:

(In millions)	Useful Lives	As of September 30, 2021			As of December 31, 2020			
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Impairment	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount
Customer relationships	22 Years	\$ 413	\$ 13	\$ 400	\$ 132	\$ 55	\$ 77	\$ —
Patents	5-15 Years	17	10	7	22	7	10	5
Energy Contract	10 Years	54	9	45	54	—	5	49
Other	4-15 Years	—	—	—	14	5	9	—
Total amortizable intangible assets		\$ 484	\$ 32	\$ 452	\$ 222	\$ 67	\$ 101	\$ 54

Total estimated amortization expense for the remainder of 2021 is \$6 million. We expect approximately \$125 million in annual amortization expense through 2026 and approximately \$320 million in remaining amortization expense thereafter.

The carrying amount of acquired water rights with indefinite lives as of September 30, 2021 and December 31, 2020 totaled \$75 million.

Below is a summary of goodwill by segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2021:

	Flat-Rolled	Mini Mill	USSE	Tubular	Total
Balance at December 31, 2020	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4	\$ —	\$ 4
Additions	—	905	—	—	905
Balance at September 30, 2021	\$ —	\$ 905	\$ 4	\$ —	\$ 909

10. Pensions and Other Benefits

The following table reflects the components of net periodic benefit cost (income) for the three months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020:

(In millions)	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
Service cost	\$ 12	\$ 13	\$ 3	\$ 3
Interest cost	41	49	13	16
Expected return on plan assets	(91)	(84)	(21)	(20)
Amortization of prior service credit	—	—	(7)	(1)
Amortization of actuarial net loss (gain)	29	36	(6)	(4)
Net periodic benefit cost (income), excluding below	(9)	14	(18)	(6)
Multiemployer plans	19	19	—	—
Settlement, termination and curtailment losses ^(a)	5	2	—	—
Net periodic benefit cost (income)	\$ 15	\$ 35	\$ (18)	\$ (6)

(a) During the three months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, pension benefits incurred settlement charges of approximately \$5 million and \$2 million, respectively, due to lump sum payments to certain individuals.

The following table reflects the components of net periodic benefit cost (income) for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020:

(In millions)	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
Service cost	\$ 40	\$ 38	\$ 9	\$ 9
Interest cost	122	145	37	48
Expected return on plan assets	(269)	(249)	(61)	(60)
Amortization of prior service cost	1	1	(21)	(5)
Amortization of actuarial net loss (gain)	104	108	(18)	(12)
Net periodic benefit cost (income), excluding below	(2)	43	(54)	(20)
Multiemployer plans	56	58	—	—
Settlement, termination and curtailment losses ^(a)	8	10	—	—
Net periodic benefit cost (income)	\$ 62	\$ 111	\$ (54)	\$ (20)

(a) During the nine months ended September 30, 2021, the pension plan incurred settlement and curtailment charges of approximately \$8 million due to lump sum payments to certain individuals and the sale of Transtar. For the nine months ended September 30, 2020 the pension plan incurred settlement and special termination charges of approximately \$10 million due to workforce restructuring and lump sum payments made to certain individuals.

Employer Contributions

During the first nine months of 2021, U. S. Steel made cash payments of \$56 million to the Steelworkers Pension Trust and \$10 million of pension payments not funded by trusts.

During the first nine months of 2021, cash payments of \$31 million were made for other postretirement benefit payments not funded by trusts.

Company contributions to defined contribution plans totaled \$11 million and \$1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively. Company contributions to defined contribution plans totaled \$32 million and \$16 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

Transtar Disposition

In connection with the Transtar sale, U. S. Steel remeasured its main pension benefit plan as of June 30, 2021. As a result of the remeasurement, the net pension obligation was reduced by \$255 million and the funded status level of plan increased to 103 percent.

11. Stock-Based Compensation Plans

U. S. Steel has outstanding stock-based compensation awards that were granted by the Compensation & Organization Committee of the Board of Directors, or its designee, under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (2005 Plan) and the 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated (Omnibus Plan). The Company's stockholders approved the Omnibus Plan and authorized the Company to issue up to 32,700,000 shares of U. S. Steel common stock under the Omnibus Plan. While the awards that were previously granted under the 2005 Plan remain outstanding, all future awards will be granted under the Omnibus Plan. As of September 30, 2021, there were 15,966,607 shares available for future grants under the Omnibus Plan.

Recent grants of stock-based compensation consist of restricted stock units, total stockholder return (TSR) performance awards and return on capital employed (ROCE) performance awards. Shares of common stock under the Omnibus Plan are issued from authorized, but unissued stock. The following table is a summary of the awards made under the Omnibus Plan during the first nine months of 2021 and 2020.

Grant Details	2021		2020	
	Shares ^(a)	Fair Value ^(b)	Shares ^(a)	Fair Value ^(b)
Restricted Stock Units	1,831,880	\$ 19.65	2,640,690	\$ 8.82
Performance Awards ^(c)				
TSR	306,930	\$ 19.46	671,390	\$ 8.19
ROCE ^(d)	485,900	\$ 17.92	—	\$ —

^(a) The share amounts shown in this table do not reflect an adjustment for estimated forfeitures.

^(b) Represents the per share weighted average for all grants during the period.

^(c) The number of performance awards shown represents the target share grant of the award.

^(d) The ROCE awards granted in 2020 and a portion of ROCE awards granted in 2021 are not shown in the table because they were granted in cash.

U. S. Steel recognized pretax stock-based compensation expense in the amount of \$15 million and \$8 million in the three-month periods ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively, and \$41 million and \$20 million in the first nine months of 2021 and 2020, respectively.

As of September 30, 2021, total future compensation expense related to nonvested stock-based compensation arrangements was \$46 million, and the weighted average period over which this expense is expected to be recognized is approximately 16 months.

Restricted stock units awarded as part of annual grants generally vest ratably over three years. Their fair value is the market price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. Restricted stock units granted in connection with new-hire or retention grants generally cliff vest three years from the date of the grant.

TSR performance awards may vest at varying levels at the end of a three-year performance period if U. S. Steel's total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of a peer group of companies meets specified performance criteria with each year in the three-year performance period weighted at 20 percent and the full three-year performance weighted at 40 percent. TSR performance awards can vest at between zero and 200 percent of the target award. The fair value of the TSR performance awards is calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation.

ROCE performance awards may vest at the end of a three-year performance period contingent upon meeting the specified ROCE performance metric. ROCE performance awards can vest between zero and 200 percent of the target award. The fair value of the ROCE performance awards is the average market price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

12. Income Taxes

Tax provision

For the nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, the Company recorded a tax provision of \$224 million and a benefit of \$48 million, respectively. The tax provisions for the first nine months of 2021 and 2020 were based on an estimated annual effective rate, which requires management to make its best estimate of annual pretax income or loss and discrete items recognized during the period.

The tax provision for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 includes a benefit of \$514 million for the release of the domestic valuation allowance recorded against domestic deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized. During the third quarter of 2021, the Company evaluated all available positive and negative evidence, including the impact of profitability generated from current year operations and future projections of profitability. As a result, the Company determined that, consistent with the prior quarter, all of its domestic deferred tax assets were more likely than

not to be realized with the exception of certain of its state net operating losses and state tax credits and reversed the valuation allowance against those deferred tax assets accordingly.

The tax benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2020 includes a \$39 million benefit related to recording a loss from continuing operations and income from other comprehensive income categories and expense of \$13 million for an updated estimate to tax reserves related to an unrecognized tax benefit. Due to the full valuation allowance on our domestic deferred tax assets, the tax benefit in 2020 does not reflect any additional tax benefit for domestic pretax losses.

Throughout the year, management regularly updates forecasted annual pretax results for the various countries in which we operate based on changes in factors such as prices, shipments, product mix, plant operating performance and cost estimates. To the extent that actual 2021 pretax results for U.S. and foreign income or loss vary from estimates applied herein, the actual tax provision or benefit recognized in 2021 could be materially different from the forecasted amount used to estimate the tax provision for the nine months ended September 30, 2021.

13. **Earnings and Dividends Per Common Share**

Earnings (Loss) Per Share Attributable to United States Steel Corporation Stockholders

The effect of dilutive securities on weighted average common shares outstanding included in the calculation of diluted earnings (loss) per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2021 and September 30, 2020 were as follows.

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
Earnings (loss) attributable to United States Steel Corporation stockholders	\$ 2,002	\$ (234)	\$ 3,105	\$ (1,214)
Weighted-average shares outstanding (in thousands):				
Basic	270,175	220,402	263,209	188,766
Effect of Senior Convertible Notes	12,199	—	11,082	—
Effect of stock options, restricted stock units and performance awards	5,089	—	4,812	—
Adjusted weighted-average shares outstanding, diluted	287,463	220,402	279,103	188,766
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ 7.41	\$ (1.06)	\$ 11.80	\$ (6.43)
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ 6.97	\$ (1.06)	\$ 11.13	\$ (6.43)

Excluded from the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per common share due to their anti-dilutive effect were 0.6 million and 1.2 million outstanding securities granted under the Omnibus Plan for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2021, respectively, and 5.7 million and 5.5 million outstanding securities granted under the Omnibus Plan for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2020, respectively.

Dividends Paid Per Share

The dividend for each of the first, second and third quarters of 2021 and 2020 was one cent per common share.

14. **Derivative Instruments**

The USSE segment uses foreign exchange forward sales contracts (foreign exchange forwards) to exchange euros for U.S. dollars (USD), our Flat-Rolled segment used foreign exchange forwards to exchange USD for Canadian dollars and our Mini Mill segment uses foreign exchange forwards to exchange USD for euros. All of our foreign exchange forwards have maturities no longer than 13 months and are used to mitigate the risk of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations and manage our foreign currency requirements. The USSE and Flat-Rolled segments use hedge accounting for their foreign exchange forwards. The Mini Mill segment has not elected hedge accounting; therefore, the changes in the fair value of their foreign exchange forwards are recognized immediately in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (mark-to-market accounting).

The Flat-Rolled and USSE segments also use financial swaps to protect from the commodity price risk associated with purchases of natural gas, zinc, tin and electricity (commodity purchase swaps). We elected cash flow hedge accounting for Flat-Rolled commodity purchase swaps for natural gas, zinc and tin and use mark-to-market accounting for electricity swaps used in our domestic operations and for commodity purchase swaps used in our European operations. The maximum derivative contract duration for commodity purchase swaps where hedge accounting was elected and was not elected is 15 months and 27 months, respectively.

The Flat-Rolled and Mini Mill segments have entered into financial swaps that are used to partially manage the sales price risk of certain hot-rolled coil sales (sales swaps). The Flat-Rolled segment uses hedge accounting for its sales swaps and the Mini Mill segment uses mark-to-market accounting for its sales swaps. Sales swaps have maturities of up to three months.

The table below shows the outstanding swap quantities used to hedge forecasted purchases and sales as of September 30, 2021 and September 30, 2020:

Hedge Contracts	Classification	September 30, 2021	September 30, 2020
Natural gas (in mmbtus)	Commodity purchase swaps	38,661,000	34,222,000
Tin (in metric tons)	Commodity purchase swaps	1,384	787
Zinc (in metric tons)	Commodity purchase swaps	12,853	8,148
Electricity (in megawatt hours)	Commodity purchase swaps	909,240	847,680
Hot-rolled coils (in tons)	Sales swaps	97,320	—
Foreign currency (in millions of euros)	Foreign exchange forwards	€ 290	€ 240
Foreign currency (in millions of dollars)	Foreign exchange forwards	\$ 9	\$ —
Foreign currency (in millions of CAD)	Foreign exchange forwards	\$ —	\$ 8

There were \$68 million and \$5 million in accounts receivable and \$103 million and \$54 million in accounts payable recorded for derivatives designated as hedging instruments as of September 30, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively. Amounts recorded in long-term asset and long-term liability accounts for derivatives were not material as of September 30, 2021 and December 31, 2020. Accounts receivable and accounts payable recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Balance sheet for derivatives not designated as hedging instruments were \$13 million and \$2 million as of September 30, 2021, respectively, and were both immaterial as of December 31, 2020.

The table below summarizes the effect of hedge accounting on Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) and amounts reclassified from AOCI into earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020:

(In millions)	Gain (Loss) on Derivatives in AOCI		Location of Reclassification from AOCI ^(a)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income	
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2021	Three Months Ended September 30, 2020		Three Months Ended September 30, 2021	Three Months Ended September 30, 2020
Sales swaps	\$ 52	\$ —	Net sales	\$ (60)	\$ —
Commodity purchase swaps	20	19	Cost of sales ^(b)	14	(6)
Foreign exchange forwards	8	(9)	Cost of sales	1	(3)

^(a) The earnings impact of our hedging instruments substantially offsets the earnings impact of the related hedged items resulting in immaterial ineffectiveness.

^(b) Costs for commodity purchase swaps are recognized in cost of sales as products are sold.

(In millions)	Gain (Loss) on Derivatives in AOCI		Location of Reclassification from AOCI ^(a)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income	
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2021	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2020		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2021	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2020
Sales swaps	\$ (71)	\$ —	Net sales	\$ (93)	\$ —
Commodity purchase swaps	52	30	Cost of sales ^(b)	18	(26)
Foreign exchange forwards	29	(8)	Cost of sales	(9)	(2)

^(a) The earnings impact of our hedging instruments substantially offsets the earnings impact of the related hedged items resulting in immaterial ineffectiveness.

^(b) Costs for commodity purchase swaps are recognized in cost of sales as products are sold.

At current contract values, \$62 million currently in AOCI as of September 30, 2021 will be recognized as a decrease in cost of sales over the next year and \$97 million currently in AOCI as of September 30, 2021 will be recognized as a decrease in net sales over the next year.

The gain recognized for sales swaps where hedge accounting was not elected was \$12 million for the three months ended September 30, 2021 and the loss of \$3 million was recognized in cost of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2021. The loss recognized for sales swaps where hedge accounting was not elected was not material for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2020.

15. **Debt**

(In millions)	Issuer/Borrower	Interest Rates %	Maturity	September 30, 2021	December 31, 2020
2037 Senior Notes	U. S. Steel	6.650	2037	350	350
2029 Senior Secured Notes	Big River Steel	6.625	2029	720	—
2029 Senior Notes	U. S. Steel	6.875	2029	750	—
2026 Senior Notes	U. S. Steel	6.250	2026	230	650
2026 Senior Convertible Notes	U. S. Steel	5.000	2026	350	350
2025 Senior Notes	U. S. Steel	6.875	2025	—	750
2025 Senior Secured Notes	U. S. Steel	12.000	2025	—	1,056
Arkansas Teacher Retirement System Notes Payable	Big River Steel	5.500 - 7.750	2023	106	—
Export-Import Credit Agreement	U. S. Steel	Variable	2021	—	180
Environmental Revenue Bonds	U. S. Steel	4.125 - 6.750	2024 - 2050	717	717
Environmental Revenue Bonds	Big River Steel	4.500 - 4.750	2049	752	—
Finance leases and all other obligations	U. S. Steel	Various	2021 - 2029	78	81
Finance leases and all other obligations	Big River Steel	Various	2021 - 2031	153	—
Export Credit Agreement (ECA)	U. S. Steel	Variable	2031	136	113
Credit Facility Agreement	U. S. Steel	Variable	2024	—	500
Big River Steel ABL Facility	Big River Steel	Variable	2026	—	—
USSK Credit Agreement	U. S. Steel Kosice	Variable	2026	—	368
USSK Credit Facility	U. S. Steel Kosice	Variable	2021	—	—
Total Debt				4,342	5,115
Less unamortized discount, premium, and debt issuance costs				9	228
Less short-term debt, long-term debt due within one year, and short-term issuance costs				61	192
Long-term debt				\$ 4,272	\$ 4,695

The following is a summary of debt repayments for our Senior Secured Notes, Senior Notes and Export-Import Credit Agreement made during the nine months ended September 30, 2021:

Debt Instrument (in Millions)	Date	Nine Months Ended
		September 30, 2021
		Debt Extinguished
6.250% Senior Notes due 2026 ^(a)	Third quarter 2021	370
6.875% Senior Notes due 2025 ^(a)	Third quarter 2021	718
6.625% 2029 Senior Secured Notes ^(a)	Third quarter 2021	180
6.250% Senior Notes due 2026	Second quarter 2021	18
6.875% Senior Notes due 2025	Second quarter 2021	14
12.000% 2025 Senior Secured Notes ^(b)	First quarter 2021	1,056
6.875% Senior Notes due 2025	First quarter 2021	18
6.250% Senior Notes due 2026	First quarter 2021	32
Export-Import Credit Agreement ^(c)	First quarter 2021	180
Total		\$ 2,586

^(a) During the three months ended September 30, 2021, there were redemption premiums paid of \$28 million and a net gain of \$5 million for the write-off of unamortized acquisition-related fair value adjustment, discounts, and debt issuance costs as a result of these debt repayments.

^(b) There were redemption premiums and unamortized discount and debt issuance write-offs of approximately \$181 million and \$71 million, respectively related to the repayment.

^(c) Export-Import Credit Agreement was terminated in the first quarter of 2021. There were approximately \$3 million in non-cash debt extinguishment costs associated with the repayment.

2029 Senior Notes

On February 11, 2021, U. S. Steel issued \$750 million aggregate principal amount of 6.875% Senior Notes due 2029 (2029 Senior Notes). U. S. Steel received net proceeds of approximately \$739 million after fees of approximately \$11 million related to underwriting and third-party expenses. The net proceeds from the issuance of the 2029 Senior Notes, together with the proceeds of our recent common stock issuance were used to redeem all of our outstanding 2025 Senior Secured Notes. The 2029 Senior Notes will pay interest semi-annually in arrears on March 1 and September 1 of each year beginning on September 1, 2021, and will mature on March 1, 2029, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased.

On and after March 1, 2024, the Company may redeem the 2029 Senior Notes at its option, at any time in whole or from time to time in part, upon not less than 15 nor more than 60 days' notice, at the redemption prices (expressed in percentages of principal amount) listed below, plus accrued and unpaid interest on the 2029 Senior Notes, if any, to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on March 1 of each of the years indicated below.

Year	Redemption Price
2024	103.438 %
2025	101.719 %
2026 and thereafter	100.000 %

At any time prior to March 1, 2024, U. S. Steel may also redeem the 2029 Senior Notes, at our option, in whole or in part, or from time to time, at a price equal to the greater of 100 percent of the principal amount of the 2029 Senior Notes to be redeemed, or the sum of the present value of the redemption price of the 2029 Senior Notes if they were redeemed on March 1, 2024 plus interest payments due through March 1, 2024 discounted to the date of redemption on a semi-annual basis at the applicable treasury yield, plus 50 basis points and accrued and unpaid interest, if any.

At any time prior to March 1, 2024 we may also purchase up to 35% of the original aggregate principal amount of the 2029 Senior Notes at 106.875%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding the applicable date of redemption, with proceeds from equity offerings.

Similar to our other senior notes, the indenture governing the 2029 Senior Notes restricts our ability to create certain liens, to enter into sale leaseback transactions and to consolidate, merge, transfer or sell all, or substantially all of our assets. It also contains provisions requiring that U. S. Steel make an offer to purchase the 2029 Senior Notes from holders upon a change of control under certain specified circumstances, as well as other customary provisions.

2029 Senior Secured Notes

On September 18, 2020, Big River Steel's indirect subsidiaries, Big River Steel LLC and BRS Finance Corp. (Issuers), issued \$900 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Senior Secured Notes (Green Bonds) (2029 Senior Secured Notes). The 2029 Senior Secured Notes pay interest semi-annually in arrears on January 31 and July 31 of each year and will mature on January 31, 2029, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased.

On and after September 15, 2023, the Issuers may redeem the 2029 Senior Secured Notes at their option, at any time in whole or from time to time in part, at the redemption prices (expressed in percentages of principal amount) listed below, plus accrued and unpaid interest on the Notes, if any, to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on September 15 of each of the years indicated below.

Year	Redemption Price
2023	103.313 %
2024	101.656 %
2025 and thereafter	100.000 %

At any time prior to September 15, 2023, the Issuers may at their option on one or more occasions redeem up to \$90 million of the Notes during each twelve-month period commencing with September 18, 2020 at a redemption price of 103.00% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The obligations under the 2029 Senior Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a secured basis by the Issuers' parent company, BRS Intermediate Holdings LLC (BRS Intermediate), which is a direct subsidiary of Big River Steel, and by all future direct and indirect wholly owned domestic subsidiaries of the Issuers. Additionally, the 2029 Senior Secured Notes and related guarantees are secured by (i) first priority liens on most of the tangible and intangible assets of the Issuers and the guarantors and all of the equity interests of the Issuers held by BRS Intermediate (shared in equal priority with each other pari passu lien secured party) (ii) and second priority liens on accounts receivable, inventory and certain other related assets of the Issuers and the guarantors (shared in equal priority with each other pari passu lien secured party).

If the Issuers or BRS Intermediate experience specified change in control events, the Issuers must make an offer to purchase the 2029 Senior Secured Notes. If the Issuers sell assets under specified circumstances, the Issuers must make an offer to purchase the 2029 Senior Secured Notes at a price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. The Indenture also limits the ability of the Issuers and their restricted subsidiaries to: incur or guarantee additional indebtedness; pay dividends and make other restricted payments; make investments; consummate certain asset sales; engage in transactions with affiliates; grant or assume liens; and consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of their assets. The Indenture also includes other customary events of default.

Big River Steel Environmental Revenue Bonds - Series 2019

On May 31, 2019, Arkansas Development Finance Authority (ADFA) issued \$487 million of tax-exempt bonds and loaned 100% of the proceeds to Big River Steel LLC under a bond financing agreement to finance the expansion of Big River Steel's electric arc furnace steel mill and fund the issuance cost of the bonds (2019 ADFA Bonds). The 2019 ADFA Bonds accrue interest at the rate of 4.50% per annum payable semiannually on March 1 and September 1 of each year with a final maturity of September 1, 2049.

The 2019 ADFA Bonds are subject to optional redemption during the periods and at the redemption prices shown below plus, in each case, accrued interest.

Year	Redemption Price
September 1, 2026 to August 31, 2027	103 %
September 1, 2027 to August 31, 2028	102 %
September 1, 2028 to August 31, 2029	101 %
On and after September 1, 2029	100 %

Prior to September 1, 2026, the 2019 ADFA Bonds are not redeemable.

The 2019 ADFA Bonds are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a senior secured basis, jointly and severally, by BRS Intermediate, BRS Finance Corp. and all future direct and indirect wholly owned domestic subsidiaries of Big River Steel LLC, and secured by first priority liens on most of the tangible and intangible assets and second priority liens on accounts receivable, inventory and certain other related assets of BRS Intermediate.

The 2019 ADFA Bonds are subject to certain mandatory sinking fund redemption provisions beginning in 2040, as well as extraordinary mandatory redemption, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued interest to the date fixed for redemption, from surplus funds at the earlier of the completion of the tax-exempt project or expiration of a certain period for construction financings, and upon an event of taxability. The 2019 ADFA Bonds are subject to substantially similar asset sale offer and change of control offer provisions, affirmative and negative covenants, events of default and remedies as the Indenture governing the 2029 Senior Secured Notes.

Big River Steel Environmental Revenue Bonds - Series 2020

On September 10, 2020, ADFA issued \$265 million of tax-exempt bonds with a green bond designation and loaned 100% of the proceeds to Big River Steel LLC under a bond financing agreement to finance or refinance the expansion of Big River Steel's electric arc furnace steel mill and fund the issuance cost of the bonds (2020 ADFA Bonds). The 2020 ADFA Bonds accrue interest at 4.75% per annum payable semi-annually on March 1 and September 1 of each year with final maturity on September 1, 2049.

The 2020 ADFA Bonds are subject to optional redemption during the periods and at the redemption prices shown below, plus, in each case accrued interest.

Year	Redemption Price
September 1, 2027 to August 31, 2028	103 %
September 1, 2028 to August 31, 2029	102 %
September 1, 2029 to August 31, 2030	101 %
On and after September 1, 2030	100 %

At any time prior to September 1, 2027, Big River Steel LLC may also redeem the 2020 ADFA Bonds, at its option, in whole or in part, or from time to time, at a price equal to the greater of 100 percent of the principal amount of the 2020 ADFA Bonds to be redeemed, or the present value of the redemption price of the 2020 ADFA Bonds if they were redeemed on September 1, 2027 plus interest payments due through September 1, 2027 discounted to the date of redemption on a semi-annual basis at the applicable tax exempt municipal bond rate and accrued and unpaid interest to the date fixed for redemption.

The 2020 ADFA Bonds are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a secured basis by certain of Big River Steel's subsidiaries and subject to first priority liens and second priority liens on certain Big River Steel collateral.

The 2020 ADFA Bonds are subject to substantially similar asset sale offer and change of control offer provisions, affirmative and negative covenants, events of default and remedies as the Indenture governing the 2029 Senior Secured Notes.

Arkansas Teacher Retirement System Notes Payable

Big River Steel entered into three financing agreements with the Arkansas Teacher Retirement System during 2018 and 2019. The interest rates on the notes range from 5.50% to 7.75% at present. Interest on these agreements may be paid-in-kind through the respective dates of maturity and therefore requires no interim debt service by Big River Steel prior to the date of maturity or early repayment, as the case may be. One such agreement has the benefit of a pledge of future income streams generated through an anticipated monetization of recycling tax credits provided by the State of Arkansas in conjunction with the expansion of Big River Steel. As of September 30, 2021, the outstanding balance for these financing agreements was \$106 million. Big River Steel may prepay amounts owed under these agreements at any time without penalty. On October 21, 2021, Big River Steel repaid two of the financing agreements in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$20 million.

Big River Steel - Sustainability Linked ABL Facility

On July 23, 2021, Big River Steel entered into an amendment to its senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility (Big River Steel ABL Facility), which extended the maturity by 5 years and added sustainability targets related to carbon reduction, safety performance and facility certification by ResponsibleSteel™.

The Big River Steel ABL Facility is secured by first-priority liens on accounts receivable and inventory and certain other assets and second priority liens on most tangible and intangible assets of Big River Steel in each case subject to permitted liens.

The Big River Steel ABL Facility provides for borrowings for working capital and general corporate purposes in an amount equal up to the lesser of (a) \$350 million and (b) a borrowing base calculated based on specified percentages of eligible accounts receivables and inventory, subject to certain adjustments and reserves. The Big River Steel ABL Facility matures on July 23, 2026. The outstanding principal balance was zero at September 30, 2021. Availability under the Big River Steel ABL Facility, pursuant to the available borrowing base was \$350 million at September 30, 2021.

The Big River Steel ABL Facility provides for borrowings at interest rates based on defined, short-term market rates plus a spread based on availability. The Big River Steel ABL Facility also requires a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Big River Steel ABL Facility, determined quarterly based on Big River Steel LLC's utilization levels.

Big River Steel LLC must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.00 to 1.00 for the most recent twelve consecutive months when availability under the Big River Steel ABL Facility is less than the greater of ten percent of the borrowing base availability and \$13 million. Based on the most recent four quarters as of September 30, 2021, Big River Steel would have met the fixed charge coverage ratio test. The Big River Steel ABL Facility includes affirmative and negative covenants that are customary for facilities of this type. The Big River Steel ABL Facility also includes customary events of default.

U. S. Steel - Sustainability Linked Credit Facility Agreement

The Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Facility Agreement (Credit Facility Agreement) was amended on July 23, 2021 to include targets related to carbon reduction, safety performance and facility certification by ResponsibleSteel™. In addition to the new sustainability link, the Credit Facility Agreement was amended to reduce the facility size to \$1.75 billion from \$2 billion, which supports the Company's current footprint and is consistent with its efforts to optimize its global liquidity position.

As of September 30, 2021, there were approximately \$4 million of letters of credit issued, and no loans drawn under the Credit Facility Agreement. The availability under the Credit Facility Agreement was \$1.746 billion as of September 30, 2021. U. S. Steel must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.00 to 1.00 for the most recent four consecutive quarters when availability under the Credit Facility Agreement is less than the greater of ten percent of the total aggregate commitments and \$175 million. Based on the most recent four quarters as of September 30, 2021, the Company would have met the fixed charge coverage ratio test.

U. S. Steel Košice (USSK) Credit Facilities

On September 29, 2021, USSK entered into a €300 million (approximately \$347 million) unsecured sustainability linked credit agreement (USSK Credit Agreement), replacing the previous €460 million credit facility agreement. The USSK Credit Agreement matures in 5 years and contains sustainability targets related to carbon reduction, safety performance and facility certification by ResponsibleSteel™. At September 30, 2021, USSK had no borrowings under the USSK Credit Agreement.

At September 30, 2021, USSK had no borrowings under its €20 million credit facility (approximately \$23 million) (USSK Credit Facility) and the availability was approximately \$17 million due to approximately \$6 million of customs and other guarantees outstanding.

16. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, current accounts and notes receivable, accounts payable, bank checks outstanding, and accrued interest included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet approximate fair value. See Note 14 for disclosure of U. S. Steel's derivative instruments, which are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis.

Big River Steel

On October 31, 2019, a wholly owned subsidiary of U. S. Steel purchased a 49.9% ownership interest in Big River Steel. The transaction included a call option (U. S. Steel Call Option) to acquire the remaining equity interest within the next four years at an agreed-upon price formula. The investment purchase included other options that were marked to fair value during 2020. The net change in fair value of the options during the nine months ended September 30, 2020 resulted in a \$40 million decrease to other financial costs. When the U. S. Steel Call Option was exercised on December 8, 2020, the other options were legally extinguished and a new contingent forward asset was recorded for \$11 million. As the contingent forward was a contract to purchase a business, it was no longer considered a derivative subject to ASC 815, *Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and was not subject to subsequent fair value adjustments. The contingent forward asset was removed with the recognition of the gain on the previously held investment in Big River

Steel when the purchase of the remaining interest closed on January 15, 2021. See Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2020 and Note 5 for further details.

Prior to exercise of the U. S. Steel Call Option, the options were marked to fair value each period using a Monte Carlo simulation which is considered a Level 3 valuation technique. Level 3 valuation techniques include inputs to the valuation methodology that are considered unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. The simulation relied on assumptions that included Big River Steel's equity value, volatility, the risk-free interest rate and U. S. Steel's credit spread.

Stelco Option for Minntac Mine Interest

On April 30, 2020 (Effective Date), the Company entered into an Option Agreement with Stelco, Inc. (Stelco), that grants Stelco the option to purchase a 25 percent interest (Option Interest) in a to-be-formed entity (Joint Venture) that will own the Company's current iron ore mine located in Mt. Iron, Minnesota (Minntac Mine). As consideration for the Option, Stelco paid the Company an aggregate amount of \$100 million in five \$20 million installments, which began on the Effective Date and ended on December 31, 2020 and are recorded net of transaction costs in noncontrolling interest in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. In the event Stelco exercises the option, Stelco will contribute an additional \$500 million to the Joint Venture, which amount shall be remitted solely to U. S. Steel in the form of a one-time special distribution, and the parties will engage in good faith negotiations to finalize the master agreement (pursuant to which Stelco will acquire the Option Interest) and the limited liability company agreement of the Joint Venture.

The following table summarizes U. S. Steel's financial liabilities that were not carried at fair value at September 30, 2021 and December 31, 2020. The fair value of long-term debt was determined using Level 2 inputs.

(In millions)	September 30, 2021		December 31, 2020	
	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount
Financial liabilities:				
Short-term and long-term debt ^(a)	\$ 4,663	\$ 3,996	\$ 5,323	\$ 4,806

^(a) Excludes finance lease obligations.

17. **Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity**

The following table reflects the first nine months of 2021 and 2020 reconciliation of the carrying amount of total equity, equity attributable to U. S. Steel and equity attributable to noncontrolling interests:

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2021 (In millions)	(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings		Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income		Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Paid-in Capital	Non- Controlling Interest
	Total							
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 3,879	\$ (623)	\$ (47)	\$ 229	\$ (175)	\$ 4,402	\$ 93	
Comprehensive income (loss):								
Net earnings	91	91	—	—	—	—	—	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:								
Pension and other benefit adjustments	24	—	24	—	—	—	—	
Currency translation adjustment	(47)	—	(47)	—	—	—	—	
Derivative financial instruments	(20)	—	(20)	—	—	—	—	
Employee stock plans	6	—	—	2	(7)	11	—	
Common Stock Issued	790	—	—	48	—	742	—	
Dividends paid on common stock	(3)	—	—	—	—	(3)	—	
Balance at March 31, 2021	\$ 4,720	\$ (532)	\$ (90)	\$ 279	\$ (182)	\$ 5,152	\$ 93	
Comprehensive income (loss):								
Net earnings	1,012	1,012	—	—	—	—	—	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:								
Pension and other benefit adjustments	205	—	205	—	—	—	—	
Currency translation adjustment	23	—	23	—	—	—	—	
Derivative financial instruments	(31)	—	(31)	—	—	—	—	
Employee stock plans	17	—	—	—	(1)	18	—	
Dividends paid on common stock	(2)	—	—	—	—	(2)	—	
Other	(1)	—	—	—	—	—	(1)	
Balance at June 30, 2021	\$ 5,943	\$ 480	\$ 107	\$ 279	\$ (183)	\$ 5,168	\$ 92	
Comprehensive income (loss):								
Net earnings	2,002	2,002	—	—	—	—	—	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:								
Pension and other benefit adjustments	15	—	15	—	—	—	—	
Currency translation adjustment	(26)	—	(26)	—	—	—	—	
Derivative financial instruments	60	—	60	—	—	—	—	
Employee stock plans	16	—	—	—	(1)	17	—	
Dividends paid on common stock	(3)	(3)	—	—	—	—	—	
Other	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	
Balance at September 30, 2021	\$ 8,008	\$ 2,480	\$ 156	\$ 279	\$ (184)	\$ 5,185	\$ 92	

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2020 (In millions)	Retained Earnings		Accumulated Other		Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Paid-in Capital	Non-Controlling Interest
	Total	(Accumulated Deficit)	Comprehensive Loss					
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 4,093	\$ 544	\$ (478)	\$ 179	\$ (173)	\$ 4,020	\$ 1	
Comprehensive income (loss):								
Net loss	(391)	(391)	—	—	—	—	—	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:								
Pension and other benefit adjustments	52	—	52	—	—	—	—	
Currency translation adjustment	(23)	—	(23)	—	—	—	—	
Derivative financial instruments	(5)	—	(5)	—	—	—	—	
Employee stock plans	2	—	—	—	(2)	4	—	
Dividends paid on common stock	(2)	(2)	—	—	—	—	—	
Balance at March 31, 2020	\$ 3,726	\$ 151	\$ (454)	\$ 179	\$ (175)	\$ 4,024	\$ 1	
Comprehensive income (loss):								
Net loss	(589)	(589)	—	—	—	—	—	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:								
Pension and other benefit adjustments	29	—	29	—	—	—	—	
Currency translation adjustment	20	—	20	—	—	—	—	
Derivative financial instruments	15	—	15	—	—	—	—	
Employee stock plans	8	—	—	—	—	8	—	
Common Stock Issued	410	—	—	50	—	360	—	
Dividends paid on common stock	(1)	—	—	—	—	(1)	—	
Stelco Option Agreement	37	—	—	—	—	—	37	
Other	(1)	—	—	—	—	—	(1)	
Balance at June 30, 2020	\$ 3,654	\$ (438)	\$ (390)	\$ 229	\$ (175)	\$ 4,391	\$ 37	
Comprehensive income (loss):								
Net loss	(234)	(234)	—	—	—	—	—	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:								
Pension and other benefit adjustments	16	—	16	—	—	—	—	
Currency translation adjustment	34	—	34	—	—	—	—	
Derivative financial instruments	8	—	8	—	—	—	—	
Employee stock plans	10	—	—	—	—	10	—	
Dividends paid on common stock	(3)	—	—	—	—	(3)	—	
Stelco Option Agreement	18	—	—	—	—	—	18	
Other	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	
Balance at September 30, 2020	\$ 3,504	\$ (671)	\$ (332)	\$ 229	\$ (175)	\$ 4,398	\$ 55	

18. Reclassifications from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI)

(In millions)	Pension and Other Benefit Items	Foreign Currency Items	Unrealized (Loss) Gain on Derivatives	Total
Balance at December 31, 2020	\$ (458)	\$ 449	\$ (38)	\$ (47)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	190	(50)	(49)	91
Amounts reclassified from AOCI ^(a)	54	—	58	112
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)	244	(50)	9	203
Balance at September 30, 2021	\$ (214)	\$ 399	\$ (29)	\$ 156
Balance at December 31, 2019	\$ (843)	\$ 381	\$ (16)	\$ (478)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	6	31	(6)	31
Amounts reclassified from AOCI ^(a)	91	—	24	115
Net current-period other comprehensive income	97	31	18	146
Balance at September 30, 2020	\$ (746)	\$ 412	\$ 2	\$ (332)

^(a) See table below for further details.

Details about AOCI components (in millions)	Amount reclassified from AOCI ^(a)			
	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
Amortization of pension and other benefit items				
Prior service credits ^(a)	\$ (7)	\$ (1)	\$ (20)	\$ (4)
Actuarial losses ^(a)	23	32	86	96
Settlement, termination and curtailment losses ^(a)	5	2	6	2
UPI Purchase Accounting Adjustment	—	—	—	23
Total pensions and other benefits items	21	33	72	117
Derivative reclassifications to Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	40	6	77	28
Total before tax	61	39	149	145
Tax provision	(15)	(15)	(37)	(30)
Net of tax	\$ 46	\$ 24	\$ 112	\$ 115

^(a) These AOCI components are included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost. See Note 10 for additional details.

19. Transactions with Related Parties

Related party sales and service transactions are primarily related to equity investees and were \$341 million and \$268 million for the three months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively, and \$977 million and \$710 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

Accounts payable to related parties include balances due to PRO-TEC Coating Company, LLC (PRO-TEC) of \$128 million and \$86 million at September 30, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively for invoicing and receivables collection services provided by U. S. Steel on PRO-TEC's behalf. U. S. Steel, as PRO-TEC's exclusive sales agent, is responsible for credit risk related to those receivables. U. S. Steel also provides PRO-TEC marketing, selling and customer service functions. Payables to other related parties totaled \$2 million and \$19 million for the periods ending September 30, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively.

Purchases from related parties for outside processing services provided by equity investees amounted to \$6 million and \$9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively, and \$32 million and \$47 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively. Purchases of iron ore pellets from related parties amounted to \$26 million and \$19 million for the three months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively, and \$80 million and \$53 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

20. **Restructuring and Other Charges**

During the three months ended September 30, 2021, the Company did not record any restructuring and other charges. Cash payments were made related to severance and exit costs of approximately \$9 million.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2021, the Company recorded restructuring and other charges of \$37 million, which consists of \$27 million for Great Lakes Works and \$10 million for environmental related charges at other facilities and costs related to severance. Cash payments were made related to severance and exit costs of approximately \$53 million.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2020, the Company recorded restructuring and other charges of \$130 million, which consists of charges of \$72 million for the indefinite idling of our Keetac mining operations and a significant portion of Great Lakes Works, \$13 million for the indefinite idling of Lorain Tubular Operations and Lone Star Tubular Operations, and \$13 million and \$32 million for employee benefit costs related to Company-wide headcount reductions and headcount reductions under a Voluntary Early Retirement Program offered at USSK, respectively. Cash payments were made related to severance and exit costs of approximately \$130 million. Approximately \$29 million of these cash payments were funded by the postretirement benefit trust (VEBA) per an agreement with the United Steelworkers of America.

The activity in the accrued balances incurred in relation to restructuring programs during the nine months ended September 30, 2021 were as follows:

(In millions)	Employee Related Costs	Exit Costs	Non-cash Charges	Total
Balance at December 31, 2020	\$ 51	\$ 126	\$ —	\$ 177
Additional charges	3	33	1	37
Cash payments/utilization ^(a)	(35)	(26)	(1)	(62)
Balance at September 30, 2021	\$ 19	\$ 133	\$ —	\$ 152

(a) Payments of \$8 million were made from the pension fund trust.

Accrued liabilities for restructuring programs are included in the following balance sheet lines:

(In millions)	September 30, 2021	December 31, 2020
Accounts payable	\$ 35	\$ 34
Payroll and benefits payable	6	29
Employee benefits	13	22
Deferred credits and other noncurrent liabilities	98	92
Total	\$ 152	\$ 177

21. **Contingencies and Commitments**

U. S. Steel is the subject of, or party to, a number of pending or threatened legal actions, contingencies and commitments involving a variety of matters, including laws and regulations relating to the environment. Certain of these matters are discussed below. The ultimate resolution of these contingencies could, individually or in the aggregate, be material to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. However, management believes that U. S. Steel will remain a viable and competitive enterprise even though it is possible that these contingencies could be resolved unfavorably.

U. S. Steel accrues for estimated costs related to existing lawsuits, claims and proceedings when it is probable that it will incur these costs in the future and the costs are reasonably estimable.

Asbestos matters – As of September 30, 2021, U. S. Steel was a defendant in approximately 925 active cases involving approximately 2,515 plaintiffs. The vast majority of these cases involve multiple defendants. About 1,545, or approximately 61 percent, of these plaintiff claims are currently pending in a jurisdiction which permits filings with massive numbers of plaintiffs. At December 31, 2020, U. S. Steel was a defendant in approximately 855 cases involving approximately 2,445 plaintiffs. Based upon U. S. Steel's experience in such cases, it believes that the actual number of plaintiffs who ultimately assert claims against U. S. Steel will likely be a small fraction of the total number of plaintiffs.

The following table shows the number of asbestos claims in the current period and the prior three years:

Period ended	Opening Number of Claims	Claims Dismissed, Settled and Resolved ^(a)	New Claims	Closing Number of Claims
December 31, 2018	3,315	1,285	290	2,320
December 31, 2019	2,320	195	265	2,390
December 31, 2020	2,390	240	295	2,445
September 30, 2021	2,445	135	205	2,515

(a) The period ending December 31, 2018 includes approximately 1,000 dismissed cases previously pending in the State of Texas.

The amount U. S. Steel accrues for pending asbestos claims is not material to U. S. Steel's financial condition. However, U. S. Steel is unable to estimate the ultimate outcome of asbestos-related claims due to a number of uncertainties, including: (1) the rates at which new claims are filed, (2) the number of and effect of bankruptcies of other companies traditionally defending asbestos claims, (3) uncertainties associated with the variations in the litigation process from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, (4) uncertainties regarding the facts, circumstances and disease process with each claim, and (5) any new legislation enacted to address asbestos-related claims.

Further, U. S. Steel does not believe that an accrual for unasserted claims is required. At any given reporting date, it is probable that there are unasserted claims that will be filed against the Company in the future. In 2020 and 2019, the Company engaged an outside valuation consultant to assist in assessing its ability to estimate an accrual for unasserted claims. This assessment was based on the Company's settlement experience, including recent claims trends. The analysis focused on settlements made over the last several years as these claims are likely to best represent future claim characteristics. After review by the valuation consultant and U. S. Steel management, it was determined that the Company could not estimate an accrual for unasserted claims.

Despite these uncertainties, management believes that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on U. S. Steel's financial condition.

Environmental matters – U. S. Steel is subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations relating to the environment. These laws generally provide for control of pollutants released into the environment and require responsible parties to undertake remediation of hazardous waste disposal sites. Penalties may be imposed for noncompliance. Changes in accrued liabilities for remediation activities where U. S. Steel is identified as a named party are summarized in the following table:

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2021	
Beginning of period	\$	146
Accruals for environmental remediation deemed probable and reasonably estimable		1
Obligations settled		(23)
End of period	\$	124

Accrued liabilities for remediation activities are included in the following Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet lines:

(In millions)	September 30, 2021		December 31, 2020	
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	\$	41	\$	43
Deferred credits and other noncurrent liabilities		83		103
Total	\$	124	\$	146

Expenses related to remediation are recorded in cost of sales and were immaterial for both the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2021 and September 30, 2020. It is not currently possible to estimate the ultimate amount of all remediation costs that might be incurred or the penalties that may be imposed. Due to uncertainties inherent in remediation projects and the associated liabilities, it is reasonably possible that total remediation costs for active matters may exceed the accrued liabilities by as much as 45 to 70 percent.

Remediation Projects

U. S. Steel is involved in environmental remediation projects at or adjacent to several current and former U. S. Steel facilities and other locations that are in various stages of completion ranging from initial characterization through post-closure monitoring. Based on the anticipated scope and degree of uncertainty of projects, the Company categorizes projects as follows:

- (1) *Projects with Ongoing Study and Scope Development* - Projects which are still in the development phase. For these projects, the extent of remediation that may be required is not yet known, the remediation methods and plans are not yet developed, and/or cost estimates cannot be determined. Therefore, significant costs, in addition to the accrued liabilities for these projects, are reasonably possible. There are five environmental remediation projects where additional costs for completion are not currently estimable, but could be material. These projects are at Fairfield Works, Lorain Tubular, USS-UPI LLC (UPI) formerly known as USS-POSCO Industries, Duluth Works and the former steelmaking plant at Joliet, Illinois. As of September 30, 2021, accrued liabilities for these projects totaled \$24 million for the costs of studies, investigations, interim measures, design and/or remediation. It is reasonably possible that additional liabilities associated with future requirements regarding studies, investigations, design and remediation for these projects could be as much as \$50 million to \$75 million.
- (2) *Significant Projects with Defined Scope* - Projects with significant accrued liabilities with a defined scope. As of September 30, 2021, there are two significant projects with defined scope greater than or equal to \$5 million each, with a total accrued liability of \$43 million. These projects are Gary Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) (accrued liability of \$24 million) and the former Geneva facility (accrued liability of \$19 million).
- (3) *Other Projects with a Defined Scope* - Projects with relatively small accrued liabilities for which we believe that, while additional costs are possible, they are not likely to be significant, and also include those projects for which we do not yet possess sufficient information to estimate potential costs to U. S. Steel. There are three other environmental remediation projects which each had an accrued liability of between \$1 million and \$5 million. The total accrued liability for these projects at September 30, 2021 was \$7 million. These projects have progressed through a significant portion of the design phase and material additional costs are not expected.

The remaining environmental remediation projects each have an accrued liability of less than \$1 million each. The total accrued liability for these projects at September 30, 2021 was approximately \$3 million. The Company does not foresee material additional liabilities for any of these sites.

Post-Closure Costs – Accrued liabilities for post-closure site monitoring and other costs at various closed landfills totaled \$23 million at September 30, 2021 and were based on known scopes of work.

Administrative and Legal Costs – As of September 30, 2021, U. S. Steel had an accrued liability of \$13 million for administrative and legal costs related to environmental remediation projects. These accrued liabilities were based on projected administrative and legal costs for the next three years and do not change significantly from year to year.

Capital Expenditures – For a number of years, U. S. Steel has made substantial capital expenditures to comply with various regulations, laws and other requirements relating to the environment. Such capital expenditures totaled \$14 million and \$8 million in the first nine months of 2021 and 2020, respectively. U. S. Steel anticipates making additional such expenditures in the future, which may be material; however, the exact amounts and timing of such expenditures are uncertain because of the continuing evolution of specific regulatory requirements.

European Union (EU) Environmental Requirements - Phase IV of the EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) commenced on January 1, 2021 and will finish on December 31, 2030. The European Commission issued final approval of the Slovak National Allocation table in July 2021. The Slovak Ministry of Environment's decision on USSE's free allocation for the first five years of the Phase IV period is expected by the end of 2021. In the fourth quarter of 2020 USSE started purchasing European Union Allowances (EUA) for the Phase IV period. As of September 30, 2021, we have pre-purchased approximately 3.3 million EUA totaling €134 million (approximately \$155 million).

The EU's Industrial Emissions Directive requires implementation of EU-determined best available techniques (BAT) for Iron and Steel production to reduce environmental impacts as well as compliance with BAT associated emission levels. Total capital expenditures for projects to comply with or go beyond BAT requirements were €138 million (approximately \$160 million) over the actual program period. These costs were partially offset by the EU funding received and may be mitigated over the next measurement periods if USSK complies with certain financial covenants, which are assessed annually. USSK complied with these covenants as of September 30, 2021. If we are unable to meet these covenants in the future, USSK might be required to provide additional collateral (e.g. bank guarantee) to secure 50 percent of the EU funding received.

Environmental indemnifications – Throughout its history, U. S. Steel has sold numerous properties and businesses and many of these sales included indemnifications and cost sharing agreements related to the assets that were divested. The amount of potential environmental liability associated with these transactions and properties is not estimable due to the nature and extent of the unknown conditions related to the properties divested and deconsolidated. Aside from the environmental liabilities already recorded as a result of these transactions due to specific environmental remediation activities and cases (included in the \$124 million of accrued liabilities for remediation discussed above), there are no other known probable and estimable environmental liabilities related to these transactions.

Guarantees – The maximum guarantees of the indebtedness of unconsolidated entities of U. S. Steel totaled \$7 million at September 30, 2021.

Other contingencies – Under certain lease agreements covering various equipment, U. S. Steel has the option to renew the lease or to purchase the equipment at the end of the lease term. If U. S. Steel does not exercise the purchase option by the end of the lease term, U. S. Steel guarantees a residual value of the equipment as determined at the lease inception date (totaling approximately \$14 million at September 30, 2021). No liability has been recorded for these guarantees as the potential loss is not probable.

Insurance – U. S. Steel maintains insurance for certain property damage, equipment, business interruption and general liability exposures; however, insurance is applicable only after certain deductibles and retainages. U. S. Steel is self-insured for certain other exposures including workers' compensation (where permitted by law) and auto liability. Liabilities are recorded for workers' compensation and personal injury obligations. Other costs resulting from losses under deductible or retainage amounts or not otherwise covered by insurance are charged against income upon occurrence.

U. S. Steel uses surety bonds, trusts and letters of credit to provide whole or partial financial assurance for certain obligations such as workers' compensation. The total amount of active surety bonds, trusts and letters of credit being used for financial assurance purposes was approximately \$214 million as of September 30, 2021, which reflects U. S. Steel's maximum exposure under these financial guarantees, but not its total exposure for the underlying obligations. A significant portion of our trust arrangements and letters of credit are collateralized by the Credit Facility Agreement. The remaining trust arrangements and letters of credit are collateralized by restricted cash. Restricted cash, which is recorded in other current and noncurrent assets, totaled \$100 million and \$133 million at September 30, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively.

Capital Commitments – At September 30, 2021, U. S. Steel's contractual commitments to acquire property, plant and equipment totaled \$687 million.

Contractual Purchase Commitments – U. S. Steel is obligated to make payments under contractual purchase commitments, including unconditional purchase obligations. Payments for contracts with remaining terms in excess of one year are summarized below (in millions):

Remainder of 2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Later Years	Total
\$299	\$1,206	\$557	\$325	\$315	\$903	\$3,605

The majority of U. S. Steel's unconditional purchase obligations relates to the supply of industrial gases, and certain energy and utility services with terms ranging from two to 15 years. Unconditional purchase obligations also include coke and steam purchase commitments related to a coke supply agreement with Gateway Energy & Coke Company LLC (Gateway) under which Gateway is obligated to supply a minimum volume of the expected targeted annual production of the heat recovery coke plant, and U. S. Steel is obligated to purchase the coke from Gateway at the contract price. As of September 30, 2021, if U. S. Steel were to terminate the agreement, it may be obligated to pay in excess of \$87 million.

Total payments relating to unconditional purchase obligations were \$215 million and \$123 million for the three months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively, and \$584 million and \$425 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

22. **Common Stock Issued**

In February 2021, U. S. Steel issued 48.3 million shares of common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$790 million.

In June 2020, U. S. Steel issued 50 million shares of common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$410 million.

23. **Subsequent Events**

On October 1, 2021, U. S. Steel issued an irrevocable notice of redemption to redeem the entirety of its approximately \$230 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding 6.250% Senior Notes due 2026 (2026 Senior Notes). The Company expects the total payment to the holders including the redemption premium to be approximately \$237 million (reflecting a redemption price of 103.125% of the aggregate principal amount), plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the redemption date of November 1, 2021. The 2026 Senior Notes will be redeemed with cash on hand.

On October 15, 2021, U. S. Steel issued a notice of redemption to redeem approximately \$70 million aggregate principal amount of its environmental revenue bonds. The Company expects the total payment to the holders including the redemption premium to be approximately \$70 million (reflecting a redemption price of par), plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the redemption date of December 1, 2021. These bonds will be redeemed with cash on hand.

On October 21, 2021, Big River Steel repaid two of the Arkansas Teacher Retirement System notes payable financing agreements in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$20 million.

On October 25, 2021, the Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program under which up to \$300 million of the Company's outstanding common stock may be acquired at the discretion of management. The shares will be purchased from time to time at prevailing market prices, through open market or privately negotiated transactions, depending upon the market conditions. Under the program, the purchases will be funded from cash on hand, and the repurchased shares will be held as treasury shares.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

U. S. Steel's results in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2021 compared to the same periods in 2020 benefited from the Big River Steel acquisition as well as significantly improved business conditions as certain challenges presented by the COVID-19 pandemic began to subside. Flat-Rolled results improved due to higher steel demand across most consumer and manufacturing industries, pushing both spot and contract prices higher. In Mini Mill, with the acquisition of Big River Steel on January 15, 2021, results were added for the first time in the first quarter of 2021. USSE results improved due to stronger performance of the manufacturing and construction sectors and higher selling prices though continued high levels of imports persist. Tubular results improved as a result of realized benefits from rounds being in-sourced from our electric arc furnace and from drilling activity slowly increasing during the third quarter of 2021 even though continued high levels of tubular imports persist.

Net sales by segment for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2021 and 2020 are set forth in the following table:

(Dollars in millions, excluding intersegment sales)	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2021	2020	% Change	2021	2020	% Change
Flat-Rolled Products (Flat-Rolled)	\$ 3,541	\$ 1,728	105 %	\$ 8,804	\$ 5,199	69 %
Mini Mill ^(a)	949	—	n/a	2,158	—	n/a
U. S. Steel Europe (USSE)	1,246	495	152 %	3,122	1,403	123 %
Tubular Products (Tubular)	216	96	125 %	534	533	— %
Total sales from reportable segments	5,952	2,319	157 %	14,618	7,135	105 %
Other	12	21	(43)%	35	44	(20)%
Net sales	\$ 5,964	\$ 2,340	155 %	\$ 14,653	\$ 7,179	104 %

^(a) Mini Mill segment added after January 15, 2021 with the purchase of the remaining equity interest in Big River Steel.

Management's analysis of the **percentage change in net sales** for U. S. Steel's reportable business segments for the three months ended September 30, 2021 versus the three months ended September 30, 2020 is set forth in the following table:

Steel Products ^(a)						
	Volume	Price	Mix	FX ^(b)	Other ^(c)	Net Change
Flat-Rolled	7 %	85 %	(1)%	— %	14 %	105 %
Mini Mill ^(d)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
USSE	34 %	116 %	(1)%	2 %	1 %	152 %
Tubular	68 %	51 %	11 %	— %	(5)%	125 %

^(a) Excludes intersegment sales.

^(b) Foreign currency translation effects.

^(c) Primarily of sales of raw materials and coke making by-products.

^(d) Not applicable (n/a), Mini Mill segment added after January 15, 2021 with the purchase of the remaining equity interest in Big River Steel.

Net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2021 compared to the same period in 2020 were \$5,964 million and \$2,340 million, respectively.

- For the Flat-Rolled segment the increase in sales primarily resulted from higher average realized prices (\$613 per ton) and increased shipments (173 thousand tons) across most products.
- For the USSE segment the increase in sales primarily resulted from higher average realized prices (\$535 per net ton) and increased shipments (274 thousand tons) across most products.
- For the Tubular segment the increase in sales primarily resulted from higher average realized prices (\$472 per net ton) and increased shipments (52 thousand tons) for seamless products.

Management's analysis of the **percentage change in net sales** for U. S. Steel's reportable business segments for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 versus the nine months ended September 30, 2020 is set forth in the following table:

Steel Products ^(a)						
	Volume	Price	Mix	FX ^(b)	Other ^(c)	Net Change
Flat-Rolled	7 %	51 %	1 %	— %	10 %	69 %
Mini Mill ^(d)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
USSE	47 %	71 %	(6)%	10 %	1 %	123 %
Tubular	(18)%	13 %	5 %	— %	— %	— %

^(a) Excludes intersegment sales.

^(b) Foreign currency translation effects.

^(c) Primarily of sales of raw materials and coke making by-products.

^(d) Not applicable (n/a), Mini Mill segment added after January 15, 2021 with the purchase of the remaining equity interest in Big River Steel.

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 compared to the same period in 2020 were \$14,653 million and \$7,179 million, respectively.

- For the Flat-Rolled segment the increase in sales primarily resulted from higher average realized prices (\$383 per ton) across all products and increased shipments (532 thousand tons) primarily for cold-rolled products.
- For the USSE segment the increase in sales primarily resulted from higher average realized prices (\$316 per net ton) across all products and increased shipments (1,073 thousand tons) across most products.
- For the Tubular segment the increase in sales primarily resulted from higher average realized prices (\$316 per net ton) for seamless products, partially offset by decreased shipments (73 thousand tons) for electric resistance welded (ERW) products.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$108 million and \$316 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2021, respectively, compared to \$65 million and \$199 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2020, respectively. The increase in expenses in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2021 versus the same periods in 2020 primarily resulted from increased profit based payments and the addition of Big River Steel with the purchase of its remaining equity interest.

Restructuring and other charges

During the nine months ended September 30, 2021 and September 30, 2020 the Company recorded restructuring and other charges of \$37 million and \$130 million, respectively. See Note 20 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.

Strategic projects and technology investments

The success of our Best of Both strategy creates the platform to transition to Best for AllSM by expanding our competitive advantages in low-cost iron ore pellets, mini mill steel-making and best-in-class finishing assets to provide customers with profitable steel solutions for all of our stakeholders. We will continue to grow our capabilities to deliver product and process innovation to create value for our customers while enhancing our earnings profile, delivering long-term cash flow through industry cycles and reducing our capital and carbon intensity.

On January 15, 2021, the Company completed a significant strategic step with the acquisition of the remaining equity interest in Big River Steel for approximately \$625 million in cash net of \$36 million and \$62 million in cash and restricted cash received, respectively, and the assumption of liabilities of approximately \$50 million. The results of Big River Steel are reflected within the new Mini Mill segment. The acquisition of Big River Steel increased U. S. Steel's annual raw steel production capability by 3.3 million net tons to 26.2 million net tons. The Mini Mill segment has two EAFs, two ladle metallurgical furnace stations (LMFs), a Ruhrstahl Heraeus degasser, two continuous slab casters, a pickle line tandem cold mill, batch annealing, a temper mill and a galvanizing line. Big River Steel commenced commercial production on the second EAF during the fourth quarter of 2020, doubling its raw steel production capability.

In August 2021, the Company commenced construction on a non-grain oriented (NGO) electrical steel line at Big River Steel. The Company expects this investment to make Big River Steel a leader in NGO electric steels by delivering product capabilities in this growing market. The approximately \$450 million investment is expected to be funded by cash generated from Big River Steel's profitability and cash flow. The 200,000 ton NGO electrical steel line is expected to deliver first coil in September 2023 and be available to meet the growing electric vehicle demand expected in the United States over the coming years.

On September 16, 2021, the Company announced, as part of the continued transition to its Best for AllSM strategy, an exploratory site selection process to build a new, three-million-ton mini mill flat-rolled facility to be constructed in the United States. The planned mini mill will combine two state-of-the-art EAFs with differentiated steelmaking and finishing technology, including purchased equipment already owned by the Company. The continued adoption of mini mill technology will expand the Company's ability to produce the next generation of highly profitable proprietary sustainable steel solutions, including Advanced High Strength Steels. The current estimated investment is approximately \$3.0 billion and is currently expected to be funded primarily from existing cash and expected free cash flow. The final investment requirement is subject to ultimate site selection and scope of value-added downstream finishing assets.

In addition to the investments in its Mini Mill segment, the Company will continue to evaluate capability-focused opportunities across the Flat-Rolled segment, including at the Gary Hot Strip Mill.

Operating configuration adjustments

The Company also adjusted its operating configuration in response to global overcapacity, unfair trade practices and increases in domestic demand as a result of tariffs on imports by indefinitely and temporarily idling and then re-starting production at certain of its facilities. U. S. Steel will continue to adjust its operating configuration in order to maximize its strategy of providing Best for All profitable steel solutions for all stakeholders.

Operations idled for an indefinite period of time

In December 2019, U. S. Steel announced that it would indefinitely idle a significant portion of Great Lakes Works due to market conditions including continued high levels of imports. The Company began idling the iron and steelmaking facilities in March 2020 and the hot strip mill rolling facility in June 2020. The carrying value of the Great Lakes Works facilities that were indefinitely idled was approximately \$295 million as of September 30, 2021.

In December 2019, the Company completed the indefinite idling of its East Chicago Tin (ECT) operations within its Flat-Rolled segment. ECT was indefinitely idled primarily due to increased tin import levels in the U.S. Additionally, U. S. Steel indefinitely idled its finishing facility in Dearborn, Michigan (which operates an electrolytic galvanizing line), during the fourth quarter of 2019. The carrying value of these facilities was approximately \$15 million as of September 30, 2021.

In 2020, we took actions to adjust our footprint by idling certain operations to better align production with customer demand and respond to the impacts from the COVID-19 pandemic. The operations that were initially idled in 2020 and remained idle as of September 30, 2021 included:

- Blast Furnace A at Granite City Works
- Lone Star Tubular Operations
- Lorain Tubular Operations
- Wheeling Machine Products coupling production facility at Hughes Springs, Texas

As of September 30, 2021 the carrying value of the idled fixed assets for facilities noted above was: Granite City Works Blast Furnace A, \$60 million; Lone Star Tubular Operations, \$5 million; Lorain Tubular Operations, \$70 million and Wheeling Machine Product's production facility, immaterial.

Earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes by segment is set forth in the following table:

(Dollars in millions)	Three months ended September 30,			% Change	Nine months ended September 30,		
	2021	2020			2021	2020	% Change
Flat-Rolled	\$ 1,015	\$ (159)		738 %	\$ 1,740	\$ (523)	433 %
Mini Mill ^(a)	424	—		n/a	840	—	n/a
USSE	394	13		2,931 %	706	(27)	2,715 %
Tubular	—	(52)		100 %	(29)	(147)	80 %
Total earnings (loss) from reportable segments	1,833	(198)		1,026 %	3,257	(697)	567 %
Other	(2)	(13)		85 %	20	(33)	161 %
Segment earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes	1,831	(211)		968 %	3,277	(730)	549 %
Items not allocated to segments:							
Asset impairment	—	—			(28)	(263)	
Big River Steel - inventory step-up amortization	—	—			(24)	—	
Big River Steel - unrealized gains (losses)	12	—			(3)	—	
Big River Steel - acquisition costs	—	—			(9)	—	
Restructuring and other charges	—	—			(37)	(130)	
Loss on USSE assets held for sale	(7)	—			(7)	—	
Gain on sale of Transtar	506	—			506	—	
Gain on previously held investment in Big River Steel	—	—			111	—	
Property sale	—	—			15	—	
Tubular inventory impairment	—	—			—	(24)	
Gain on previously held investment in UPI	—	—			—	25	
December 24, 2018 Clairton coke making facility fire	\$ —	\$ —			\$ —	\$ 4	
Total earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes	\$ 2,342	\$ (211)		1,210 %	\$ 3,801	\$ (1,118)	440 %

^(a) Mini Mill segment added after January 15, 2021 with the purchase of the remaining equity interest in Big River Steel.

Segment results for Flat-Rolled

	Three months ended September 30,			% Change	Nine months ended September 30,		
	2021	2020			2021	2020	% Change
Earnings (loss) before interest and taxes (\$ millions)	\$ 1,015	\$ (159)		738 %	\$ 1,740	\$ (523)	433 %
Gross margin	32 %	1 %		31 %	25 %	— %	25 %
Raw steel production (mnt)	2,634	2,207		19 %	7,700	6,823	13 %
Capability utilization	61 %	52 %		9 %	61 %	53 %	8 %
Steel shipments (mnt)	2,328	2,155		8 %	6,986	6,454	8 %
Average realized steel price per ton	\$ 1,325	\$ 712		86 %	\$ 1,097	\$ 714	54 %

The increase in Flat-Rolled results for the three months ended September 30, 2021 compared to the same period in 2020 was primarily due to:

- increased average realized prices (approximately \$1,455 million)
- increased shipments, including volume efficiencies (approximately \$20 million)
- increased mining sales (approximately \$95 million)
- increased coke sales (approximately \$25 million),

this change was partially offset by:

- higher raw material costs (approximately \$90 million)

- increased operating costs (approximately \$15 million)
- higher energy costs (approximately \$30 million)
- increase substrate purchases (approximately \$40 million)
- higher other costs, primarily variable compensation (approximately \$245 million).

The increase in Flat-Rolled results for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 compared to the same period in 2020 was primarily due to:

- increased average realized prices (approximately \$2,650 million)
- increased shipments, including volume efficiencies (approximately \$40 million)
- increased mining sales (approximately \$170 million)
- increased coke sales (approximately \$55 million),

this change was partially offset by:

- higher raw material costs (approximately \$125 million)
- increased operating costs (approximately \$15 million)
- higher energy costs (approximately \$45 million)
- increased substrate purchases (approximately \$70 million)
- higher other costs, primarily variable compensation and substrate purchases (approximately \$395 million).

Gross margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2021 compared to the same period in 2020 increased primarily as a result of higher average realized prices and sales volume.

Segment results for Mini Mill ^(a)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			% Change	Nine Months Ended September 30,			% Change
	2021	2020			2021	2020		
Earnings before interest and taxes (\$ millions)	\$ 424	\$ —		n/a	\$ 840	\$ —		n/a
Gross margin	51 %	— %		n/a	45 %	— %		n/a
Raw steel production (mnt)	750	—		n/a	2,007	—		n/a
Capability utilization	90 %	— %		n/a	86 %	— %		n/a
Steel shipments (mnt)	608	—		n/a	1,671	—		n/a
Average realized steel price per ton	\$ 1,517	\$ —		n/a	\$ 1,255	\$ —		n/a

^(a) Mini Mill segment added after January 15, 2021 with the purchase of the remaining equity interest in Big River Steel.

Segment results for USSE

	Three Months Ended September 30,			% Change	Nine Months Ended September 30,			% Change
	2021	2020			2021	2020		
Earnings (loss) before interest and taxes (\$ millions)	\$ 394	\$ 13		2,931 %	\$ 706	\$ (27)		2,715 %
Gross margin	34 %	10 %		24 %	26 %	5 %		21 %
Raw steel production (mnt)	1,274	873		46 %	3,750	2,400		56 %
Capability utilization	101 %	69 %		32 %	100 %	64 %		36 %
Steel shipments (mnt)	1,064	790		35 %	3,274	2,201		49 %
Average realized steel price per (\$/ton)	\$ 1,143	\$ 608		88 %	\$ 932	\$ 616		51 %
Average realized steel price per (€/ton)	€ 969	€ 520		86 %	€ 779	€ 548		42 %

The increase in USSE results for the three months ended September 30, 2021 compared to the same period in 2020 was primarily due to:

- increased average realized prices (approximately \$580 million)
- increased shipments, including volume efficiencies (approximately \$15 million)
- strengthening of the Euro versus the U.S. dollar (approximately \$10 million),

these changes were partially offset by:

- higher raw material costs (approximately \$175 million)
- increased operating costs (approximately \$25 million)
- higher energy costs (\$20 million)
- higher other costs, primarily variable compensation accruals (approximately \$5 million).

The increase in USSE results for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 compared to the same period in 2020 was primarily due to:

- increased average realized prices (approximately \$985 million)
- increased shipments, including volume efficiencies (approximately \$70 million)
- strengthening of the Euro versus the U.S. dollar (approximately \$50 million),

these changes were partially offset by:

- higher raw material costs (approximately \$300 million)
- increased operating costs (approximately \$30 million)
- higher energy costs (approximately \$25 million)
- higher other costs, primarily variable compensation accruals (approximately \$15 million).

Gross margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2021 compared to the same periods in 2020 increased primarily as a result of higher average realized prices and sales volume.

Segment results for Tubular

	Three Months Ended September 30,		% Change	Nine Months Ended September 30,		% Change
	2021	2020		2021	2020	
Loss before interest and taxes (\$ millions)	\$ —	\$ (52)	100 %	\$ (29)	\$ (147)	80 %
Gross margin	7 %	(39)%	46 %	2 %	(20)%	22 %
Raw steel production (mnt) ^(a)	117	—	n/a	324	—	n/a
Capability utilization ^(a)	52 %	— %	52 %	48 %	— %	48 %
Steel shipments (mnt)	123	71	73 %	317	390	(19)%
Average realized steel price per ton	\$ 1,702	\$ 1,230	38 %	\$ 1,587	\$ 1,271	25 %

^(a) Tubular segment raw steel added in October 2020 with the start-up of the new electric arc furnace.

The increase in Tubular results for the three months ended September 30, 2021 as compared to the same period in 2020 occurred despite continued high levels of imports and was primarily due to:

- increased average realized prices (approximately \$45 million)
- increased shipments, including volume efficiencies (approximately \$25 million)
- lower other costs, primarily idled plant carrying costs, (approximately \$5 million),

these changes were partially offset by:

- increased operating costs (approximately \$10 million)
- higher energy costs (approximately \$15 million).

The increase in Tubular results for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 as compared to the same period in 2020 occurred despite continued high levels of imports and was primarily due to:

- increased average realized prices (approximately \$85 million)
- lower operating costs, including operating efficiencies (approximately \$20 million)
- lower other costs, primarily idled plant carrying costs, (approximately \$45 million),

these changes were partially offset by:

- higher raw material costs (approximately \$10 million)
- higher energy costs (approximately \$20 million).

Gross margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2021 compared to the same periods in 2020 increased primarily as a result of higher average realized prices and positive cost improvements from the new EAF and plant idlings, partially offset by increased raw material costs and increased imports.

Items not allocated to segments

- We recorded an impairment of \$28 million for the Mon Valley Works endless casting and rolling project in the nine months ended September 30, 2021. See Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement for further details.
- We recorded Big River Steel - inventory step-up amortization charge of \$24 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2021. See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.
- We recorded Big River Steel - unrealized gains (losses) of \$12 million and \$(3) million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2021, respectively, for the post-acquisition mark-to-market impacts of hedging instruments acquired with the purchase of the remaining equity interest in Big River Steel. See Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.
- We recorded Big River Steel - acquisition costs of \$9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2021. See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.

- We recorded restructuring and other charges of \$37 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2021. See Note 20 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.
- We recorded a loss on USSE assets held for sale of \$7 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2021.
- We recorded a gain on the sale of Transtar of \$506 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2021. See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.
- We recorded a gain on previously held equity investment in Big River Steel of \$111 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2021. See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.
- We recorded a property sale gain of \$15 million on the sale of a non-core real estate asset in the nine months ended September 30, 2021.

Net interest and other financial costs

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,			%	Nine Months Ended September 30,			%
	2021	2020	Change		2021	2020	Change	
Interest expense	\$ 75	\$ 84	11 %	\$ 251	\$ 198	(27)%		
Interest income	(1)	(1)	— %	(3)	(6)	(50)%		
Loss on debt extinguishment	26	—	(100)%	282	—	(100)%		
Other financial costs (benefits)	17	(30)	(157)%	39	(26)	(250)%		
Net periodic benefit income	(37)	(6)	517 %	(97)	(22)	341 %		
Total net interest and other financial costs	\$ 80	\$ 47	(70)%	\$ 472	\$ 144	(228)%		

Net interest and other financial costs increased in the three months ended September 30, 2021 as compared to the same period last year from increased debt retirement costs and higher other financial costs, partially offset by an increase in net periodic benefit income (as discussed further below).

Net interest and other financial costs increased in the nine months ended September 30, 2021 as compared to the same period last year from increased interest expense, debt retirement costs and higher other financial costs, partially offset by an increase in net periodic benefit income (as discussed below).

The net periodic benefit income components of pension and other benefit costs are reflected in the table above, and increased in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2021 as compared to the same periods last year primarily due to better than expected asset performance and lower amortization of prior service costs.

Income taxes

The **income tax expense (benefit)** was \$260 million and \$224 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2021, respectively, compared to \$(24) million and \$(48) million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2020, respectively.

At June 30, 2021, U. S. Steel determined, based upon weighing all positive and negative evidence, that a full valuation allowance for the domestic deferred tax assets was no longer required. Accordingly, we reversed all of the domestic valuation allowance except for a portion of the domestic valuation allowance related to certain state net operating losses and state tax credits. As of the nine months ended September 30, 2021, the valuation allowance release resulted in a \$514 million non-cash net benefit to earnings. That determination was based, in part, on U. S. Steel's cumulative income from the past three years and projections of income in future years. The release of the valuation allowance contains discrete and current year impacts that are recorded in the income tax benefit. The remaining domestic valuation allowance will be remeasured in future periods.

The tax benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2020 includes a \$39 million benefit related to recording a loss from continuing operations and income from other comprehensive income categories.

Net earnings attributable to United States Steel Corporation were \$2,002 million and \$3,105 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2021, respectively, compared to a net loss of \$(234) million and \$(1,214) million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2020, respectively. The changes primarily reflect the factors discussed above.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$2,605 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 compared to net cash used by operating activities of \$149 million in the same period last year. The increase in cash from operations is primarily due to stronger financial results, partially offset by changes in working capital period over period. Changes in working capital can vary significantly depending on factors such as the timing of inventory production and purchases, which is affected by the length of our business cycles as well as our captive raw materials position, customer payments of accounts receivable and payments to vendors in the regular course of business.

Our cash conversion cycle for the third quarter of 2021 improved by eight days as compared to the fourth quarter of 2020 as shown below:

Cash Conversion Cycle	Third Quarter of 2021		Fourth Quarter of 2020	
	\$ millions	Days	\$ millions	Days
Accounts receivable, net ^(a)	\$2,403	34	\$994	38
+ Inventories ^(b)	\$2,086	47	\$1,402	54
- Accounts Payable and Other Accrued Liabilities ^(c)	\$2,812	65	\$1,861	68
= Cash Conversion Cycle ^(d)		16		24

^(a) Calculated as Average Accounts Receivable, net divided by total Net Sales multiplied by the number of days in the period.

^(b) Calculated as Average Inventory divided by total Cost of Sales multiplied by the number of days in the period.

^(c) Calculated as Average Accounts Payable and Other Accrued Liabilities less bank checks outstanding and other current liabilities divided by total Cost of Sales multiplied by the number of days in the period.

^(d) Calculated as Accounts Receivable Days plus Inventory Days less Accounts Payable Days.

The cash conversion cycle is a non-generally accepted accounting principles (non-GAAP) financial measure. We believe the cash conversion cycle is a useful measure in providing investors with information regarding our cash management performance and is a widely accepted measure of working capital management efficiency. The cash conversion cycle should not be considered in isolation or as an alternative to other GAAP metrics as an indicator of performance.

The last-in, first-out (LIFO) inventory method is the predominant method of inventory costing in the United States. Based on the Company's latest internal forecasts and its inventory requirements, management does not believe there will be significant permanent LIFO liquidations that would impact earnings for the remainder of 2021.

Capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2021, were \$460 million, compared with \$591 million in the same period in 2020. Flat-Rolled capital expenditures were \$272 million and included spending for Endless Casting and Rolling, Gary Hot Strip Mill upgrades, mining equipment and various other infrastructure, environmental, and strategic projects. Mini Mill capital expenditures were \$102 million and primarily included spending for Phase II expansion. USSE capital expenditures of \$39 million consisted of spending for BF Stove, Degasser improvements, Dynamo Line and various other infrastructure, and environmental projects. Tubular capital expenditures were \$46 million and included spending for the Fairfield EAF project and various other infrastructure, and environmental projects.

U. S. Steel's contractual commitments to acquire property, plant and equipment at September 30, 2021, totaled \$687 million.

Net cash used by financing activities was \$2,120 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2021 compared to net cash provided of \$1,574 million in the same period last year. The decrease was primarily due to the repayment of debt, partially offset by the issuance of common stock.

The following table summarizes U. S. Steel's liquidity as of September 30, 2021:

(Dollars in millions)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,044
Amount available under Credit Facility Agreement	\$ 1,746
Amount available under Big River Steel - Revolving Line of Credit	350
Amount available under USSK Credit Agreement and USSK Credit Facility	363
Total estimated liquidity	\$ 4,503

On April 12, 2021, United States Steel Corporation entered into a Notice and Acknowledgement with the Export Credit Agreement (ECA) lender, facility agent and ECA agent, KFW IPEX-BANK GMBH to acknowledge that the previously announced endless casting and rolling project at Mon Valley Works would no longer be pursued and the associated equipment for the project

is now being evaluated for other uses. Use of the Export-Credit Agreement for further equipment purchases is also being evaluated. As of September 30, 2021, \$136 million was owed on the ECA.

In February 2021, we issued 48,300,000 shares of common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$790 million and issued \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.875% Senior Notes due 2029 (2029 Senior Notes) for net proceeds of \$739 million after transaction costs. With the common stock and 2029 Senior Notes issuance proceeds and cash on hand in the first nine months of 2021 we fully redeemed our 12.000% Senior Secured Notes due 2025 and 6.875% Senior Notes due 2025 in the aggregate principal amount of \$1.056 billion and \$750 million plus premiums of \$181 million and \$12 million, respectively, repaid in full our Export-Import Credit Agreement in the amount of \$180 million, partially redeemed our 6.250% Senior Notes due 2026 and 6.250% 2029 Senior Secured Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$420 million and \$180 million plus premiums of \$12 million and \$5 million, respectively, and reduced the borrowing under our Credit Facility Agreement and USSK Facility Agreement by \$500 million and \$368 million, respectively. See Note 15 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.

With the acquisition of Big River Steel on January 15, 2021 we assumed additional indebtedness. Below is a summary of the most significant debt acquired as of September 30, 2021. See Note 15 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.

- 6.625% Senior Secured Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$900 million that mature in January 2029;
- 4.50% Arkansas Development Finance Authority Bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$487 million that have a final maturity in September 2049;
- 4.75% Arkansas Development Finance Authority Bonds Tax Exempt Series 2020 (Green Bonds) in the aggregate principal amount of \$265 million that have a final maturity in September 2049;
- Arkansas Teacher Retirement System Notes Payable in the amount of \$106 million that mature in 2023.

Certain of our credit facilities, including the Credit Facility Agreement, the Big River Steel ABL Facility, the USSK Credit Agreement and the Export Credit Agreement, contain standard terms and conditions including customary material adverse change clauses. If a material adverse change was to occur, our ability to fund future operating and capital requirements could be negatively impacted.

We may from time to time seek to retire or repurchase our outstanding long-term debt through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, exchange transactions, redemptions or otherwise. Such purchases or exchanges, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, and other factors and may be commenced or suspended at any time. The amounts involved may be material. See Note 15 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details regarding U. S. Steel's debt.

We use surety bonds, trusts and letters of credit to provide financial assurance for certain transactions and business activities. The use of some forms of financial assurance and cash collateral have a negative impact on liquidity. U. S. Steel has committed \$214 million of liquidity sources for financial assurance purposes as of September 30, 2021. Increases in certain of these commitments which use collateral are reflected within cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

In October 2020, the Company entered into a supply chain finance agreement with a third-party administrator with an initial term of one year which is guaranteed by the Export Import Bank of the United States (Ex-Im Guarantee). See our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2020 for further details. As of September 30, 2021, accounts payable and accrued expenses included \$73 million of outstanding payment obligations which suppliers elected to sell to participating financial institutions. Access to supply chain financing could be curtailed in the future if the terms of the Ex-Im Guarantee are modified or if our credit ratings are downgraded. If access to supply chain financing is curtailed, working capital could be negatively impacted which may necessitate additional borrowing.

We finished the third quarter of 2021 with \$2,044 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$4,503 million of total liquidity. Available cash is left on deposit with financial institutions or invested in highly liquid securities with parties we believe to be creditworthy. Substantially all of the liquidity attributable to our foreign subsidiaries can be accessed without the imposition of income taxes as a result of the election effective December 31, 2013 to liquidate for U.S. income tax purposes a foreign subsidiary that holds most of our international operations.

U. S. Steel management believes that our liquidity will be adequate to fund our requirements based on our current assumptions with respect to our results of operations and financial condition.

We expect that our estimated liquidity requirements will consist primarily of the remaining portion of our 2021 planned strategic and sustaining capital expenditures, additional debt repayment, working capital requirements, interest expense, and operating costs and employee benefits for our operations after taking into account recent footprint actions and cost reductions at our plants and headquarters. Our available liquidity at September 30, 2021 consists principally of our cash and cash equivalents and available borrowings under the Credit Facility Agreement, Big River Steel ABL Facility, USSK Credit Agreement and the USSK Credit Facility. Management continues to evaluate market conditions in our industry and our global liquidity position, and may consider additional actions to further strengthen our balance sheet and optimize liquidity, including but not limited to the

repayment or refinancing of outstanding debt and the incurrence of additional debt to opportunistically finance strategic projects. The company may also return excess liquidity to shareholders through share repurchases and dividends from time to time if deemed appropriate by management.

Environmental Matters, Litigation and Contingencies

Some of U. S. Steel's facilities were in operation before 1900. Although the Company believes that its environmental practices have either led the industry or at least been consistent with prevailing industry practices, hazardous materials have been and may continue to be released at current or former operating sites or delivered to sites operated by third parties.

Our U.S. facilities are subject to environmental laws applicable in the U.S., including the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Clean Water Act (CWA), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), as well as state and local laws and regulations.

U. S. Steel has incurred and will continue to incur substantial capital, operating, and maintenance and remediation expenditures as a result of environmental laws and regulations, related to release of hazardous materials, which in recent years have been mainly for process changes to meet CAA obligations and similar obligations in Europe.

EU Environmental Requirements and Slovak Operations

Phase IV of the EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) commenced on January 1, 2021 and will finish on December 31, 2030. The European Commission issued final approval of the Slovak National Allocation table in July 2021. The Slovak Ministry of Environment's decision on USSE's free allocation for the first five years of the Phase IV period is expected by the end of 2021. In the fourth quarter of 2020 USSE started purchasing EUA for the Phase IV period. As of September 30, 2021, we have pre-purchased approximately 3.3 million EUA totaling €134 million (approximately \$155 million).

The EU's Industrial Emissions Directive requires implementation of EU determined best available techniques (BAT) for Iron and Steel production, to reduce environmental impacts as well as compliance with BAT associated emission levels. Total capital expenditures for projects to comply with or go beyond BAT requirements were €138 million (approximately \$160 million) over the actual program period. These costs were partially offset by the EU funding received and may be mitigated over the next measurement periods if USSK complies with certain financial covenants, which are assessed annually. USSK complied with these covenants as of September 30, 2021. If we are unable to meet these covenants in the future, USSK might be required to provide additional collateral (e.g. bank guarantee) to secure 50 percent of the EU funding received.

For further discussion of laws applicable in Slovakia and the EU and their impact on USSE, see Note 21 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters, EU Environmental Requirements."

New and Emerging Environmental Regulations

United States and European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Regulations

Future compliance with CO₂ emission requirements may include substantial costs for emission allowances, restriction of production and higher prices for coking coal, natural gas and electricity generated by carbon-based systems. Because we cannot predict what requirements ultimately will be imposed in the U.S. and Europe, it is difficult to estimate the likely impact on U. S. Steel, but it could be substantial. On March 28, 2017, President Trump signed Executive Order 13783 instructing the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) to review the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As a result, in June 2019, the U.S. EPA published a final rule, the "Affordable Clean Energy (ACE) Rule" that replaced the CPP. Twenty-three states, the District of Columbia, and seven municipalities are challenging the CPP repeal and ACE rule in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. A coalition of 21 states has intervened in the litigation in support of the U.S. EPA. Various other public interest organizations, industry groups, and Members of Congress are also participating in the litigation. On January 19, 2021, the District of Columbia Circuit vacated and remanded the ACE to the U.S. EPA, while the CPP remains stayed. It is unclear as to how the new Biden administration will proceed with the remand. Any impacts to our operations as a result of any future greenhouse gas regulations are not estimable at this time since the matter is unsettled. In any case, to the extent expenditures associated with any greenhouse gas regulation, as with all costs, are not ultimately reflected in the prices of U. S. Steel's products and services, operating results will be reduced.

The Phase IV EU ETS period spans 2021-2030 and began on January 1, 2021. The Phase IV period is divided into two sub periods (2021-2025 and 2026-2030), rules for the first subperiod are finalized, however we expect that rules for the second subperiod may be more stringent than those for the first one. Currently, the overall EU target is a 40 percent reduction of 1990 emissions by 2030. Free allocation of CO₂ allowances is based on reduced benchmark values which have been published in the first quarter of 2021 and historical levels of production from 2014-2018. Allocations to individual installations may be adjusted annually to reflect relevant increases and decreases in production. The threshold for adjustments is set at 15 percent and will be assessed on the basis of a rolling average of two precedent years. Production data verified by an external auditor shows that USSE missed the 15 percent threshold in 2019-20; therefore, the free allocation for 2021 will be decreased. Additionally, lower production in 2019 and 2020 will have an impact on the future free allocation for 2026-2030, where the historical production average for years 2019-2023 will be assessed. Once approved, the rules may impact subperiod 2026-2030.

In order to achieve the EU political goal of carbon emissions neutrality by 2050, on July 14, 2021, the European Commission released a package of legislative proposals called Fit for 55. The proposals contain significant changes to current EU ETS functions and requirements, including: a new carbon border adjustment mechanism (CBAM) to impose carbon fees on EU imports, further reduction of free CO₂ allowance allocation to heavy industry and measures to strengthen the supply of carbon allowances. The proposals are subject to the EU legislative process and we cannot predict their future impact.

United States - Air

The CAA imposes stringent limits on air emissions with a federally mandated operating permit program and civil and criminal enforcement sanctions. The CAA requires, among other things, the regulation of hazardous air pollutants through the development and promulgation of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) Standards. The U.S. EPA has developed various industry-specific MACT standards pursuant to this requirement. The CAA requires the U.S. EPA to promulgate regulations establishing emission standards for each category of Hazardous Air Pollutants. The U.S. EPA also must conduct risk assessments on each source category that is already subject to MACT standards and determine if additional standards are needed to reduce residual risks.

While our operations are subject to several different categories of NESHAP and MACT standards, the principal impact of these standards on U. S. Steel's operations includes those that are specific to coke making, iron making, steel making and iron ore processing.

On July 13, 2020, the U.S. EPA published a Residual Risk and Technology Review (RTR) rule for the Integrated Iron and Steel MACT category in the Federal Register. Based on the results of the U.S. EPA's risk review, the agency determined that risks due to emissions of air toxics from the Integrated Iron and Steel category are acceptable and that the current regulations provided an ample margin of safety to protect public health. Under the technology review, the U.S. EPA determined that there are no developments in practices, processes or control technologies that necessitate revision of the standards. In September 2020, several petitions for review of the rule, including those filed by the Company, the American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI), Clean Air Council and others, were filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. The cases were consolidated and are being held in abeyance until the U.S. EPA reviews and responds to administrative petitions for review. For the Taconite Iron Ore Processing category, based on the results of the U.S. EPA's risk review, the agency promulgated a final rule on July 28, 2020, in which the U.S. EPA determined that risks from emissions of air toxics from this source category are acceptable and that the existing standards provide an ample margin of safety. Furthermore, under the technology review, the agency identified no cost-effective developments in controls, practices, or processes to achieve further emissions reductions. Based upon our analysis of the proposed taconite rule, the Company does not expect any material impact as a result of the rule. However, petitions for review of the rule were filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, in which the Company and AISI intervened. Because the U.S. EPA has not completed its review of the Coke MACT regulations, any impacts related to the U.S. EPA's review of the coke standards cannot be estimated at this time.

On March 12, 2018, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC), along with other petitioners, submitted a CAA Section 126(b) petition to the U.S. EPA. In the petition, the DEC asserts that stationary sources from the following nine states are interfering with attainment or maintenance of the 2008 and 2015 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) in New York: Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia. DEC is requesting the U.S. EPA to require sources of nitrogen oxides in the nine states to reduce such emissions. In a final rule promulgated in the October 18, 2019, Federal Register, the U.S. EPA denied the petition. On October 29, 2019, New York, New Jersey, and the City of New York petitioned the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit for review of the U.S. EPA's denial of the petition. In July 2020, the Court vacated the U.S. EPA's determination and remanded it back to the U.S. EPA to reconsider the 126(b) petition in a manner consistent with the Court's opinion. At this time, since the U.S. EPA's decision after its reconsideration is unknown, the impacts of any reconsideration are indeterminable and inestimable.

The CAA also requires the U.S. EPA to develop and implement NAAQS for criteria pollutants, which include, among others, particulate matter (PM) - consisting of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}, lead, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and ozone.

In October 2015, the U.S. EPA lowered the NAAQS for ozone from 75 parts per billion (ppb) to 70 ppb. On November 6, 2017, the U.S. EPA designated most areas in which we operate as attainment with the 2015 standard. In a separate ruling, on June 4, 2018, the U.S. EPA designated other areas in which we operate as "marginal nonattainment" with the 2015 ozone standard. On December 6, 2018, the U.S. EPA published a final rule regarding implementation of the 2015 ozone standard. Because no state regulatory or permitting actions to bring the ozone nonattainment areas into attainment have yet to be proposed or developed for U. S. Steel facilities, the operational and financial impact of the ozone NAAQS cannot be reasonably estimated at this time. On December 31, 2020, the U.S. EPA published a final rule pursuant to its statutorily required review of NAAQS that retains the ozone NAAQS at 70 ppb. In January 2021, New York, along with several states and non-governmental organizations filed petitions for judicial review of the action with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. Several other states and industry trade groups intervened in support of the U. S. EPA's action. The case remains before the Court.

On December 14, 2012, the U.S. EPA lowered the annual standard for PM_{2.5} from 15 micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m³) to 12 ug/m³, and retained the PM_{2.5} 24-hour and PM₁₀ NAAQS rules. In December 2014, the U.S. EPA designated some areas in

which U. S. Steel operates as nonattainment with the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} standard. On April 6, 2018, the U.S. EPA published a notice that Pennsylvania, California and Idaho failed to submit a SIP to demonstrate attainment with the 2012 fine particulate standard by the deadline established by the CAA. As a result of the notice, Pennsylvania, a state in which we operate, was required to submit a State Implementation Plan (SIP) to the U.S. EPA no later than November 7, 2019 to avoid sanctions. On April 29, 2019, the ACHD published a draft SIP for the Allegheny County nonattainment area which demonstrates that all of Allegheny County will meet its reasonable further progress requirements and be in attainment with the 2012 PM_{2.5} annual and 24-hour NAAQS by December 31, 2021 with the existing controls that are in place. On September 12, 2019, the Allegheny County Board of Health unanimously approved the draft SIP. The draft SIP was then sent to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP). PADEP submitted the SIP to the U.S. EPA for approval on November 1, 2019. To date, the U.S. EPA has not taken action on PADEP's submittal. On December 18, 2020, the U.S. EPA published a final rule pursuant to its statutorily required review of NAAQS that retains the existing PM_{2.5} standards without revision. In early 2021, several states and non-governmental organizations filed petitions for judicial review of the action with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. Several industry trade groups intervened in support of the U.S. EPA's action. The case remains before the Court.

On January 26, 2021, ACHD announced that for the first time in history all eight air quality monitors in Allegheny County met the federal air quality standards including particulate matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀).

On November 20, 2020, ACHD proposed a reduction to the current allowable emissions from coke plant operations, including the hydrogen sulfide content of coke oven gas, that would be more stringent than the Federal Best Available Control Technology and Lowest Achievable Emission Rate requirements. In various meetings with ACHD, U. S. Steel has raised significant objections, in particular, that ACHD has not demonstrated that continuous compliance with the draft rule is economically and technologically feasible. While U. S. Steel continues to meet with ACHD regarding the draft rule, U. S. Steel believes that any rule promulgated by ACHD must comply with its statutory authority. If the draft rule or similar rule is adopted, the financial and operational impacts to U. S. Steel could be material. To assist in developing rules objectively and with adequate technical justification, the June 27, 2019, Settlement Agreement, establishes procedures that would be used when developing a new rule. Because U. S. Steel believes ACHD did not follow the procedures prescribed in the June 27, 2019 Settlement Agreement (Agreement) with ACHD, U. S. Steel has invoked dispute resolution per the terms of the Agreement regarding ACHD's proposed coke rule. U. S. Steel and ACHD are currently negotiating resolution of the disputes.

For further discussion of relevant environmental matters, including environmental remediation obligations, see "Item 1. Legal Proceedings - Environmental Proceedings."

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

U. S. Steel did not enter into any new material off-balance sheet arrangements during the third quarter of 2021.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE

U. S. Steel continues to face import competition, much of which is unfairly traded, supported by foreign governments, and fueled by massive global steel overcapacity, currently estimated to be over 478 million metric tons per year—more than five times the entire U.S. steel market and more than seventeen times total U.S. steel imports. These imports, as well as the underlying policies/practices and overcapacity, impact the Company's operational and financial performance. U. S. Steel continues to lead efforts to address these challenges that threaten the Company, our workers, our stockholders, and our country's national and economic security.

As of the date of this filing, pursuant to a series of Presidential Proclamations issued in accordance with Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, U.S. imports of certain steel products are subject to a 25 percent tariff, except for imports from: (1) Argentina, Brazil, and South Korea, which are subject to restrictive quotas; (2) Canada and Mexico, which are not subject to either tariffs or quotas but tariffs could be re-imposed on surging product groups after consultations; and (3) Australia, which is not subject to tariffs, quotas, or an anti-surge mechanism.

The U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC) is managing a process in which U.S. companies may request and/or oppose one-year temporary product exclusions from the Section 232 tariffs and quotas. Over 297,000 temporary exclusions have been requested for steel products.

Multiple legal challenges to the Section 232 action continue before the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) and U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC). U.S. courts have consistently rejected constitutional and statutory challenges to the initial steel Section 232 action and overall product exclusion process. Multiple countries have challenged the Section 232 action at the World Trade Organization (WTO), imposed retaliatory tariffs, and/or acted to safeguard their domestic steel industries from increased steel imports. In turn, the United States has challenged the retaliation at the WTO.

The United States and the EU continue negotiations initiated in May 2021 to address the Section 232 action and global steel overcapacity. The EU has suspended the doubling of Section 232 retaliation until December 2021.

Since its implementation in March 2018, the Section 232 action has supported the U.S. steel industry's and U. S. Steel's investments in advanced steel production capabilities, technology, and skills, thereby strengthening U.S. national and economic security. The Company continues to actively defend the Section 232 action.

In February 2019, the European Commission (EC) imposed a definitive tariff rate quota safeguard of 25 percent tariffs on certain steel imports that exceed established quotas. In June 2021, the EC voted to extend the safeguard for an additional three years, until June 2024.

Antidumping duties (AD) and countervailing duties (CVD or anti-subsidy duties) are applied to certain steel product imports in addition to Section 232 measures in the United States or the steel safeguard in the EU, and AD/CVD orders will continue beyond the Section 232 action and the EC's safeguard. U. S. Steel continues to actively defend and maintain the 60 U.S. AD/CVD orders and 12 EU AD/CVD orders covering products that can be manufactured by U. S. Steel in multiple proceedings before the DOC, U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC), CIT, CAFC, EC, European courts, and the WTO.

In August 2021, the United States imposed five new AD/CVD orders and duties ranging from 6 to 258 percent on imports of seamless pipe from Korea, Russia, and Ukraine. In October 2021, U. S. Steel Tubular Products joined the USW, AFL-CIO, Borusan Mannesmann Pipe, PTC Liberty Tubulars, and Welded Tube in filing new AD/CVD petitions on imports of oil country tubular goods from Argentina, Mexico, Korea, and Russia. The ITC is conducting five year "sunset" reviews of AD/CVD orders on hot-rolled, cold-rolled, and corrosion-resistant steel from twelve countries, with decisions expected in July to October 2022.

Additional tariffs of 7.5 to 25 percent continue to apply to certain U.S. imports from China, including certain raw materials used in steel production, semi-finished and finished steel products, and downstream steel products, pursuant to Section 301 of the Trade Act of 1974.

U. S. Steel will continue to execute a broad, global strategy to maximize opportunities and navigate challenges presented by imports, global steel overcapacity, and international trade law and policy developments.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

See Notes 2 and 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk, see Item 7A "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020, there were no material changes in U. S. Steel's exposure to market risk from December 31, 2020.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

U. S. Steel has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2021. These disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that were designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports that are filed with or submitted to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission are: (1) accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures and (2) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in applicable law and regulations. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2021, U. S. Steel's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have not been any changes in U. S. Steel's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter covered by this quarterly report, which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, U. S. Steel's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

GENERAL LITIGATION

On June 8, 2021, JSW Steel (USA) Inc. and JSW Steel USA Ohio, Inc. (collectively, JSW), U.S. based subsidiaries of Indian steelmaker JSW Steel filed suit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas against Nucor, U. S. Steel, AK Steel Holding Group, and Cleveland-Cliffs (collectively, the Defendants) alleging that the Defendants operated as a cartel and

formed a conspiracy to boycott JSW from obtaining semi-finished steel slabs. JSW alleges that the Defendants acted in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and the Clayton Act (federal antitrust), and violation of the Texas Free Enterprise and Antitrust Act. JSW also alleges that the Defendants formed a civil conspiracy in violation of Texas common law, and that the Defendants tortiously interfered with JSW's business relationships. The basis for JSW's allegations relate to the Defendants participation in the U. S. Department of Commerce's Section 232 process, including Defendants' support of the enactment of the President's Section 232 proclamation, statements made by the Defendants after the enactment of Section 232, and Defendants' participation in the Section 232 exclusion process. Plaintiffs seek monetary damages including \$45 million for payment of Section 232 tariffs and unspecified amounts for financial penalties, termination fees and lost profits as well as other damages. U. S. Steel, along with the other Defendants, filed a Motion to Dismiss the case on August 17, 2021. The Company is vigorously defending the matter.

On January 22, 2021, NLMK Pennsylvania, LLC and NLMK Indiana, LLC (NLMK) filed a Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania against the Company. The Complaint alleges that the Company made misrepresentations to the U. S. Department of Commerce regarding NLMK's requests to be excluded from tariffs assessed on steel slabs imported into the United States pursuant to the March 2018 Section 232 Presidential Order imposing tariffs. NLMK claims over \$100 million in compensatory and other damages. The Company removed the claim to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania on February 25, 2021. NLMK filed a Motion to Remand the claim back to State court, which the court denied and the claim remains in Federal court. U. S. Steel filed a Motion to Dismiss the case on August 30, 2021. The Company is vigorously defending the matter.

On April 11, 2017, there was a process waste-water release at our Midwest Plant (Midwest) in Portage, Indiana, that impacted a water outfall that discharges to Burns Waterway near Lake Michigan. The Company has since implemented substantial operational, process and notification improvements at Midwest. In January of 2018, The Surfrider Foundation and the City of Chicago initiated suits in the Northern District of Indiana alleging Clean Water Act (CWA) and permit violations at Midwest. On April 2, 2018, the U.S. EPA and the State of Indiana initiated a separate action against the Company and lodged a Consent Decree negotiated between U. S. Steel and the relevant governmental agencies consisting of all material terms to resolve the CWA and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) violations at the Midwest Plant. A public comment period for the Consent Decree ensued. The suits that the Surfrider Foundation and the City of Chicago filed are currently stayed. The Surfrider Foundation and the City of Chicago also filed motions, which were granted, to intervene in the Consent Decree case. The United States Department of Justice (DOJ) filed a revised Consent Decree and a motion with the court to enter the Consent Decree as final on November 20, 2019. Surfrider Foundation, City of Chicago and other non-governmental organizations filed objections to the revised Consent Decree. The DOJ and U. S. Steel made filings in support of the revised Consent Decree. On August 31, 2021, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana issued an Opinion and Order entering the Consent Decree.

On November 30, 2018, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) issued a new Water Discharge Permit for the Minntac Tailings Basin waters. The permit contains new sulfate limitations applicable to water in the Tailings Basin and groundwater flowing from U. S. Steel's property. The MPCA also acted on the same date, denying the Company's requests for variances from ground and surface water standards and request for a contested case hearing. U. S. Steel filed appeals with the Minnesota Court of Appeals challenging the actions taken by the MPCA. Separate appeals were filed by a Minnesota Native American Tribe (Fond du Lac Band) and a nonprofit environmental group (Water Legacy). All cases were consolidated. On December 9, 2019, the Court issued a favorable ruling to U. S. Steel, removing the sulfate limitations for the Tailings Basin and groundwater. The opposing parties filed appeals with the Minnesota Supreme Court on January 8, 2020 which were accepted by that Court. On February 10, 2021 the Minnesota Supreme Court reversed the Court of Appeals' decision regarding sulfate limitations and remanded the case for further proceedings, including a determination on the Company's requests for variances. On June 28, 2021, the Court of Appeals issued a ruling denying the Company's request for a variance from the sulfate standard for a contested case hearing. A Petition for Review of the variance decision was filed with the Minnesota Supreme Court on July 27, 2021. The Minnesota Supreme Court denied the request for review on September 21, 2021.

On October 2, 2017, an Amended Shareholder Class Action Complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania consolidating previously-filed actions. Separately, five related shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed in State and Federal courts in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and the Delaware Court of Chancery. The underlying consolidated class action lawsuit alleges that U. S. Steel, certain current and former officers, an upper level manager of the Company and the financial underwriters who participated in the August 2016 secondary public offering of the Company's common stock (collectively, Defendants) violated federal securities laws in making false statements and/or failing to discover and disclose material information regarding the financial condition of the Company. The lawsuit claims that this conduct caused a prospective class of plaintiffs to sustain damages during the period from January 27, 2016 to April 25, 2017 as a result of the prospective class purchasing the Company's common stock at artificially inflated prices and/or suffering losses when the price of the common stock dropped. The derivative lawsuits generally make the same allegations against the same officers and also allege that certain current and former members of the Board of Directors failed to exercise appropriate control and oversight over the Company and were unjustly compensated. The plaintiffs seek to recover losses that were allegedly sustained. The class action Defendants moved to dismiss plaintiffs' claims. On September 29, 2018 the Court ruled on those motions granting them in part and denying them in part. On March 18, 2019, the plaintiffs withdrew the claims against the Defendants related to the 2016 secondary offering. As a result, the underwriters are no longer parties to the case. On December 31, 2019, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion to certify the proceeding as a class action. The Company's appeal of that decision has been denied by the Third

Circuit Court of Appeals and the class has been notified. Discovery has concluded and the Company and individual defendants continue vigorously defending the remaining claims.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROCEEDINGS

The following is a summary of the proceedings of U. S. Steel that were pending or contemplated as of September 30, 2021, under federal and state environmental laws and which U. S. Steel reasonably believes may result in monetary sanctions of at least \$1 million (the threshold chosen by U. S. Steel as permitted by Item 103 of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Information about specific sites where U. S. Steel is or has been engaged in significant clean up or remediation activities is also summarized below. Except as described herein, it is not possible to accurately predict the ultimate outcome of these matters.

CERCLA Remediation Sites

Claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) have been raised with respect to the cleanup of various waste disposal and other sites. Under CERCLA, potentially responsible parties (PRPs) for a site include current owners and operators, past owners and operators at the time of disposal, persons who arranged for disposal of a hazardous substance at a site, and persons who transported a hazardous substance to a site. CERCLA imposes strict and joint and several liabilities. Because of various factors, including the ambiguity of the regulations, the difficulty of identifying the responsible parties for any particular site, the complexity of determining the relative liability among them, the uncertainty as to the most desirable remediation techniques, and the amount of damages and cleanup costs and the time period during which such costs may be incurred, we are unable to reasonably estimate U. S. Steel's ultimate liabilities under CERCLA.

As of September 30, 2021, U. S. Steel has received information requests or been identified as a PRP at a total of four CERCLA sites, three of which have liabilities that have not been resolved. Based on currently available information, which is in many cases preliminary and incomplete, management believes that U. S. Steel's liability for CERCLA cleanup and remediation costs at the other site will be over \$5 million as described below.

Duluth Works

The former U. S. Steel Duluth Works site was placed on the National Priorities List under CERCLA in 1983 and on the State of Minnesota's Superfund list in 1984. Liability for environmental remediation at the site is governed by a Response Order by Consent executed with the MPCA in 1985 and a Record of Decision signed by MPCA in 1989. U. S. Steel has partnered with the Great Lakes National Program Office (GLNPO) of the U.S. EPA Region 5 to address contaminated sediments in the St. Louis River Estuary and several other Operable Units that could impact the Estuary if not addressed. An amendment to the Project Agreement between U. S. Steel and GLNPO was executed during the second quarter of 2018 to recognize the costs associated with implementing the proposed remedial plan at the site.

Remediation contracts were issued by both USS and GLNPO for the first phase of the remedial work at the site during the fourth quarter of 2020. USS and GLNPO have contracted for the second phase of work at the site which will extend through early 2022. Work continues on refinement of the final phase of the remedial design and permitting. USS' portion of additional, design, oversight costs, and implementation of Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the preferred remedial alternative on the upland property and Estuary are currently estimated as of September 30, 2021 at approximately \$23 million. Significant additional costs associated with this site are possible and are referenced in Note 21 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters - Remediation Projects - Projects with Ongoing Study and Scope Development."

Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) and Other Remediation Sites

U. S. Steel may be liable for remediation costs under other environmental statutes, both federal and state, or where private parties are seeking to impose liability on U. S. Steel for remediation costs through discussions or litigation. There are nine such sites where remediation is being sought involving amounts in excess of \$1 million. Based on currently available information, which is in many cases preliminary and incomplete, management believes that liability for cleanup and remediation costs in connection with five sites may involve remediation costs between \$1 million and \$5 million per site and four sites are estimated to or could have, costs for remediation, investigation, restoration or compensation in excess of \$5 million per site.

For more information on the status of remediation activities at U. S. Steel's significant sites, see the discussions below.

Gary Works

On October 23, 1998, the U.S. EPA issued a final Administrative Order on Consent (Order) addressing Corrective Action for Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU) throughout Gary Works. This Order requires U. S. Steel to perform a RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), a Corrective Measures Study (CMS) and Corrective Measure Implementation. Evaluations are underway at six groundwater areas on the east side of the facility. An Interim Stabilization Measure work plan has been approved by the U.S. EPA for one of the six areas and a contractor has recently completed installation and startup of the remedial system. Until the remaining Phase I work and Phase II field investigations are completed, it is not possible to assess what additional expenditures

will be necessary for Corrective Action projects at Gary Works. In total, the accrued liability for Corrective Action projects is approximately \$24 million as of September 30, 2021, based on our current estimate of known remaining costs.

Geneva Works

At U. S. Steel's former Geneva Works, liability for environmental remediation, including the closure of three hazardous waste impoundments and facility-wide corrective action, has been allocated between U. S. Steel and the current property owner pursuant to an agreement and a permit issued by the Utah Department of Environmental Quality (UDEQ). Having completed the investigation on a majority of the remaining areas identified in the permit, U. S. Steel had determined the most effective means to address the remaining impacted material was to manage those materials in a previously approved on-site Corrective Action Management Unit (CAMU). U. S. Steel awarded a contract for the implementation of the CAMU project during the fourth quarter of 2018. Construction, waste stabilization and placement along with closure of the CAMU were substantially completed in the fourth quarter of 2020. U. S. Steel has an accrued liability of approximately \$19 million as of September 30, 2021, for our estimated share of the remaining costs of remediation at the site.

USS-UPI LLC (UPI)

In February 2020, U. S. Steel purchased the remaining 50 percent interest in USS-POSCO Industries, a former joint venture that is located in Pittsburg, California between subsidiaries of U. S. Steel and POSCO, now known as USS-UPI, LLC. Prior to formation of the joint venture, UPI's facilities were previously owned and operated solely by U. S. Steel which assumed responsibility for the existing environmental conditions. U. S. Steel continues to monitor the impacts of the remedial plan implemented in 2016 to address groundwater impacts from trichloroethylene at SWMU 4. Evaluations continue for the SWMUs known as the Northern Boundary Group and it is likely that corrective measures will be required, but it is not possible at this time to define a scope or estimate costs for what may be required by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control. As such, there has been no material change in the status of the project during the nine months ended September 30, 2021. As of September 30, 2021, approximately \$1 million has been accrued for ongoing environmental studies, investigations and remedy monitoring. Significant additional costs associated with this site are possible and are referenced in Note 21 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters - Remediation Projects - Projects with Ongoing Study and Scope Development." See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements "Acquisition" for further details regarding U. S. Steel's purchase of UPI.

In 2017, the Contra Costa Health Services Hazardous Materials Programs (County Health Services) conducted inspections of UPI's facility, which resulted in the identification of several alleged environmental violations. Thereafter, UPI was able to resolve many of the issues to the satisfaction of County Health Services, but UPI also encountered some delays and disagreements pertaining to certain alleged violations. In 2018, County Health Services referred the matter to the Contra Costa District Attorney's Office. In August 2021, UPI and the District Attorney's Office agreed to settle the matter via Stipulated Judgment which was approved and entered by the Contra Costa County Superior Court on August 17, 2021. The Stipulated Judgment requires UPI to pay \$2,429,384 in civil penalties over a 24-month period and also includes a suspended-penalty provision.

Fairfield Works

A consent decree was signed by U. S. Steel, the U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice and filed with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama (United States of America v. USX Corporation) in December 1997. In accordance with the consent decree, U. S. Steel initiated a RCRA corrective action program at the Fairfield Works facility. The Alabama Department of Environmental Management, with the approval of the U.S. EPA, assumed primary responsibility for regulation and oversight of the RCRA corrective action program at Fairfield Works. While work continues on different aspects of the program, there has been no material change in the status of the project during the nine months ended September 30, 2021. In total, the accrued liability for remaining work under the Corrective Action Program, was approximately \$236,000 at September 30, 2021. Significant additional costs associated with this site are possible and are referenced in Note 21 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters - Remediation Projects - Projects with Ongoing Study and Scope Development."

Cherryvale (KS) Zinc

In April 2003, U. S. Steel and Salomon Smith Barney Holdings, Inc. (SSB) entered into a Consent Order with the Kansas Department of Health & Environment (KDHE) concerning a former zinc smelting operation in Cherryvale, Kansas. Remediation of the site proper was essentially completed in 2007. The Consent Order was amended on May 3, 2013, to require investigation (but not remediation) of potential contamination beyond the boundary of the former zinc smelting operation. On November 22, 2016, KDHE approved a State Cooperative Final Agency Decision Statement that identified the remedy selected to address potential contamination beyond the boundary of the former zinc smelting site. The Removal Action Design Plan was approved during the second quarter of 2018. The Waste Deposition Area design and the Interim Risk Management Plan (which includes institutional controls) were approved by KDHE during the fourth quarter of 2018. An amended consent order for remediation was signed in May 2019 and a remediation contract was executed in June 2019. Remediation work is now underway and is projected to continue through 2022. U. S. Steel has an accrued liability of approximately \$4 million as of September 30, 2021, for our estimated share of the cost of remediation.

Air Related Matters

Great Lakes Works

In June 2010, the U.S. EPA significantly lowered the primary (NAAQS) for SO₂ from 140 ppb on a 24-hour basis to an hourly standard of 75 ppb. Based upon the 2009-2011 ambient air monitoring data, the U.S. EPA designated the area in which Great Lakes Works is located as nonattainment with the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS.

As a result, pursuant to the CAA, the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE) was required to submit a SIP to the U.S. EPA that demonstrates that the entire nonattainment area (and not just the monitor) would be in attainment by October 2018 by using conservative air dispersion modeling. To develop the SIP, U. S. Steel met with EGLE on multiple occasions and had offered reduction plans to EGLE but the parties could not agree to a plan. EGLE, instead promulgated Rule 430 which was solely directed at U. S. Steel. The Company challenged Rule 430 before the Michigan Court of Claims who by Order dated October 4, 2017, granted the Company's motion for summary disposition voiding Rule 430 finding that it violated rule-making provisions of the Michigan Administrative Procedures Act and Michigan Constitution. Since Rule 430 has been invalidated and EGLE's SIP has not been approved, the U.S. EPA has indicated that it would promulgate a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) pursuant to its obligations and authority under the CAA. Because development of the FIP is in the early stages, the impacts of the nonattainment designation to the Company are not estimable at this time.

Granite City Works

In October 2015, Granite City Works received a Violation Notice from Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) in which the IEPA alleges that U. S. Steel violated the emission limits for nitrogen oxides (NOx) and volatile organic compounds from the Basic Oxygen Furnace Electrostatic Precipitator Stack. In addition, the IEPA alleges that U. S. Steel exceeded its natural gas usage limit at its CoGeneration Boiler. U. S. Steel responded to the notice and is currently discussing resolution of the matter with IEPA.

Although discussions with IEPA regarding the foregoing alleged violations are ongoing and the resolution of these matters is uncertain at this time, it is not anticipated that the result of those discussions will be material to U. S. Steel.

Minnesota Ore Operations

On February 6, 2013, the U.S. EPA published a FIP that applies to taconite facilities in Minnesota. The FIP establishes and requires emission limits and the use of low NOx reduction technology on indurating furnaces as Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART). While U. S. Steel installed low NOx burners on three furnaces at Minntac and is currently obligated to install low NOx burners on the two other furnaces at Minntac pursuant to existing agreements and permits, the rule would require the installation of a low NOx burner on the one furnace at Keetac for which U. S. Steel did not have an otherwise existing obligation. U. S. Steel estimates expenditures associated with the installation of low NOx burners of as much as \$25 million to \$30 million. In 2013, U. S. Steel filed a petition for administrative reconsideration to the U.S. EPA and a petition for judicial review of the 2013 FIP and denial of the Minnesota SIP to the Eighth Circuit. In April 2016, the U.S. EPA promulgated a revised FIP with the same substantive requirements for U. S. Steel. In June 2016, U. S. Steel filed a petition for administrative reconsideration of the 2016 FIP to the U.S. EPA and a petition for judicial review of the 2016 FIP before the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals. While the proceedings regarding the petition for judicial review of the 2013 FIP remained stayed, oral arguments regarding the petition for judicial review of the 2016 FIP were heard by the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals on November 15, 2017. Thus, both petitions for judicial review remain with the Eighth Circuit. On December 4, 2017, the U.S. EPA published a notification in the Federal Register in which the U.S. EPA denied U. S. Steel's administrative petitions for reconsideration and stay of the 2013 FIP and 2016 FIP. On February 1, 2018, U. S. Steel filed a petition for judicial review of the U.S. EPA's denial of the administrative petitions for reconsideration to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals. The U.S. EPA and U. S. Steel reached a settlement regarding the five indurating lines at Minntac. After proposing a revised FIP and responding to public comments, on March 2, 2021, the U.S. EPA promulgated a final revised FIP incorporating the conditions and limits for Minntac to which the parties agreed. U. S. Steel and the U.S. EPA continue to negotiate resolution for Keetac.

Mon Valley Works

On November 9, 2017, the U.S. EPA Region III and the Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) jointly issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) regarding the Company's Edgar Thomson facility in Braddock, PA. In addition, on November 20, 2017, ACHD issued a separate, but related NOV to the Company regarding the Edgar Thomson facility. In the NOVs, based upon their inspections and review of documents collected throughout the last two years, the agencies allege that the Company has violated the CAA by exceeding the allowable visible emission standards for certain operations during isolated events. In addition, the agencies allege that the Company has violated certain maintenance, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements. U. S. Steel met with the U.S. EPA Region III and ACHD several times. ACHD, the U.S. EPA Region III and U. S. Steel continue to negotiate a potential resolution of the matter.

On December 24, 2018, U. S. Steel's Clairton Plant experienced a fire, affecting portions of the facility involved in desulfurization of the coke oven gas generated during the coking process. With the desulfurization process out of operation as a result of the

fire, U. S. Steel was not able to certify compliance with Clairton Plant's Title V permit levels for sulfur emissions. U. S. Steel promptly notified ACHD, which has regulatory jurisdiction for the Title V permit, and updated the ACHD regularly on efforts to mitigate any potential environmental impacts until the desulfurization process was returned to normal operations. Of the approximately 2,400 hours between the date of the fire and April 4, 2019, when the Company resumed desulfurization, there were ten intermittent hours where average SO₂ emissions exceeded the hourly NAAQS for SO₂ at the Allegheny County regional air quality monitors located in Liberty and North Braddock boroughs which are near U. S. Steel's Mon Valley Works facilities. On February 13, 2019, PennEnvironment and Clean Air Council, both environmental, non-governmental organizations, sent U. S. Steel a 60-day notice of intent to sue letter pursuant to the CAA. The letter alleged Title V permit violations at the Clairton, Irvin, and Edgar Thomson facilities as a result of the December 24, 2018 Clairton Plant fire. The 60-day notice letter also alleged that the violations caused adverse public health and welfare impacts to the communities surrounding the Clairton, Irvin, and Edgar Thomson facilities. PennEnvironment and Clean Air Council subsequently filed a Complaint in Federal Court in the Western District of Pennsylvania on April 29, 2019 to which U. S. Steel has responded. On May 3, 2019, ACHD filed a motion to intervene in the lawsuit which was granted by the Court. On June 25, 2019, ACHD filed its Complaint in Intervention, seeking injunctive relief and civil penalties regarding the alleged Permit violations following the December 24, 2018 fire. Fact and Expert discovery has been completed, and pre-trial motion briefing has been submitted to the Court.

Water Related Matters

On February 7, 2020, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) issued an Amended Notice of Violation and Proposed Agreed Order related to alleged NPDES permit water discharge violations at our Midwest Plant (Midwest) in Portage, Indiana during the period of November 2018 through December 2019 unrelated to the violations resolved in the Consent Decree. On May 11, 2021, IDEM and U. S. Steel entered into an Agreed Order where U. S. Steel agreed to taking corrective actions, a civil penalty, a Supplemental Environmental Project, and stipulated penalties for future violations.

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

See Note 21 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, Contingencies and Commitments for a description of our asbestos litigation.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes or updates to the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020.

Item 2. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

None.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

The information concerning mine safety violations and other regulatory matters required by Section 150 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K is included in Exhibit 95 to this Form 10-Q.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

- 3.1 [Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of United States Steel Corporation, dated April 25, 2017. \(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to United States Steel Corporation's Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2017. Commission File Number 1-16811.\)](#)
- 3.2 [Amended and Restated By-Laws of United States Steel Corporation, as of October 26, 2021. \(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to United States Steel Corporation's Form 8-K filed on October 28, 2021, Commission File Number 1-16811.\)](#)
- 10.1 [€300,000,000 Unsecured Sustainability Linked Revolving Credit Facility, dated September 29, 2021, for U. S. Steel Košice, s.r.o., guaranteed by Ferroenergy S.R.O., arranged by ING Bank N.V. acting through ING Bank N.V., Pobočka Zahraničnej Banky, Slovenská Sporiteľňa, A.S., Komerční Banka, A.S. acting through Komerční Banka, A.S., Pobočka Zahraničnej Banky, and Unicredit Bank Czech Republic and Slovakia, A.S. acting through Unicredit Bank Czech Republic and Slovakia, A.S., Pobočka Zahraničnej Banky, and Unicredit Bank Czech Republic and Slovakia, A.S. acting through Unicredit Bank Czech Republic and Slovakia, A.S., Pobočka Zahraničnej Banky as Mandated Lead Arrangers, and Československá Obchodná Banka, A.S. and Citibank Europe Plc acting through Citibank Europe Plc, Pobočka Zahraničnej Banky as Lead Arrangers, with ING Bank, N.V. as Bookrunner and Coordinator, Facility Agent, Documentation Agent and Sustainability Coordinator. \(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to United States Steel Corporation's Form 8-K filed on October 1, 2021, Commission File Number 1-16811.\)*](#)
- 31.1 [Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rules 13a-14\(a\) or 15d-14\(a\) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.](#)
- 31.2 [Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rules 13a-14\(a\) or 15d-14\(a\) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.](#)
- 32.1 [Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.](#)
- 32.2 [Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.](#)
- 95 [Mine Safety Disclosure required under Section 1503 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.](#)
- 101 The following financial information from United States Steel Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2021 formatted in Inline XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) includes: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
- 104 Cover Page Interactive Data File - (formatted as Inline XBRL and contained in Exhibit 101).

* Schedules and exhibits have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(10)(iv) of Regulation S-K. The Company hereby undertakes to furnish supplemental copies of the omitted schedules and exhibits upon request by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned chief accounting officer thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION

By /s/ Manpreet S. Grewal
Manpreet S. Grewal
Vice President, Controller & Chief Accounting Officer

October 29, 2021

WEB SITE POSTING

This Form 10-Q will be posted on the U. S. Steel web site, www.ussteel.com, within a few days of its filing.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, David B. Burritt, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of United States Steel Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

October 29, 2021

/s/ David B. Burritt

David B. Burritt

President and Chief Executive Officer

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, Christine S. Breves, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of United States Steel Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

October 29, 2021

/s/ Christine S. Breves

Christine S. Breves

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

I, David B. Burritt, President and Chief Executive Officer of United States Steel Corporation, certify that:

- (1) The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of United States Steel Corporation for the period ending September 30, 2021, fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the foregoing report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of United States Steel Corporation.

/s/ David B. Burritt

David B. Burritt

President and Chief Executive Officer

October 29, 2021

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 has been provided to United States Steel Corporation and will be retained by United States Steel Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

I, Christine S. Breves, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United States Steel Corporation, certify that:

- (1) The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of United States Steel Corporation for the period ending September 30, 2021, fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the foregoing report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of United States Steel Corporation.

/s/ Christine S. Breves

Christine S. Breves

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

October 29, 2021

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 has been provided to United States Steel Corporation and will be retained by United States Steel Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

United States Steel Corporation
Mine Safety Disclosure
(Unaudited)

For the quarter ended September 30, 2021

Mine (Federal Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) ID)	Total # of Significant & Substantial violations under §104(a) ^(a)	Total # of orders under §104(b) ^(a)	Total # of unwarrantable failure citations and orders under §104(d) ^(a)	Total # of violations under §110(b)(2) ^(a)	Total # of orders under §107(a) ^(a)	Total dollar value of proposed assessments from MSHA	Total # of mining related fatalities	Received Notice of Pattern of Violations under §104(e) ^(a) (yes/no)?	Received Notice of Potential to have Pattern under §104(e) ^(a) (yes/no)?	Total # of Legal Actions Pending with the Mine Safety and Health Review Commission as of Last Day of Period ^(b)	Legal Actions Initiated During Period	Legal Actions Resolved During Period
Mt. Iron (2100820, 2100282)	—	—	—	—	—	\$130,111	—	no	no	40	31	55
Keewatin (2103352)	—	—	—	—	—	\$130,108	—	no	no	18	18	3

^(a) References to Section numbers are to sections of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

^(b) Includes all legal actions pending before the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission, together with the Administrative Law Judges thereof, for each of our iron ore operations. These actions may have been initiated in prior quarters. All of the legal actions were initiated by us to contest citations, orders or proposed assessments issued by the Federal Mine Safety and Health administration, and if we are successful, may result in the reduction or dismissal of those citations, orders or assessments. As of the last day of the period, all 58 legal actions were to contest citations and proposed assessments.