UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2016

Or

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from



(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) <u>1-16811</u> (Commission File Number) 25-1897152 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

15219-2800

(Zip Code)

600 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, PA (Address of principal executive offices)

to

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes <u>P</u> No___

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [P] No [__]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer P

Accelerated filer ____

Non-accelerated filer ____ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes__No_P_

Common stock outstanding at July 21, 2016 - 146,730,634 shares

INDEX

| | | raye |
|-----------------|---|-----------|
| PART I – FINANC | CIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements: | |
| | Consolidated Statement of Operations (Unaudited) | <u>1</u> |
| | Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) | <u>2</u> |
| | Consolidated Balance Sheet (Unaudited) | <u>3</u> |
| | Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited) | <u>4</u> |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) | <u>5</u> |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | <u>27</u> |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | <u>45</u> |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | <u>46</u> |
| | Supplemental Statistics (Unaudited) | <u>47</u> |
| PART II - OTHER | RINFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | <u>48</u> |
| Item 4. | Mine Safety Disclosure | <u>54</u> |
| Item 5. | Other Information | <u>54</u> |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | <u>54</u> |
| <u>SIGNATUR</u> | <u>RE</u> | <u>55</u> |
| WEB SITE | POSTING | <u>55</u> |
| | | |

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains information that may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend the forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements in those sections. Generally, we have identified such forward-looking statements by using the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "anticipate," "project," "target," "forecast," "aim," "should," "will" and similar expressions or by using future dates in connection with any discussion of, among other things, operating performance, trends, events or developments that we expect or anticipate will occur in the future, statements relating to volume growth, share of sales and earnings per share growth, and statements expressing general views about future operating results. However, the absence of these words or similar expressions does not mean that a statement is not forward-looking. Forward-looking statements are not historical facts, but instead represent only the Company's beliefs regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside of the Company's control. It is possible that the Company's actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in these forward-looking statements. Management believes that these forward-looking statements are reasonable as of the time made. However, caution should be taken not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements because such statements speak only as of the date when made. Our Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. In addition, forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from our Company's historical experience and our present expectations or projections. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to the risks and uncertainties described in this report and in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, and those described from time to time in our future reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

References in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to "U. S. Steel", "the Company", "we", "us", and "our" refer to United States Steel Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries unless otherwise indicated by the context.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

| | Three Mor Jun | iths E e 30, | | | nded | | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|--------|----|--------|----|--------|
| (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts) | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| Net sales: | | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 2,320 | \$ | 2,509 | \$ | 4,346 | \$ | 5,455 |
| Net sales to related parties (Note 18) | 264 | | 391 | | 579 | | 717 |
| Total | 2,584 | | 2,900 | | 4,925 | | 6,172 |
| Operating expenses (income): | | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales (excludes items shown below) | 2,397 | | 2,792 | | 4,833 | | 5,858 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 64 | | 107 | | 133 | | 209 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 129 | | 138 | | 258 | | 282 |
| Earnings from investees | (28) | | (17) | | (73) | | (23) |
| Loss on write-down of retained interest in USSC (Note 21) | _ | | 255 | | _ | | 255 |
| Restructuring and other charges (Note 19) | (6) | | 19 | | 4 | | 172 |
| Net (gain) loss on disposal of assets | — | | (1) | | 3 | | (1) |
| Other income, net | — | | (1) | | — | | (1) |
| Total | 2,556 | | 3,292 | | 5,158 | | 6,751 |
| Earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes | 28 | | (392) | | (233) | | (579) |
| Interest expense | 60 | | 53 | | 115 | | 104 |
| Interest income | (2) | | _ | | (3) | | _ |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | 24 | | _ | | 22 | | _ |
| Other financial (income) costs | (1) | | 2 | | 12 | | 13 |
| Net interest and other financial costs (Note 7) | 81 | | 55 | | 146 | | 117 |
| Loss before income taxes | (53) | | (447) | | (379) | | (696) |
| Income tax (benefit) provision (Note 9) | (7) | | (186) | | 7 | | (360) |
| Net loss | (46) | | (261) | | (386) | | (336) |
| Less: Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | | — | | — | | — |
| Net loss attributable to United States Steel Corporation | \$ (46) | \$ | (261) | \$ | (386) | \$ | (336) |
| Loss per common share (Note 10): | | | | | | | |
| Loss per share attributable to United States Steel Corporation stockholders: | | | | | | | |
| -Basic | \$ (0.32) | \$ | (1.79) | \$ | (2.64) | \$ | (2.31) |
| -Diluted | \$ (0.32) | \$ | (1.79) | \$ | (2.64) | \$ | (2.31) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

-1-

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (Unaudited)

| | 1 | Three Mont June | | inded | Six Montl Jun | |
|--|----|--------------------|----|-------|------------------|-------------|
| (Dollars in millions) | | 2016 | | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Net loss | \$ | (46) | \$ | (261) | \$ (386) | \$ (336) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax: | | | | | | |
| Changes in foreign currency translation adjustments | | (31) | | 25 | 31 | (78) |
| Changes in pension and other employee benefit accounts | | 42 | | 44 | (182) | 87 |
| Changes in unrecognized losses on derivatives | | 11 | | — | 21 | — |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax | | 22 | _ | 69 | (130) | 9 |
| Comprehensive loss including noncontrolling interest | | (24) | | (192) | (516) | (327) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest | | _ | | _ | _ | _ |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to United States Steel Corporation | \$ | (24) | \$ | (192) | \$ (516) | \$ (327) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

| -2- |
|-----|
| |

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

| (Dollars in millions) | • | naudited) June 30, 2016 | Dec | ember 31, 2015 |
|---|----|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 820 | \$ | 755 |
| Receivables, less allowance of \$26 and \$28 | | 1,091 | | 864 |
| Receivables from related parties, less allowance of \$271 and \$254 (Note 18) | | 159 | | 199 |
| Inventories (Note 11) | | 1,675 | | 2,074 |
| Other current assets | | 32 | | 25 |
| Total current assets | | 3,777 | · | 3,917 |
| Property, plant and equipment | | 14,412 | | 14,253 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and depletion | | 10,106 | | 9,842 |
| Total property, plant and equipment, net | | 4,306 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 4,411 |
| Investments and long-term receivables, less allowance of \$7 in both periods | | 534 | | 540 |
| Long-term receivables from related parties, less allowance of \$1,599 and \$1,446 (Note 18) | | _ | | _ |
| Intangibles – net (Note 5) | | 193 | | 196 |
| Deferred income tax benefits (Note 9) | | 14 | | 15 |
| Other noncurrent assets | | 117 | | 88 |
| Total assets | \$ | 8,941 | \$ | 9,167 |
| Liabilities | | .,. | · | - , - |
| Current liabilities: | | | | |
| Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities | \$ | 1,542 | \$ | 1,412 |
| Accounts payable to related parties (Note 18) | | 89 | | 81 |
| Payroll and benefits payable | | 455 | | 462 |
| Accrued taxes | | 109 | | 99 |
| Accrued interest | | 46 | | 49 |
| Short-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt (Note 13) | | 82 | | 45 |
| Total current liabilities | | 2,323 | · | 2,148 |
| Long-term debt, less unamortized discount and debt issuance costs (Note 13) | | 3,058 | | 3,093 |
| Employee benefits | | 1,260 | | 1,101 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities (Note 9) | | 28 | | 29 |
| Deferred credits and other noncurrent liabilities | | 358 | | 359 |
| Total liabilities | | 7,027 | | 6,730 |
| Contingencies and commitments (Note 20) | | | | |
| Stockholders' Equity (Note 16): | | | | |
| Common stock (150,925,911 shares issued) (Note 10) | | 151 | | 151 |
| Treasury stock, at cost (4,211,688 and 4,644,867 shares) | | (297) | | (339) |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 3,555 | | 3,603 |
| (Accumulated deficit) retained earnings | | (197) | | 190 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss (Note 17) | | (1,299) | | (1,169) |
| Total United States Steel Corporation stockholders' equity | | 1,913 | | 2,436 |
| Noncontrolling interests | | 1 | | 1 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 8,941 | \$ | 9,167 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

| | Six | Six Months Endec June 30, | | | | | |
|--|------|------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| (Dollars in millions) | 2016 | | 2015 | | | | |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | | | | | | |
| Operating activities: | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (| 386) \$ | (336) | | | | |
| Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | : | 258 | 282 | | | | |
| Loss on write-down of retained interest in USSC (Note 21) | | _ | 255 | | | | |
| Restructuring and other charges (Note 19) | | 4 | 172 | | | | |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | | — | (16) | | | | |
| Pensions and other postretirement benefits | | (21) | (24) | | | | |
| Deferred income taxes | | 2 | (345) | | | | |
| Net loss (gain) on disposal of assets | | 3 | (1) | | | | |
| Distributions received, net of equity investees earnings | | (70) | (18) | | | | |
| Changes in: | | | | | | | |
| Current receivables | (| 182) | 371 | | | | |
| Inventories | | 404 | 142 | | | | |
| Current accounts payable and accrued expenses | : | 213 | (351) | | | | |
| Income taxes receivable/payable | | 6 | 18 | | | | |
| Bank checks outstanding | | 9 | 11 | | | | |
| All other, net | | 73 | (9 | | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 313 | 151 | | | | |
| Investing activities: | | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (2 | 217) | (212 | | | | |
| Acquisitions (Note 4) | | _ | (25) | | | | |
| Disposal of assets | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| Change in restricted cash, net | | (3) | 7 | | | | |
| Investments, net | | (15) | (2) | | | | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (2 | 234) | (231) | | | | |
| Financing activities: | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of long-term debt, net of financing costs | | 958 | _ | | | | |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (1 | 962) | (18 | | | | |
| Receipts from exercise of stock options | | _ | 1 | | | | |
| Dividends paid | | (15) | (15) | | | | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | | (19) | (32) | | | | |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | | 5 | (32 | | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | 65 | (144 | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | | 755 | 1,354 | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 820 \$ | 1,210 | | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

-4-

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

United States Steel Corporation produces and sells steel products, including flat-rolled and tubular products, in North America and Central Europe. Operations in North America also include iron ore and coke production facilities, railroad services and real estate operations. Operations in Europe also include coke production facilities.

The year-end Consolidated Balance Sheet data was derived from audited statements but does not include all disclosures required for complete financial statements by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). The other information in these financial statements is unaudited but, in the opinion of management, reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods covered. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless disclosed otherwise. These financial statements, including notes, have been prepared in accordance with the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and do not include all of the information and disclosures required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. Additional information is contained in the United States Steel Corporation Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, which should be read in conjunction with these financial statements.

Revision of Prior Period Financial Statements

During 2015, the Company identified a prior period error related to the classification of unpaid capital expenditures in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows that impacted the quarterly interim financial statements in 2015. As a result, the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the the six months ended June 30, 2015 has been revised to reflect a decrease in cash provided by operating activities and a decrease in cash used in investing activities of \$64 million. The Company has concluded the impact of this error was not material to the previously filed financial statements.

2. New Accounting Standards

On March 30, 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update 2016-09, *Compensation - Stock Compensation* (ASU 2016-09). ASU 2016-09 simplifies the accounting and reporting of certain aspects of shared-based payment transactions, including income tax treatment of excess tax benefits, forfeitures, classification of share-based awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification in the statement of cash flows for certain share-based transactions related to tax benefits and tax payments. ASU 2016-09 is effective for public business entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods; early adoption is permitted. U. S. Steel is evaluating the financial statement implications of adopting ASU 2016-09, but does not expect a material financial statement impact relating to the adoption of this ASU.

On February 25, 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2016-02, *Leases* (ASU 2016-02). ASU 2016-02 supersedes prior lease accounting guidance. Under ASU 2016-02, for operating leases, a lessee should recognize in its statement of financial position a liability to make lease payments and a right-of-use asset representing its right to use the underlying asset for the lease term; recognize a single lease cost, which is allocated over the lease term, generally on a straight line basis; and classify all cash payments within the operating activities in the statement of cash flows. For financing leases, a lessee is required to recognize a right-of-use asset; and a lease liability; recognize interest on the lease liability separately from amortization of the right-of-use asset, and classify repayments of the principal portion of the lease liability within financing activities and payments of interest on the lease liability within the operating activities in the statement of cash flows. For lease liability within the operating activities in the statement of cash and classify repayments of the principal portion of the lease liability within financing activities and payments of interest on the lease liability within the operating activities in the statement of cash flows. For leases with a term of 12 months or less, a lessee is permitted to make an accounting policy election not to recognize lease assets and lease liabilities. In addition, at the inception of a contract, an entity should determine whether the contract is or contains a lease. ASU 2016-02 is effective for public entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years, using a modified retrospective approach. U. S. Steel is evaluating the financial statement implications of adopting ASU 2016-02.

On November 20, 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2015-17, *Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes* (ASU 2015-17). ASU 2015-17 requires that all deferred tax assets and liabilities, along with any related valuation allowance, be classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet. U. S. Steel adopted ASU 2015-17 in the fourth quarter of 2015 using the retrospective approach for all periods presented.

-5-

On July 22, 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-11, *Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory* (ASU 2015-11). ASU 2015-11 requires an entity to measure most inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value, thereby simplifying the current guidance under which an entity must measure inventory at the lower of cost or market. ASU 2015-11 will not apply to inventories that are measured using either the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method or the retail inventory method. ASU 2015-11 is effective for public entities for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years; early application is permitted. U. S. Steel is evaluating the financial statement implications of adopting ASU 2015-11, but does not expect a material financial statement impact relating to the adoption of this ASU.

On April 7, 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-03, *Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs* (ASU 2015-03). ASU 2015-03 changes the presentation of debt issuance costs in financial statements and requires an entity to present such costs in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Amortization of the costs is reported as interest expense. An entity is required to apply the new guidance on a retrospective basis, wherein the balance sheet of each individual period presented should be adjusted to reflect the period-specific effects of applying the new guidance. On August 16, 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15 to clarify the SEC staff's position on presenting and measuring debt issuance costs incurred in connection with line-of-credit arrangements given the lack of guidance on this topic in ASU 2015-03. Effective January 1, 2016, U. S. Steel retroactively adopted ASU 2015-03. As a result, debt issuance costs which were a component of other non-current assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets are reclassified and are now reflected as a reduction of long-term debt. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, other non-current assets and long-term debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheets decreased by approximately \$39 million and \$23 million, respectively.

On May 28, 2014, the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (ASU 2014-09). ASU 2014-09 outlines a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. ASU 2014-09 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016; early application is not permitted. On August 12, 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Deferral of the Effective Date* (ASU 2015-14). ASU 2015-14 defers the effective date of ASU 2014-09 to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within that reporting periods, and only permits entities to adopt the standard one year earlier as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, adopting ASU 2014-09 but does not expect a material financial statement implications of adopting ASU 2014-09 but does not expect a material financial statement impact relating to the adoption of this ASU.

3. Segment Information

U. S. Steel has three reportable segments: Flat-Rolled Products (Flat-Rolled), U. S. Steel Europe (USSE), and Tubular Products (Tubular). The results of our railroad and real estate businesses that do not constitute reportable segments are combined and disclosed in the Other Businesses category.

Effective January 1, 2015, the Flat-Rolled segment was realigned to better serve customer needs through the creation of five commercial entities to specifically address customers in the automotive, consumer (which includes the packaging, appliance and construction industries) industrial, service center and mining market sectors. Beginning January 1, 2016, the Flat-Rolled segment was further streamlined and consolidated to consist of three commercial entities: automotive, consumer and the combined industrial, service center and mining commercial entities. These realignments did not affect the Company's reportable segments.

The chief operating decision maker evaluates performance and determines resource allocations based on a number of factors, the primary measure being earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes. Earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes for reportable segments and Other Businesses does not include net interest and other financial costs (income), income taxes, postretirement benefit expenses (other than service cost and amortization of prior service cost for active employees) and certain other items that management believes are not indicative of future results. Information on segment assets is not disclosed, as it is not reviewed by the chief operating decision maker. The chief operating decision maker assesses the Company's assets on an enterprise wide level, based upon the projects that yield the greatest return to the Company as a whole, and not on an individual segment level.

The accounting principles applied at the operating segment level in determining earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes are generally the same as those applied at the consolidated financial statement level. The transfer value for steel rounds from Flat-Rolled to Tubular was based on cost. In the third quarter of 2015, the blast furnace and associated steelmaking operations, along with most of the flat-rolled finishing operations at Fairfield Works were shutdown. Therefore, Flat-Rolled is currently not supplying raw steel for rounds production to Tubular. All other intersegment sales and transfers are accounted for at market-based prices and are eliminated at the corporate consolidation level. Corporate-level selling, general and administrative expenses and costs related to certain former businesses are allocated to the reportable segments and Other Businesses based on measures of activity that management believes are reasonable.

The results of segment operations for three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 are:

| (In millions) Months Ended June 30, 2016 | Three | stomer ales | lı | ntersegment Sales | Net Sales | Earnings (loss) from nvestees | (E Ir Iı | arnings Loss) Before Interest and Income Faxes |
|--|-------|----------------|----|----------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|--|
| Flat-Rolled | | \$ 1,926 | \$ | _ | \$ 1,926 | \$ 27 | \$ | 6 |
| USSE | | 565 | | 1 | 566 | — | | 55 |
| Tubular | | 81 | | 2 | 83 | 2 | | (78) |
| Total reportable segments | | 2,572 | | 3 | 2,575 | 29 | | (17) |
| Other Businesses | | 12 | | 25 | 37 | (1) | | 10 |
| Reconciling Items and Eliminations | | — | | (28) | (28) | — | | 35 |
| Total | | \$ 2,584 | \$ | _ | \$ 2,584 | \$ 28 | \$ | 28 |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | | |
| Flat-Rolled | | \$ 2,125 | \$ | 69 | \$ 2,194 | \$ 17 | \$ | (64) |
| USSE | | 600 | | 1 | 601 | _ | | 20 |
| Tubular | | 160 | | — | 160 | 2 | | (66) |
| Total reportable segments | | 2,885 | | 70 | 2,955 | 19 | | (110) |
| Other Businesses | | 15 | | 25 | 40 | (2) | | 6 |
| Reconciling Items and Eliminations | | _ | | (95) | (95) | | | (288) |
| Total | | \$ 2,900 | \$ | — | \$ 2,900 | \$ 17 | \$ | (392) |

-7-

The results of segment operations for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 are:

| (In millions) Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 | istomer Sales | In | tersegment Sales | Net Sales | (| arnings (loss) from vestees | I | arnings (Loss) Before Interest and Income Taxes |
|---|----------------------|----|---------------------|--------------|----|--------------------------------------|----|---|
| Flat-Rolled | \$ 3,657 | \$ | 16 | \$ 3,673 | \$ | 71 | \$ | (182) |
| USSE | 1,041 | | 2 | 1,043 | | _ | | 41 |
| Tubular | 190 | | 1 | 191 | | 4 | | (142) |
| Total reportable segments | 4,888 | _ | 19 | 4,907 | | 75 | | (283) |
| Other Businesses | 37 | | 53 | 90 | | (2) | | 24 |
| Reconciling Items and Eliminations | — | | (72) | (72) | | — | | 26 |
| Total | \$ 4,925 | \$ | _ | \$ 4,925 | \$ | 73 | \$ | (233) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | | |
| Flat-Rolled | \$ 4,318 | \$ | 173 | \$ 4,491 | \$ | 22 | \$ | (131) |
| USSE | 1,292 | | 1 | 1,293 | | _ | | 57 |
| Tubular | 531 | | | 531 | | 4 | | (65) |
| Total reportable segments | 6,141 | | 174 | 6,315 | | 26 | | (139) |
| Other Businesses | 31 | | 54 | 85 | | (3) | | 14 |
| Reconciling Items and Eliminations | — | | (228) | (228) | | — | | (454) |
| Total | \$ 6,172 | \$ | _ | \$ 6,172 | \$ | 23 | \$ | (579) |

The following is a schedule of reconciling items to Earnings (Loss) Before Interest and Income Taxes:

| | | Three Indec | onths ne 30, | Si | | iths ne 3 | Ended 0, |
|--|----|----------------|---------------------|----|-----|--------------|-------------|
| n millions) | | 016 | 2015 | 2 | 016 | | 2015 |
| Items not allocated to segments: | | | | | | | |
| Postretirement benefit (expense) ^(a) | \$ | 12 | \$ (14) | \$ | 28 | \$ | (27) |
| Other items not allocated to segments: | | | | | | | |
| Loss on write-down of retained interest in USSC (Note 21) | | — | (255) | | _ | | (255) |
| Restructuring and other charges and adjustments ^(b) | | 23 | (19) | | (2) | | (19) |
| Loss on shutdown of coke production facilities (c) | | — | | | _ | | (153) |
| Total other items not allocated to segments | | 23 | (274) | | (2) | | (427) |
| Total reconciling items | \$ | 35 | \$ (288) | \$ | 26 | \$ | (454) |

^(a) Consists of the net periodic benefit cost elements, other than service cost and amortization of prior service cost for active employees, associated with our defined pension, retiree health care and life insurance benefit plans.

(b) For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 approximately\$(17) million and \$(2) million is included in Cost of sales, respectively and approximately\$(6) million and \$4 million is included in the Restructuring and other charges in the Consolidated Statement of Operations, respectively. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. (c) Included in Restructuring and other charges on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

4. Acquisition

On May 29, 2015, the Company purchased the 50 percent joint venture interest in Double Eagle Steel Coating Company (DESCO) that it did not previously own for \$25 million. DESCO's electrolytic galvanizing line (EGL) has become part of the larger operational footprint of U. S. Steel's Great Lakes Works within the Flat-Rolled segment. The EGL is increasing our ability to provide industry leading advanced high strength steels, including

Gen 3 grades under development, as well as to provide high quality exposed steel for automotive body and closure applications. The Company's previously held 50 percent equity interest of \$3 million was recorded at fair market value resulting in a net gain of approximately \$3 million which has been recognized in the earnings from investees line in the consolidated statement of operations. Goodwill of approximately \$3 million was recognized and is included as a component of other noncurrent assets in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. The fair value of the DESCO acquisition was measured using both cost and market approaches, Level 2 inputs, in accordance with ASC No. 820, *Fair Value Measurement*. Transaction costs associated with the acquisition were insignificant. The amount of revenue recognized in the consolidated statement of operations as a result of the acquisition was not significant for the periods presented.

5. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives and are detailed below:

| | | As of June 30, 2016 | | | | | | | | | As of December 31, 2015 | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----|----|---------------|----|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| (In millions) | Useful Lives | | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | | | Net Amount | | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | | | Net Amount | | | | | |
| Customer relationships | 22-23 Years | \$ | 132 | \$ | 55 | \$ | 77 | \$ | 132 | \$ | 52 | \$ | 80 | | | | | |
| Other | 2-20 Years | | 17 | | 9 | | 8 | | 17 | | 8 | | 9 | | | | | |
| Total amortizable intangible assets | | \$ | 149 | \$ | 64 | \$ | 85 | \$ | 149 | \$ | 60 | \$ | 89 | | | | | |

The carrying amount of acquired water rights with indefinite lives as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 totaled \$75 million. The water rights are tested for impairment annually in the third quarter, or whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. U. S. Steel performed a quantitative impairment evaluation of its water rights for 2015, which indicated they were not impaired.

Identifiable intangible assets with finite lives are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying values may not be recoverable. During the fourth quarter of 2015, due to a significant decline in energy prices and high levels of tubular imports, U. S. Steel completed a review of certain of its identifiable intangible assets with finite lives, primarily customer relationships with a carrying value of \$80 million, and determined the assets were not impaired.

Amortization expense was \$2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2016 and \$2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2015. Amortization expense was \$4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016 and \$4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015. The estimated future amortization expense of identifiable intangible assets during the next five years is \$3 million for the remaining portion of 2016 and \$7 million each year from 2017 to 2020.

-9-

6. Pensions and Other Benefits

The following table reflects the components of net periodic benefit cost (income) for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

| | | sion efits | | Other Benefits | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|---------------|-------|-------------------|------|----|------|--|--|--|--|
| (In millions) | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2015 | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 13 | \$ | 27 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 6 | | | | |
| Interest cost | 65 | | 65 | | 24 | | 25 | | | | |
| Expected return on plan assets | (105) | | (111) | | (38) | | (39) | | | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 3 | | 5 | | 7 | | (1) | | | | |
| Amortization of actuarial net loss | 32 | | 64 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | |
| Net periodic benefit cost (income), excluding below | 8 | | 50 | | (1) | | (8) | | | | |
| Multiemployer plans | 15 | | 16 | | _ | | _ | | | | |
| Settlement, termination and curtailment losses | 3 | | 2 | | _ | | _ | | | | |
| Net periodic benefit cost (income) | \$ 26 | \$ | 68 | \$ | (1) | \$ | (8) | | | | |

The following table reflects the components of net periodic benefit cost (income) for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

| | Pens Bene | | Otl Ben | |
|---|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| (In millions) | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Service cost | \$ 26 | \$ 53 | \$ 10 | \$ 11 |
| Interest cost | 130 | 131 | 49 | 49 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (210) | (221) | (75) | (77) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 6 | 9 | 13 | (3) |
| Amortization of actuarial net loss | 64 | 128 | 1 | 3 |
| Net periodic benefit cost (income), excluding below | 16 | 100 | (2) | (17) |
| Multiemployer plans | 32 | 34 | _ | _ |
| Settlement, termination and curtailment losses | 3 | 5 | _ | _ |
| Net periodic benefit cost (income) | \$ 51 | \$ 139 | \$ (2) | \$ (17) |

Settlements

During the first six months of 2016 and 2015, the non-qualified pension plan incurred settlement charges of approximately \$3 million and \$5 million respectively, due to lump sum payments for certain individuals.

Employer Contributions

During the first six months of 2016, U. S. Steel made cash payments of \$32 million to the Steelworkers' Pension Trust and \$6 million of pension payments not funded by trusts.

During the first six months of 2016, cash payments of \$31 million were made for other postretirement benefit payments not funded by trusts.

Company contributions to defined contribution plans totaled \$11 million in both of the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Company contributions to defined contribution plans totaled \$22 million and \$21 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.



Non-retirement postemployment benefits

U. S. Steel incurred a favorable adjustment associated with a change in estimate that resulted in a benefit of approximately \$17 million and \$2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to costs of \$25 million and \$40 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, related to employee costs for supplemental unemployment benefits and the continuation of health care benefits and life insurance coverage for employees associated with the temporary idling of certain facilities and reduced production at others. Payments for these benefits during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 were \$21 million and \$40 million, respectively. Payments for these benefits during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 were \$13 million and \$14 million, respectively.

Pension Funding

In July 2016, U. S. Steel's Board of Directors authorized voluntary contributions to the trust for our main defined benefit pension plan of up to \$100 million over the next twelve months.

In November 2015, pension stabilization legislation further extended a revised interest rate formula to be used to measure defined benefit pension obligations for calculating minimum annual contributions. The new interest rate formula results in higher interest rates for minimum funding calculations as compared to prior law over the next few years, which will improve the funded status of our main defined benefit pension plan and reduce minimum required contributions. The Company estimates there will be no minimum required contribution to the main pension plan in 2016.

7. Net Interest and Other Financial Costs

Net interest and other financial costs includes interest expense (net of capitalized interest), interest income, financing costs, derivatives gains and losses and foreign currency remeasurement gains and losses. Foreign currency gains and losses are primarily a result of foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities that require remeasurement and the impacts of euro-U.S. dollar derivatives activity. During the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, net foreign currency gains of \$6 million and \$2 million respectively, were recorded in other financial costs. During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, net foreign currency losses of \$2 million and gains of \$3 million respectively, were recorded in other financial costs. Additionally, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, a net loss on debt extinguishment was recognized of \$24 million and \$22 million, respectively.

See Note 12 for additional information on U. S. Steel's use of derivatives to mitigate its foreign currency exchange rate exposure. See Note 13 for further details on U. S. Steel's redemption of its senior debt.

8. Stock-Based Compensation Plans

U. S. Steel has outstanding stock-based compensation awards that were granted by the Compensation & Organization Committee of the Board of Directors (the Committee) under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan) and the 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (the Omnibus Plan), which is more fully described in Note 14 of the United States Steel Corporation Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 and the 2016 Proxy Statement respectively. On April 26, 2016, the Company's stockholders approved the Omnibus Plan and authorized the Company to issue up to 7,200,000 shares of U. S. Steel common stock under the Omnibus Plan. While the awards that were previously granted under the 2005 Plan remain outstanding, all future awards will be granted under the Omnibus Plan. As of June 30, 2016, 4,886,835 shares were available for future grants under the Omnibus Plan.

Recent grants of stock-based compensation consist of stock options, restricted stock units, and total shareholder return (TSR) performance awards. Stock options are generally issued at the market price of the underlying stock on the date of the grant. Upon exercise of stock options, shares of U. S. Steel common stock are issued from treasury stock. The following table is a general summary of the awards made under the 2005 Plan and the Omnibus Plan.

| 20 | 16 | 2015 | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Shares ^(a) | Fair Value ^(b) | Shares ^(a) | Fair Value ^(b) | | | | |
| 1,333,210 \$ | 6.24 | 1,638,540 | \$ 10.02 | | | | |
| 1,117,495 \$ | 14.27 | 794,370 | \$ 24.71 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 308,130 \$ | 10.02 | 273,560 | \$ 24.95 | | | | |
| | Shares ^(a) 1,333,210 \$ 1,117,495 \$ | 1,333,210 \$ 6.24 1,117,495 \$ 14.27 | Shares ^(a) Fair Value ^(b) Shares ^(a) 1,333,210 6.24 1,638,540 1,117,495 14.27 794,370 | | | | |

^(a) The share amounts shown in this table do not reflect an adjustment for estimated forfeitures.

^(b) Represents the per share weighted-average for all grants during the period.

^(c) The number of performance awards shown represents the target value of the award.

U. S. Steel recognized pretax stock-based compensation expense in the amount of \$5 million and \$12 million in the three month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$11 million and \$23 million in the first six months of 2016 and 2015, respectively.

As of June 30, 2016, total future compensation expense related to nonvested stock-based compensation arrangements was \$38 million, and the weighted average period over which this expense is expected to be recognized is approximately 1 year.

Compensation expense for stock options is recorded over the vesting period based on the fair value on the date of grant, as calculated by U. S. Steel using the Black-Scholes model and the assumptions listed below. The stock options vest ratably over a three-year service period and have a term of ten years.

| Black-Scholes Assumptions ^(a) | 20 | 16 Grants 2 | 015 Grants |
|--|----|-------------|------------|
| Grant date price per share of option award | \$ | 14.78 \$ | 24.74 |
| Exercise price per share of option award | \$ | 14.78 \$ | 24.74 |
| Expected annual dividends per share, at grant date | \$ | 0.20 \$ | 0.20 |
| Expected life in years | | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Expected volatility | | 53% | 47 % |
| Risk-free interest rate | | 1.463 % | 1.639% |
| Grant date fair value per share of unvested option awards as calculated from above | \$ | 6.24 \$ | 10.02 |

(a) The assumptions represent a weighted average of all grants during the period.

The expected annual dividends per share are based on the latest annualized dividend rate at the date of grant; the expected life in years is determined primarily from historical stock option exercise data; the expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of U. S. Steel stock; and the risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury strip rate for the expected life of the option.

Restricted stock units generally vest ratably over three years. The fair value of the restricted stock units is the average market price of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant.

TSR performance awards vest at the end of a three-year performance period as a function of U. S. Steel's total shareholder return compared to the total shareholder return of a group of peer companies over the three-year performance period. TSR performance awards can vest at between zero and 200 percent of the target award. The fair value of the TSR performance awards is calculated using a Monte-Carlo simulation.

9. Income Taxes

Tax provision

For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we recorded a tax provision of \$7 million on our pretax loss of \$379 million and a tax benefit of \$360 million on our pretax loss of \$696 million, respectively. Due to the full valuation allowance on our domestic deferred tax assets in 2016, the tax provision does not reflect any benefit for domestic pretax losses. For 2015, the tax provision reflects a benefit for percentage depletion in excess of cost depletion for iron ore that we produce and consume or sell. Included in the tax provision is a net benefit of \$31 million relating to the adjustment of certain tax reserves in the first six months of 2015.

-12-

The tax provision for the first six months of 2016 is based on an estimated annual effective rate, which requires management to make its best estimate of annual pretax income or loss. Due to the full valuation allowance on our domestic deferred tax assets, the tax provision does not reflect any benefit for domestic pretax losses.

During the year, management regularly updates forecasted annual pretax results for the various countries in which we operate based on changes in factors such as prices, shipments, product mix, plant operating performance and cost estimates. To the extent that actual 2016 pretax results for U.S. and foreign income or loss vary from estimates applied herein, the actual tax provision or benefit recognized in 2016 could be materially different from the forecasted amount used to estimate the tax provision for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Deferred taxes

Each quarter U. S. Steel analyzes the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be realized. A valuation allowance is recorded if, based on the weight of all available positive and negative evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of a deferred tax asset may not be realized. At December 31, 2015, the Company determined that a valuation allowance of \$804 million was required for the Company's domestic deferred tax assets.

At June 30, 2016, U.S. Steel reviewed all available positive and negative evidence and determined that it is more likely than not that all of its net domestic deferred tax assets may not be realized. As a result, an incremental valuation allowance of \$54 million was recorded against the increase in the net domestic deferred tax asset (excluding a deferred tax liability related to an asset with an indefinite life).

U.S. Steel will continue to monitor the realizability of its deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis. In the future, if we determine that realization is more likely than not for deferred tax assets with a valuation allowance, the related valuation allowance will be reduced, and we will record a non-cash benefit to earnings.

Unrecognized tax benefits

Unrecognized tax benefits are the differences between a tax position taken, or expected to be taken, in a tax return and the benefit recognized for accounting purposes pursuant to the guidance in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 740 on income taxes. The total amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was \$75 million at June 30, 2016 and \$74 million at December 31, 2015. The total amount of net unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate was \$10 million as of June 30, 2016 and \$12 million as of December 31, 2015.

U. S. Steel records interest related to uncertain tax positions as a part of net interest and other financial costs in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Any penalties are recognized as part of selling, general and administrative expenses. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, U. S. Steel had accrued liabilities of \$5 million and \$1 million, respectively, for interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

10. Earnings and Dividends Per Common Share

Earnings (Loss) Per Share Attributable to United States Steel Corporation Stockholders

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period.

Diluted earnings (loss) per common share assumes the exercise of stock options, the vesting of restricted stock units and performance awards and the conversion of convertible notes, provided in each case the effect is dilutive. The "treasury stock" method was used to calculate the dilutive effect of the Senior Convertible Notes due in 2019 (2019 Senior Convertible Notes) while they were outstanding due to our intent and policy at the time of issuance to settle the principal amount of the 2019 Senior Convertible Notes in cash if they were converted (as described in Note 16 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K, the 2019 Senior Convertible Notes were redeemed in the fourth quarter of 2015).



The computations for basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share from continuing operations are as follows:

| | | nree Month 3 | sEr 0, | nded June | Si | x Months E | d June 30, | |
|--|----|-----------------|-----------|-----------|----|------------|------------|---------|
| (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts) | | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| Loss attributable to United States Steel Corporation stockholders | \$ | (46) | \$ | (261) | \$ | (386) | \$ | (336) |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding (in thousands): | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | 146,582 | | 145,962 | | 146,492 | | 145,848 |
| Effect of stock options, restricted stock units and performance awards | | — | | — | | — | | — |
| Adjusted weighted-average shares outstanding, diluted | | 146,582 | | 145,962 | | 146,492 | | 145,848 |
| asic loss per common share | | (0.32) | \$ | (1.79) | \$ | (2.64) | \$ | (2.31) |
| Diluted loss per common share | \$ | (0.32) | \$ | (1.79) | \$ | (2.64) | \$ | (2.31) |

The following table summarizes the securities that were antidilutive, and therefore, were not included in the computations of diluted earnings (loss) per common share:

| | Three Months 30 | | June 30, 015 2016 2015 | |
|--|--------------------|-------|--|-------|
| (In thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Securities granted under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended | 10,126 | 9,139 | 10,126 | 9,139 |

Dividends Paid Per Share

The dividend for each of the first and second quarters of 2016 and 2015 was five cents per common share.

11. Inventories

Inventories are carried at the lower of cost or market. The first-in, first-out method is the predominant method of inventory costing in Europe. The last-in, first-out (LIFO) method is the predominant method of inventory costing in the United States. At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the LIFO method accounted for 79 percent and 80 percent of total inventory values, respectively.

| (In millions) | June | 30, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---------------------------|------|----------|-------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ | 440 | \$ 766 |
| Semi-finished products | | 767 | 841 |
| Finished products | | 407 | 392 |
| Supplies and sundry items | | 61 | 75 |
| Total | \$ | 1,675 | \$ 2,074 |

Current acquisition costs were estimated to exceed the above inventory values by \$524 million at June 30, 2016 and \$900 million at December 31, 2015. As a result of the liquidation of LIFO inventories, cost of sales increased and earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes decreased by \$29 million in the three months ended June 30, 2016. As a result of the liquidation of LIFO inventories, cost of sales decreased and earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes increased by \$1 million in the three months ended June 30, 2015. Cost of sales increased and earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes decreased by \$75 million and \$3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, respectively, as a result of liquidation of LIFO inventories.

Inventory includes \$56 million and \$64 million of property held for residential or commercial development as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.



12. Derivative Instruments

U. S. Steel is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risks as a result of our European operations. USSE's revenues are primarily in euros and costs are primarily in U.S. dollars and euros. In addition, foreign cash requirements have been, and in the future may be, funded by intercompany loans, creating intercompany monetary assets and liabilities in currencies other than the functional currency of the entities involved, which can affect income when remeasured at the end of each period.

U. S. Steel uses euro forward sales contracts with maturities no longer than 12 months to exchange euros for U.S. dollars to manage our currency requirements and exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. Derivative instruments are required to be recognized at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. U. S. Steel has not elected to designate these euro forward sales contracts as hedges. Therefore, changes in their fair value are recognized immediately in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As of June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel held euro forward sales contracts with a total notional value of approximately \$214 million. We mitigate the risk of concentration of counterparty credit risk by purchasing our forward sales contracts from several counterparties.

Additionally, U. S. Steel uses fixed-price forward physical purchase contracts to partially manage our exposure to price risk related to the purchases of natural gas and certain nonferrous metals used in the production process. During 2016 and 2015, the forward physical purchase contracts for natural gas and nonferrous metals qualified for the normal purchases and normal sales exemption described in ASC Topic 815 and were not subject to mark-to-market accounting.

The following summarizes the location and amounts of the fair values and gains or losses related to derivatives included in U. S. Steel's consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

| | | Fair | [·] Value | Fair Value December 31, 2015 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| (In millions) | Balance Sheet Location | June | 30, 2016 | | | | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | Accounts receivable | \$ | 2 \$ | 6 | 4 | | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | Accounts payable | \$ | 2 \$ | 6 | 1 | | | |
| | Statement of Operations | Three | ount of Gain (Loss) Months Ended | Six Mor | nt of Gain Loss) nths Ended | | | |
| (In millions) | Location | Jur | ne 30, 2016 | June | e 30, 2016 | | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | Other financial income/ costs | \$ | (6) | \$ | 4 | | | |
| | Statement of Operations | | ount of Gain (Loss) Aonths Ended | Amount of Gain (Loss) Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 | | | | |
| (In millions) | Location | Jur | ne 30, 2015 | | | | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | Other financial income/ costs | \$ | (11) | \$ | 32 | | | |

In accordance with the guidance found in ASC Topic 820 on fair value measurements and disclosures, the fair value of our euro forward sales contracts was determined using Level 2 inputs, which are defined as "significant other observable" inputs. The inputs used are from market sources that aggregate data based upon market transactions.

-15-

13. <u>Debt</u>

| | Interest | | _ | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|------|----------|--------|--------------|
| (In millions) | Rates % | Maturity | June | 30, 2016 | Decemb | per 31, 2015 |
| 2037 Senior Notes | 6.65 | 2037 | \$ | 350 | \$ | 350 |
| 2022 Senior Notes | 7.50 | 2022 | | 400 | | 400 |
| 2021 Senior Notes | 6.875 | 2021 | | 251 | | 275 |
| 2021 Senior Secured Notes | 8.375 | 2021 | | 980 | | — |
| 2020 Senior Notes | 7.375 | 2020 | | 450 | | 600 |
| 2018 Senior Notes | 7.00 | 2018 | | 161 | | 500 |
| 2017 Senior Notes | 6.05 | 2017 | | _ | | 450 |
| Environmental Revenue Bonds | 5.50 - 6.88 | 2016 - 2042 | | 490 | | 490 |
| Recovery Zone Facility Bonds | 6.75 | 2040 | | 70 | | 70 |
| Fairfield Caster Lease | | 2022 | | 29 | | 30 |
| Other capital leases and all other obligations | | 2019 | | 1 | | 1 |
| Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement | Variable | 2020 | | _ | | — |
| USSK Revolver | Variable | 2019 | | _ | | _ |
| USSK credit facilities | Variable | 2016 - 2018 | | _ | | — |
| Total Debt | | | | 3,182 | | 3,166 |
| Less unamortized discount and debt issuance costs | | | | 3 | | 5 |
| Less deferred issuance costs | | | | 39 | | 23 |
| Less short-term debt and long-term debt due within one year ^(a) | | | | 82 | | 45 |
| Long-term debt | | | \$ | 3,058 | \$ | 3,093 |

To the extent not otherwise discussed below, information concerning the Senior Notes and other listed obligations can be found in Note 15 of the audited financial statements in the United States Steel Corporation Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement

As of June 30, 2016, there were no amounts drawn on the \$1.5 billion credit facility agreement (Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement). However, since the value of our inventory and trade accounts receivable less specified reserves calculated in accordance with the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement do not support the full amount of the facility at June 30, 2016, the amount available to the Company under this facility was reduced by \$37 million. Additionally, U. S. Steel must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.00 to 1.00 for the most recent four consecutive quarters when availability under the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement is less than the greater of 10 percent of the total aggregate commitments and \$150 million. Since availability was greater than \$150 million, compliance with the fixed charge coverage ratio covenant was not applicable. Based on the most recent four quarters as of June 30, 2016, we would not meet this covenant. So long as we continue to not meet this account availability under the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement the Company under the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement was \$1,313 million as of June 30, 2016.

The Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement provides for borrowings at interest rates based on defined, short-term market rates plus a spread based on availability and includes other customary terms and conditions including restrictions on our ability to create certain liens and to consolidate, merge or transfer all, or substantially all, of our assets. The Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement expires in July 2020. Maturity may be accelerated 91 days prior to the stated maturity of any outstanding senior debt if excess cash and credit facility availability do not meet the liquidity conditions set forth in the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. Borrowings are secured by liens on certain domestic inventory and trade accounts receivable.

-16-

On February 24, 2016, the Company entered into an amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement that updated certain definitions to conform to the definitions of similar terms used in the Corporation's outstanding indentures. Additionally, the amendment increased the threshold for incurrence of additional secured debt from 10% to 15% of Consolidated Net Tangible Assets.

Senior Note Repurchases and Redemption

During the three months ended June 30, 2016, the Company repurchased several tranches of its outstanding senior notes. The Company completed an optional redemption of its outstanding 6.05% Senior Notes due 2017 for an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$444 million plus a total make whole premium of approximately \$22 million. Pursuant to a cash tender offer, the Company repurchased approximately \$326 million of its 7.00% Senior Notes due 2018 for 107 percent of par, approximately \$150 million of its 7.375% Senior Notes due 2020 at an average rate of 86 percent of par and approximately \$23 million of its 6.875% Senior Notes due 2021 for 82 percent of par.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company repurchased approximately \$6 million of its 6.05% Senior Notes due 2017 at an average rate of 92.305 percent and approximately \$13 million of its 7.00% Senior Notes due 2018 at an average rate of 87.962 percent through a series of open market purchases.

2021 Senior Secured Notes

On May 10, 2016, U. S. Steel issued \$980 million of 8.375% Senior Secured Notes due July 1, 2021 (2021 Senior Secured Notes) under an indenture in a 144A private transaction exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). U. S. Steel received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$958 million after fees of approximately \$22 million related to underwriting and third party expenses. The net proceeds from the issuance of the 2021 Senior Secured Notes were used to redeem and repurchase portions of our outstanding senior notes as discussed above. Interest on the notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on January 1st and July 1st of each year commencing on January 1, 2017. The notes are secured by first-priority liens on substantially all of the tangible and intangible assets of the Company's Flat-Rolled segment, exclusive of the collateral required under the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

The Company may redeem the 2021 Senior Secured Notes, in whole or part, at our option on or after July 1, 2018 at the redemption price for such notes as a percentage of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the redemption date, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on July of each of the years indicated below.

| Year | Redemption Price |
|---------------------|------------------|
| 2018 | 106.28 % |
| 2019 | 104.19 % |
| 2020 and thereafter | 100.00 % |

Prior to July 1, 2018, the Company may redeem up to 35% of the original aggregate principal amount of the 2021 Senior Secured Notes with the net cash proceeds of one or more equity offerings for a price of 108.375% of principal. Upon the occurrence of certain assets sales, we may be required to offer to repurchase the Notes with the proceeds at a price of 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest if any. The indenture pursuant to which the 2021 Senior Secured Notes were issued contains additional customary financial covenants and other obligations.

U. S. Steel Košice (USSK) revolver and credit facilities

At June 30, 2016, USSK had no borrowings under its €200 million (approximately \$222 million) unsecured revolving credit facility (the USSK Credit Agreement). The USSK Credit Agreement contains certain USSK financial covenants, including maximum Leverage, maximum Net Debt to Tangible Net Worth, and minimum Interest Coverage ratios. The covenants are measured semi-annually for the period covering the last twelve calendar months. USSK may not draw on the USSK Credit Agreement if it does not comply with any of the financial covenants until the next measurement date. At June 30, 2016, USSK had full availability under the USSK Credit Agreement. The USSK Credit Agreement expires in July 2019.



At June 30, 2016, USSK had no borrowings under its €40 million and €10 million unsecured credit facilities (collectively approximately \$55 million) and the availability was approximately \$54 million due to approximately \$1 million of customs and other guarantees outstanding.

Each of these facilities bear interest at the applicable inter-bank offer rate plus a margin and contain customary terms and conditions.

Change in control event under various financing agreements

If there is a change in control of U. S. Steel, the following may occur: (a) debt obligations totaling \$2,593 million as of June 30, 2016 (including the Senior Notes) may be declared due and payable; (b) the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and USSK's €200 million Revolving Credit Agreement may be terminated and any amounts outstanding declared due and payable; and (c) U. S. Steel may be required to either repurchase the leased Fairfield Works slab caster for \$29 million or provide a letter of credit to secure the remaining obligation.

14. Asset Retirement Obligations

U. S. Steel's asset retirement obligations (AROs) primarily relate to mine and landfill closure and post-closure costs. The following table reflects changes in the carrying values of AROs:

| (In millions) | June | e 30, 2016 | December 31, 2015 | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------|------------|-------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ | 89 | \$ 48 | | | | |
| Additional obligations incurred | | 3 | 45 | (a) | | | |
| Obligations settled | | (6) | (6) | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation effects | | _ | (1) | | | | |
| Accretion expense | | 1 | 3 | | | | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 87 | \$ 89 | | | | |

^(a) Additional AROs relate to the permanent closure of the coke production facilities at Gary Works and Granite City Works.

Certain AROs related to disposal costs of the majority of fixed assets at our integrated steel facilities have not been recorded because they have an indeterminate settlement date. These AROs will be initially recognized in the period in which sufficient information exists to estimate their fair value.

15. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, current accounts and notes receivable, accounts payable, bank checks outstanding, and accrued interest included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet approximate fair value. See Note 12 for disclosure of U. S. Steel's derivative instruments, which are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis.

The following table summarizes U. S. Steel's financial assets and liabilities that were not carried at fair value at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

| | June 3 | 0, 20 |)16 | December 31, 2015 | | | | |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | | | Fair Value | | Carrying Amount | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| \$ | 2,946 | \$ | 3,109 | \$ | 1,896 | \$ | 3,107 | |
| n millions) inancial liabilities: Long-term debt ^(a) | | Fair Value | Fair Value | Value Amount | Fair Carrying Value Amount | Fair Carrying Fair Value Amount Value | Fair Carrying Fair Value Amount Value | |

(a) Excludes capital lease obligations.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments included in the table above:

Long-term debt: Fair value was determined using Level 2 inputs which were derived from quoted market prices and is based on the yield on public debt where available or current borrowing rates available for financings with similar terms and maturities.

-18-

Fair value of the financial liabilities disclosed herein is not necessarily representative of the amount that could be realized or settled, nor does the fair value amount consider the tax consequences of realization or settlement.

Financial guarantees are U. S. Steel's only unrecognized financial instrument. For details relating to financial guarantees see Note 20.

16. <u>Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity</u>

The following table reflects the first six months of 2016 and 2015 reconciliation of the carrying amount of total equity, equity attributable to U. S. Steel and equity attributable to noncontrolling interests:

| Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 (In millions) | Total | etained arnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | ommon Stock | ٦ | Γreasury Stock | - | Paid-in Capital | Non- Controlling Interest |
|---|----------|------------------------|--|----------------|----|-------------------|----|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 2,437 | \$ 190 | \$ (1,169) | \$ 151 | \$ | (339) | \$ | 3,603 | \$ |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | (386) | (386) | | | | | | | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | | | | | | |
| Pension and other benefit adjustments | (182) | | (182) | | | | | | |
| Currency translation adjustment | 31 | | 31 | | | | | | |
| Employee stock plans | 9 | | | | | 42 | | (33) | |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (15) | | | | | | | (15) | |
| Other | 20 | (1) | \$ 21 | | | | | | |
| Balance at June 30, 2016 | \$ 1,914 | \$ (197) | \$ (1,299) | \$ 151 | \$ | (297) | \$ | 3,555 | \$ |

| Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 (In millions) | Total | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | C | Common Stock | т | reasury Stock | Paid-in Capital | Non- Controlling Interest | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--|----|-----------------|----|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 3,800 | \$ 1,862 | \$ (1,441) | \$ | 151 | \$ | (396) | \$ 3,623 | \$ | 1 |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | (336) | (336) | | | | | | | | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pension and other benefit adjustments | 87 | | 87 | | | | | | | |
| Currency translation adjustment | (78) | | (78) | | | | | | | |
| Employee stock plans | 24 | | | | | | 51 | (27) | | |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (15) | (15) | | | | | | | | |
| Other | (1) | \$ (1) | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at June 30, 2015 | \$ 3,481 | \$ 1,510 | \$ (1,432) | \$ | 151 | \$ | (345) | \$ 3,596 | \$ | 1 |

-19-

17. <u>Reclassifications from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI)</u>

| (In millions) ^(a) | Pension and Other Benefit Items | | С | Foreign urrency Items | Other | Total |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----|----|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ (1,479) | | \$ | 312 | \$ (2) | \$ (1,169) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications | (95) | | | 31 | 17 | (47) |
| Amounts reclassified from AOCI | (87) | (b) | | — | 4 | (83) |
| Net current-period other comprehensive income | (182) | | _ | 31 | 21 | (130) |
| Balance at June 30, 2016 | \$ (1,661) | - | \$ | 343 | \$ 19 | \$ (1,299) |

(a) Amounts for 2016 do not reflect a tax benefit as a result of a full valuation allowance on our domestic deferred tax assets. Amounts for 2015 are shown net of tax. Amounts in parentheses indicate decreases in AOCI.

(b) See table below for further details.

| | | Amount reclassified from AOCI | | | | | | 1 | | |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------|----|------------|----|----------------------------|----|-------|--|
| | | Three M | | | Ended June | | Six Months Ended Ju 30, | | | |
| (In millions) ^(a) | Details about AOCI components | | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2015 | |
| | Amortization of pension and other benefit items | | | | | | | | | |
| | Prior service costs ^(b) | \$ | (10) | \$ | (4) | \$ | (19) | \$ | (6) | |
| | Actuarial losses ^(b) | | (33) | | (65) | | (65) | | (131) | |
| | Settlement, termination and curtailment (losses) ^(b) | | (3) | | (2) | | (3) | | (5) | |
| | Total before tax | | (46) | | (71) | | (87) | | (142) | |
| | Tax benefit | | _ | | 27 | | _ | | 54 | |
| | Net of tax ^(c) | \$ | (46) | \$ | (44) | \$ | (87) | \$ | (88) | |

(a) Amounts in parentheses indicate decreases in AOCI.

(b) These AOCI components are included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost (see Note 6 for additional details).

(c) Amounts for 2016 does not reflect a tax benefit as a result of a full valuation allowance on our domestic deferred tax assets.

18. Transactions with Related Parties

Net sales to related parties and receivables from related parties primarily reflect sales of steel products to equity investees and U. S. Steel Canada Inc. (USSC) after the Canada Companies' Creditor Arrangement Act (CCAA) filing on September 16, 2014. Generally, transactions are conducted under long-term market-based contractual arrangements. Related party sales and service transactions were \$264 million and \$391 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively and \$579 million and \$717 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Purchases from related parties for outside processing services provided by equity investees and USSC after the CCAA filing on September 16, 2014 amounted to \$24 million and \$111 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively and \$43 million and \$211 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Purchases of iron ore pellets from related parties amounted to \$42 million and \$53 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively and \$88 million and \$107 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively and \$88 million and \$107 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Accounts payable to related parties include balances due to PRO-TEC Coating Company (PRO-TEC) of \$73 million and \$66 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively for invoicing and receivables collection services provided by U. S. Steel. U. S. Steel, as PRO-TEC's exclusive sales agent, is responsible for credit risk related to those receivables. U. S. Steel also provides PRO-TEC marketing, selling and customer service functions. Payables to other related parties, including USSC after the CCAA filing on September 16, 2014, totaled \$16 million and \$15 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.



The Company has related party trade accounts receivables, loan and interest receivables from USSC, net of an allowance for doubtful account, totaling \$70 million as of June 30, 2016 and \$98 million at December 31, 2015.

19. <u>Restructuring and Other Charges</u>

During the three months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded a net favorable adjustment of \$ 6 million primarily associated with a change in estimate for headcount reductions across the enterprise, including within our Flat-Rolled, Tubular and USSE segments. This change in estimate includes adjustments for costs for supplemental unemployment and severance benefits as well as the continuation of heath care benefits.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded a net charge of \$4 million associated with Company wide headcount reductions, including within our Flat-Rolled, Tubular and USSE segments. This charge includes costs for supplemental unemployment and severance benefits as well as the continuation of heath care benefits.

During the three months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded a net charge of \$19 million for employee related costs, for severance, supplemental unemployment benefits and a continuation of healthcare benefits as well as other shutdown costs, primarily environmental. Favorable adjustments for changes in estimates on restructuring reserves were made for \$18 million, primarily related to employee and environmental costs associated with the shutdown of our coke making operations at Gary Works and Granite City Works.

During the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded a net charge of \$172 million, primarily related to the permanent shutdown of the cokemaking operations at Gary Works and Granite City Works, within our Flat-Rolled segment. In addition to the write-down of the assets, the charge also includes employee related costs, including costs for severance, supplemental unemployment benefits and continuation of health care benefits as well as other shutdown costs, primarily environmental. Favorable adjustments for changes in estimates on restructuring reserves were made for \$18 million, primarily related to employee and environmental costs associated with the shutdown of our cokemaking operations at Gary Works and Granite City Works.

Charges for restructuring and ongoing cost reduction initiatives are recorded in the period the Company commits to a restructuring or cost reduction plan, or executes specific actions contemplated by the plan and all criteria for liability recognition have been met. Charges related to the restructuring and cost reductions include severance costs, accelerated depreciation, asset impairments and other closure costs.

The activity in the accrued balances incurred in relation to restructuring and other cost reduction programs during the six months ended June 30, 2016 were as follows:

| | Employee Related | | Exit | | |
|---|------------------|----|-------|-------|------|
| (in millions) | Costs | (| Costs | Total | |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ 48 | \$ | 107 | \$ | 155 |
| Additional charges | 18 | | _ | | 18 |
| Cash payments/utilization | (24) | | (24) | | (48) |
| Other adjustments and reclassifications | (13) | | (1) | | (14) |
| | | | | | |
| Balance at June 30, 2016 | \$ 29 | \$ | 82 | \$ | 111 |

Accrued liabilities for restructuring and other cost reduction programs are included in the following balance sheet lines:

| (in millions) | J | une 30, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|----|--------------|-------------------|
| Accounts payable | \$ | 59 | \$ 90 |
| Payroll and benefits payable | | 30 | 48 |
| Employee Benefits | | 2 | _ |
| Deferred credits and other noncurrent liabilities | \$ | 20 | \$ 17 |
| Total | \$ | 111 | \$ 155 |

20. Contingencies and Commitments

U. S. Steel is the subject of, or party to, a number of pending or threatened legal actions, contingencies and commitments involving a variety of matters, including laws and regulations relating to the environment. Certain of these matters are discussed below. The ultimate resolution of these contingencies could, individually or in the aggregate, be material to the consolidated financial statements.

U. S. Steel accrues for estimated costs related to existing lawsuits, claims and proceedings when it is probable that it will incur these costs in the future, and the costs are reasonably determinable.

Asbestos matters – As of June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel was a defendant in approximately 805 active cases involving approximately 3,300 plaintiffs. The vast majority of these cases involve multiple defendants. At December 31, 2015, U. S. Steel was a defendant in approximately 820 active cases involving approximately 3,315 plaintiffs. About 2,500, or approximately 76 percent, of these plaintiff claims are currently pending in jurisdictions which permit filings with massive numbers of plaintiffs. Based upon U. S. Steel's experience in such cases, it believes that the actual number of plaintiffs who ultimately assert claims against U. S. Steel will likely be a small fraction of the total number of plaintiffs. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, dismissals, settlements and other dispositions resolved approximately 145 cases, and new case filings added approximately 130 cases. During 2015, settlements and other dispositions resolved approximately 415 cases, and new case filings added approximately 275 cases.

The following table shows the number of asbestos claims in the current period and the prior three years:

| Period ended | Opening Number of Claims | Claims Dismissed, Settled and Resolved | New Claims | Closing Number of Claims |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|--------------------------------|
| December 31, 2013 | 3,330 | 250 | 240 | 3,320 |
| December 31, 2014 | 3,320 | 190 | 325 | 3,455 |
| December 31, 2015 | 3,455 | 415 | 275 | 3,315 |
| June 30, 2016 | 3,315 | 145 | 130 | 3,300 |

Historically, asbestos-related claims against U. S. Steel fall into three major groups: (1) claims made by persons who allegedly were exposed to asbestos on the premises of U. S. Steel facilities; (2) claims made by persons allegedly exposed to products manufactured by U. S. Steel; and (3) claims made under certain federal and maritime laws by employees of former operations of U. S. Steel.

The amount U. S. Steel accrues for pending asbestos claims is not material to U. S. Steel's financial condition. However, U. S. Steel is unable to estimate the ultimate outcome of asbestos-related claims due to a number of uncertainties, including (1) the rates at which new claims are filed, (2) the number of and effect of bankruptcies of other companies traditionally defending asbestos claims, (3) uncertainties associated with the variations in the litigation process from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, (4) uncertainties regarding the facts, circumstances and disease process with each claim, and (5) any new legislation enacted to address asbestos-related claims. Despite these uncertainties, management believes that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a

material adverse effect on U. S. Steel's financial condition, although the resolution of such matters could significantly impact results of operations for a particular quarter.

Environmental matters – U. S. Steel is subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations relating to the environment. These laws generally provide for control of pollutants released into the environment and require responsible parties to undertake remediation of hazardous waste disposal sites. Penalties may be imposed for noncompliance. Changes in accrued liabilities for remediation activities where U. S. Steel is identified as a named party are summarized in the following table:

| (In millions) | Ended June 2016 |
|---|--------------------|
| Beginning of period | \$ 197 |
| Accruals for environmental remediation deemed probable and reasonably estimable | 1 |
| Adjustments for changes in estimates | (4) |
| Obligations settled | (6) |
| End of period | \$ 188 |

Accrued liabilities for remediation activities are included in the following Consolidated Balance Sheet lines:

| (In millions) | J | une 30, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|----|--------------|-------------------|
| Accounts payable | \$ | 15 | \$ 14 |
| Deferred credits and other noncurrent liabilities | | 173 | 183 |
| Total | \$ | 188 | \$ 197 |

Expenses related to remediation are recorded in cost of sales and were insignificant for both the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. It is not presently possible to estimate the ultimate amount of all remediation costs that might be incurred or the penalties that may be imposed. Due to uncertainties inherent in remediation projects and the associated liabilities, it is reasonably possible that total remediation costs for active matters may exceed the accrued liabilities by as much as 15 to 25 percent.

Remediation Projects

U. S. Steel is involved in environmental remediation projects at or adjacent to several current and former U. S. Steel facilities and other locations that are in various stages of completion ranging from initial characterization through post-closure monitoring. Based on the anticipated scope and degree of uncertainty of projects, we categorize projects as follows:

- (1) Projects with Ongoing Study and Scope Development Projects which are still in the development phase. For these projects, the extent of remediation that may be required is not yet known, the remediation methods and plans are not yet developed, and/or cost estimates cannot be determined. Therefore, significant costs, in addition to the accrued liabilities for these projects, are reasonably possible. There are five environmental remediation projects where additional costs for completion are not currently estimable, but could be material. These projects are at Fairfield Works, Lorain Tubular, USS-POSCO Industries (UPI), the Fairless Plant, and the former steelmaking plant at Joliet, Illinois. As of June 30, 2016, accrued liabilities for these projects totaled \$1 million for the costs of studies, investigations, interim measures, design and/or remediation. It is reasonably possible that additional liabilities associated with future requirements regarding studies, investigations, design and remediation for these projects could be as much as \$25 million to \$40 million.
- (2) Significant Projects with Defined Scope Projects with significant accrued liabilities with a defined scope. As of June 30, 2016, there are four significant projects with defined scope greater than or equal to \$5 million each, with a total accrued liability of \$147 million. These projects are Gary RCRA (accrued liability of \$31 million), the former Geneva facility (accrued liability of \$63 million), the former Duluth facility St. Louis River Estuary (accrued liability of \$48 million), and the Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) #4 at UPI (accrued liability of \$5 million).
- (3) Other Projects with a Defined Scope Projects with relatively small accrued liabilities for which we believe that, while additional costs are possible, they are not likely to be significant, and also include those projects for which we do not yet possess sufficient information to estimate potential costs to U. S. Steel. There are

-23-

two other environmental remediation projects which each had an accrued liability of between \$1 million and \$5 million. The total accrued liability for these projects at June 30, 2016 was \$4 million. These projects have progressed through a significant portion of the design phase and material additional costs are not expected.

The remaining environmental remediation projects had an accrued liability of less than \$1 million each. The total accrued liability for these projects at June 30, 2016 was \$5 million. We do not foresee material additional liabilities for any of these sites.

Post-Closure Costs – Accrued liabilities for post-closure site monitoring and other costs at various closed landfills totaled \$24 million at June 30, 2016 and were based on known scopes of work.

Administrative and Legal Costs – As of June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel had an accrued liability of \$7 million for administrative and legal costs related to environmental remediation projects. These accrued liabilities were based on projected administrative and legal costs for the next three years and do not change significantly from year to year.

<u>Capital Expenditures</u> – For a number of years, U. S. Steel has made substantial capital expenditures to bring existing facilities into compliance with various laws relating to the environment. In the first six months of 2016 and 2015, such capital expenditures totaled \$20 million and \$52 million, respectively. U. S. Steel anticipates making additional such expenditures in the future; however, the exact amounts and timing of such expenditures are uncertain because of the continuing evolution of specific regulatory requirements.

<u> CO_2 </u> Emissions – Current and potential regulation of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions remains a significant issue for the steel industry, particularly for integrated steel producers such as U. S. Steel. Laws regulating carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions have been enacted or are being considered by legislative bodies of many nations, including countries where we have operating facilities. The European Union (EU) has established GHG regulations based upon national allocations and a cap and trade system. In the United States, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has published rules for regulating GHG emissions for certain facilities (both new and existing). The U.S. Supreme Court has upheld the EPA's authority under the Clean Air Act (CAA) to regulate GHG emissions from new or modified stationary sources that are required to obtain pre-construction and operating permits for non-GHG regulated air pollutants, and federal courts are considering several suits that challenge the EPA's authority to regulate GHG emissions from other types of sources (including existing sources). Congress could take additional action that could affect the regulation of GHG emissions.

<u>NAAQS Standards</u> - The EPA recently revised the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for nitrogen oxide (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO ₂), particulate matter, and ozone. It is likely that the new requirements in the State Implementation Plans (SIPs) for sulfur dioxide, ozone and particulate matter would materially impact U. S. Steel, though we are unable to reasonably estimate such amount at this time.

<u>EU Environmental Requirements</u> – Slovakia adopted a new waste code in March 2015 that was effective January 1, 2016. This legislation implements the EU Waste Framework Directive that strictly regulates waste disposal and encourages recycling, among other provisions, by increasing fees for waste disposed of in landfills, including privately owned industrial landfills. This legislation will not have a material impact on USSK.

Under the Emission Trading System (ETS) USSK's final allocation of free allowances for the Phase III period, which covers the years 2013 through 2020 is 48 million allowances. However, following the recent judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union on April 2016, the volume of free allocations for the years 2018-2020 will be reduced. Until a new calculation by the European Commission is adopted, we cannot reliably estimate the impact on USSK's free allocation volume. Prior to the recent ruling we estimated a shortfall of approximately 15 million allowances for the Phase III period. The actual shortfall will depend upon the reductions resulting from the recent Court of Justice ruling. Based on 2015 emission intensity levels and projected future production levels, and as a result of carryover allowances from the NAP II period, the earliest we anticipate having to purchase allowances to meet the annual compliance submission would be the first quarter of 2018. However, due to a number of variable factors such as the future market value of allowances, future production levels and future emission intensity levels, we cannot reliably estimate the full cost of complying with the ETS regulations at this time.

The EU's Industry Emission Directive will require implementation of EU determined best available techniques (BAT) to reduce environmental impacts as well as compliance with BAT associated emission levels. Our most recent broad estimate of future capital expenditures for projects to comply with or go beyond BAT requirements

-24-

is €43 million to €140 million (approximately \$48 million to \$155 million) over the 2016 to 2020 period. There are ongoing efforts to seek EU grants to fund a portion of these capital expenditures. The actual amount spent will depend largely upon the amount of EU incentive grants received.

Due to other EU legislation, we are required to make changes to the boilers at our steam and power generation plant in order to comply with stricter air emission limits for large combustion plants. In January 2014, the operation of USSK's boilers was approved by the EC as part of Slovakia's Transitional National Plan (TNP) for bringing all boilers in Slovakia into compliance by no later than 2020. The TNP establishes emission ceilings for each category of emissions (Total Suspended Particulate, SO₂ and NOx) for both stacks within the Power Plant. The allowable amount of discharged emissions will decrease each year until mid 2020. An emission ceiling will be a limiting factor for future operation of the boilers. The boiler projects have been approved by our Board of Directors and we are now in the execution phase. These projects will result in a reduction in electricity, CO_2 emissions, operating, maintenance and waste disposal costs once completed. The current projected cost to reconstruct one existing boiler and build one new boiler to achieve compliance is approximately €131 million (approximately \$145 million) of which €82 million (approximately \$91 million) has already been spent through June 30, 2016. Broad legislative changes were enacted by the Slovak Republic to extend the scope of support for renewable sources of energy, that are intended to allow USSK to participate in Slovakia's renewable energy incentive program once the boiler projects are completed.

Guarantees – The maximum guarantees of the indebtedness and other obligations of unconsolidated entities of U. S. Steel totaled \$4 million at June 30, 2016.

EPA Region V Federal Lawsuit – This is a Clean Air Act (CAA) enforcement action pending in Federal Court in the Northern District of Indiana. The U.S. Government, joined by the States of Illinois, Indiana, and Michigan initiated the action alleging the Company violated the CAA and failed to have in place appropriate pollution control equipment at Gary Works, Granite City Works, and Great Lakes Works. Both an unspecified civil penalty and injunctive relief are sought. The parties have agreed to a stay pending the outcome of settlement negotiations. The Company intends to resolve this matter via settlement.

CCAA - On September 16, 2014 USSC commenced court-supervised restructuring proceedings under CCAA before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (the Court). As part of the CCAA proceedings, U. S. Steel submitted both secured and unsecured claims of approximately C\$2.2 billion which were verified by the court-appointed Monitor. U. S. Steel's claims were challenged by a number of interested parties, and on February 29, 2016, the Court denied those challenges and verified U. S. Steel's secured claims in the amount of approximately \$119 million and unsecured claims of approximately C\$1.8 billion and \$120 million. The interested parties have appealed the determinations of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice.

Other contingencies – Under certain operating lease agreements covering various equipment, U. S. Steel has the option to renew the lease or to purchase the equipment at the end of the lease term. If U. S. Steel does not exercise the purchase option by the end of the lease term, U. S. Steel guarantees a residual value of the equipment as determined at the lease inception date (totaling approximately \$9 million at June 30, 2016). No liability has been recorded for these guarantees as the potential loss is not probable.

Insurance – U. S. Steel maintains insurance for certain property damage, equipment, business interruption and general liability exposures; however, insurance is applicable only after certain deductibles and retainages. U. S. Steel is self-insured for certain other exposures including workers' compensation (where permitted by law) and auto liability. Liabilities are recorded for workers' compensation and personal injury obligations. Other costs resulting from losses under deductible or retainage amounts or not otherwise covered by insurance are charged against income upon occurrence.

U. S. Steel uses surety bonds, trusts and letters of credit to provide whole or partial financial assurance for certain obligations such as workers' compensation. The total amount of active surety bonds, trusts and letters of credit being used for financial assurance purposes was approximately \$158 million as of June 30, 2016, which reflects U. S. Steel's maximum exposure under these financial guarantees, but not its total exposure for the underlying obligations. A significant portion of our trust arrangements and letters of credit are collateralized by our Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. The remaining trust arrangements and letters of credit are collateralized by restricted cash. Restricted cash, which is recorded in other current and noncurrent assets, totaled \$41 million at June 30, 2016, of which less than \$1 million was classified as current, and \$37 million at December 31, 2015, all of which was classified as noncurrent.

-25-

Capital Commitments - At June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel's contractual commitments to acquire property, plant and equipment totaled \$145 million.

Contractual Purchase Commitments – U. S. Steel is obligated to make payments under contractual purchase commitments, including unconditional purchase obligations. Payments for contracts with remaining terms in excess of one year are summarized below (in millions):

| Remainder | | | | | Later | |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
| of 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Years | Total |
| \$313 | \$571 | \$564 | \$325 | \$299 | \$1,412 | \$3,484 |

The majority of U. S. Steel's unconditional purchase obligations relates to the supply of industrial gases, and certain energy and utility services with terms ranging from two to 16 years. Unconditional purchase obligations also include coke and steam purchase commitments related to a coke supply agreement with Gateway Energy & Coke Company LLC (Gateway) under which Gateway is obligated to supply a minimum volume of the expected targeted annual production of the heat recovery coke plant, and U. S. Steel is obligated to purchase the coke from Gateway at the contract price. As of June 30, 2016, if U. S Steel were to terminate the agreement, it may be obligated to pay in excess of \$200 million.

Total payments relating to unconditional purchase obligations were \$123 million and \$138 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively and \$255 million and \$249 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

21. USSC Retained Interest

U. S. Steel Canada Inc. (USSC), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of U. S. Steel, with unanimous approval from its Board of Directors applied for relief from its creditors pursuant to CCAA on September 16, 2014. The CCAA filing was approved by the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (the Court) on September 16, 2014 and granted USSC creditor protection while it formulates a plan of restructuring. As a result of the CCAA proceedings, U. S. Steel no longer has a controlling financial interest over USSC, as defined under ASC 810, *Consolidation*, and therefore has deconsolidated USSC's financial position as of the end of the day on September 15, 2014.

Prior to the deconsolidation, U. S. Steel made loans to USSC for the purpose of funding its operations and had net trade accounts receivable in the ordinary course of business. The loans, the corresponding interest and the net trade accounts receivable were considered intercompany transactions and were eliminated in the consolidated U. S. Steel financial statements. As of the deconsolidation date, U. S. Steel's retained interest in USSC consisted of the loans, associated interest and net trade accounts receivable which are now considered third party transactions and have been recognized in U. S. Steel's consolidated financial statements based upon the estimated recoverability of their carrying amounts and whether or not the amounts are secured or unsecured.

Subsequent to the CCAA filing, management has continued to assess the recoverability of the Company's retained interest in USSC. During the second quarter of 2015, management's estimate of the recoverable retained interest was updated as a result of economic conditions impacting the steel industry in North America such as lower prices, elevated levels of imports, the strength of the U.S. dollar and depressed steel company valuations. As a result of our assessment, we recorded a pre-tax charge of approximately \$255 million to write-down our retained interest in USSC.

For the fourth quarter of 2015, U. S. Steel further updated the estimated retained interest based upon our continued assessment of the recoverability of our secured and unsecured claims in the CCAA restructuring proceedings. As a result, an additional pre-tax charge was recognized in the fourth quarter, bringing the total charge to \$392 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. U. S. Steel's recoverability involves uncertainties from economic and other events, including developments related to the ongoing CCAA proceedings, including the appeal of the decision of the Court in the trial relating to the classification and amounts of our secured and unsecured USSC claims, which are beyond the control of U. S. Steel that could materially impact the recoverability of our retained interest.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net sales by segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 are set forth in the following table:

| | Three Moi Jur | nths 1e 30 | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------|-------|-------------|------------------------------|-------|----|-------|-------------|
| (Dollars in millions, excluding intersegment sales) | 2016 | | 2015 | % Change | | 2016 | | 2015 | % Change |
| Flat-Rolled Products (Flat-Rolled) | \$ 1,926 | \$ | 2,125 | (9)% | \$ | 3,657 | \$ | 4,318 | (15)% |
| U. S. Steel Europe (USSE) | 565 | | 600 | (6)% | | 1,041 | | 1,292 | (19)% |
| Tubular Products (Tubular) | 81 | | 160 | (49)% | | 190 | | 531 | (64)% |
| Total sales from reportable segments | 2,572 | | 2,885 | (11)% | _ | 4,888 | | 6,141 | (20)% |
| Other Businesses | 12 | | 15 | (20)% | | 37 | | 31 | 19 % |
| Net sales | \$ 2,584 | \$ | 2,900 | (11)% | \$ | 4,925 | \$ | 6,172 | (20)% |

Management's analysis of the **percentage change in net sales** for U. S. Steel's reportable business segments for the three months ended June 30, 2016 versus the three months ended June 30, 2015 is set forth in the following table:

Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 versus Three Months Ended June 30, 2015

| | | Steel Produce | cts ^(a) | | | |
|-------------|--------|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Volume | Price | Mix | FX ^(b) | Coke & Other | Net Change |
| Flat-Rolled | — % | (7)% | — % | —% | (2)% | (9)% |
| USSE | 3 % | (11)% | — % | 2% | — % | (6)% |
| Tubular | (24)% | (20)% | (5)% | —% | — % | (49)% |

^(a) Excludes intersegment sales

^(b) Foreign currency translation effects

Net sales were \$2,584 million in the three months ended June 30, 2016, compared with \$2,900 million in the same period last year. The decrease in sales for the Flat-Rolled segment primarily reflected lower average realized prices (decrease of \$53 per net ton) due to lower average contract prices year over year on both fixed price and quarterly adjustable contracts, that do not yet reflect the recent price increases resulting from the more balanced supply and demand relationship in the North American flat-rolled market. The decrease in sales for the USSE segment was primarily due to lower average realized euro-based prices (decrease of €53 per net ton) due to lower average realized euro-based prices (decrease of €53 per net ton) due to lower average contract prices year over year on both fixed price and quarterly adjustable contracts, that do not yet reflect the recent price increases of €53 per net ton) due to lower average contract prices year over year on both fixed price and quarterly adjustable contracts, that do not yet reflect the recent price increases partially offset by an increase in shipments (increase of 34 thousand net tons). The decrease in sales for the Tubular segment primarily reflected lower shipments (decrease of 22 thousand net tons) and lower average realized prices (decrease of \$601 per net ton) as a result of reduced drilling activity caused by low crude oil prices and continued high import levels.

While we began to realize some benefit from recent price increases in the second quarter, we will see better average realized prices, primarily in our Flat-Rolled and USSE segments, in the second half of 2016.



Management's analysis of the **percentage change in net sales** for U. S. Steel's reportable business segments for the six months ended June 30, 2016 versus the six months ended June 30, 2015 is set forth in the following table:

| | | Steel Prod | ucts ^(a) | | | | |
|-------------|--------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | Volume | Price | Mix | FX ^(b) | Coke & Other | Net Change | |
| Flat-Rolled | (2)% | (11)% | (1)% | —% | (1)% | (15)% | |
| USSE | (9)% | (12)% | 2 % | —% | — % | (19)% | |
| Tubular | (47)% | (15)% | (1)% | —% | (1)% | (64)% | |

Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 versus Six Months Ended June 30, 2015

(a) Excludes intersegment sales

(b) Foreign currency translation effects

Net sales were \$4,925 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016, compared with \$6,172 million in the same period last year. The decrease in sales for the Flat-Rolled segment primarily reflected lower average realized prices (decrease of \$106 per net ton) and a decrease in shipments (decrease of 141 thousand net tons) as a result of market conditions, including high import levels, which have served to reduce shipment volumes and drastically depress both spot and contract prices. The decrease in sales for the USSE segment was primarily due to lower average realized euro-based prices (decrease of €53 per net ton) and a decrease in sales for the Tubular segment primarily reflected lower shipments (decrease of 126 thousand net tons), both as a result of higher imports. The decrease in sales for the Tubular segment primarily reflected lower shipments (decrease of 153 thousand net tons) and lower average realized prices (decrease of \$518 per net ton) as a result of reduced drilling activity caused by low crude oil prices and continued high import levels.

Pension and other benefits costs

Pension and other benefit costs are reflected in our cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expense line items in the consolidated statements of operations.

Defined benefit and multiemployer pension plan costs totaled \$26 million and \$51 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to \$68 million and \$139 million in the comparable periods in 2015. The \$42 million and \$88 million decreases are primarily due to the freezing of benefit accruals for non-union participants effective December 31, 2015, and the natural maturation of our pension plans, partially offset by asset performance.

Costs related to defined contribution plans totaled \$11 million and \$22 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to \$11 million and \$22 million in the comparable periods in 2015.

Other benefit (income), which is included in earnings (loss) before interest and taxes, totaled \$(1) million and \$(8) million in the three months ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, respectively, and \$(2) million and \$(17) million in the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The \$7 million and \$15 million decreases in income in the 2016 periods are primarily due to benefit and plan design changes in the 2015 Labor Agreements partially offset by the natural maturation of our other benefit plans.

Net periodic pension cost, including multiemployer plans, is expected to total approximately \$102 million in 2016. Total other benefits costs in 2016 are expected to be a benefit of approximately \$(4) million. The pension cost projection includes approximately \$65 million of contributions to the Steelworkers Pension Trust.

-28-

A sensitivity analysis of the projected incremental effect of a hypothetical one percentage point change in the significant inputs used in the calculation of pension and other benefits net periodic benefit costs is provided in the following table:

| | Hypothetical RateIncrease (Decrease) | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----|------|--|--|--|
| (Dollars in millions) | 1% | | (1)% | | | |
| Expected return on plan assets | | | | | | |
| Incremental (decrease) increase in: | | | | | | |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ (76) | \$ | 76 | | | |
| Discount rate | | | | | | |
| Incremental (decrease) increase in: | | | | | | |
| Net periodic pension & other benefits costs for 2016 | \$ (9) | \$ | 7 | | | |
| Pension & other benefits obligations | \$ (752) | \$ | 885 | | | |
| Health care cost escalation trend rates | | | | | | |
| Incremental increase (decrease) in: | | | | | | |
| Other postretirement benefit obligations | \$ 95 | \$ | 82 | | | |
| Service and interest cost components for 2016 | \$ 4 | \$ | (4) | | | |

Non-retirement postemployment benefits

U. S. Steel incurred a favorable adjustment associated with a change in estimate that resulted in a benefit of approximately \$17 million and \$2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to costs of \$25 million and \$40 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, related to employee costs for supplemental unemployment benefits and the continuation of health care benefits and life insurance coverage for employees associated with the temporary idling of certain facilities and reduced production at others. Payments for these benefits during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 were \$13 million and \$14 million, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$64 million and \$133 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to \$107 million and \$209 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2015. The decrease is primarily related to lower pension and other benefits costs, as discussed above, as well as impacts from Company wide overhead reductions.

Operating configuration adjustments

Over the past two years, the Company has adjusted its operating configuration in response to challenging market conditions as a result of global overcapacity and unfair trade practices by indefinitely and temporarily idling production at certain of its facilities.

As of June 30, 2016, the following facilities are idled:

Indefinitely Idled:

Bellville Tubular Operations (idled in August of 2014) McKeesport Tubular Operations (idled in August of 2014)

The carrying value of the long-lived assets associated with the indefinitely idled facilities listed above total approximately \$12 million.

Temporarily Idled:

Lone Star Tubular (idled in April of 2016) Tubular Processing (idled in April of 2015) Granite City Works - Steelmaking Operations (idled in December of 2015) Keetac Iron Ore Operations (idled in May of 2015)

The carrying value of the long-lived assets associated with the temporarily idled facilities listed above total approximately \$560 million.



U. S. Steel will continue to evaluate potential strategic and organizational opportunities, which may include the acquisition, divestiture or consolidation of assets. Given recent market conditions and the continued challenges faced by the Company, we are aggressively focused on maintaining cash and are considering various possibilities, including exiting lines of business and the sale of certain assets, that we believe would ultimately result in a stronger balance sheet and greater stockholder value. The Company will pursue opportunities based on the financial condition of the Company, its long-term strategy, and what the Board of Directors determines to be in the best interests of the Company's stockholders at the time.

Restructuring and Other Charges

During the three months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded a net favorable adjustment of \$6 million associated with a change in estimate for headcount reductions across the enterprise, including within our Flat-Rolled, Tubular and USSE segments. This change in estimate includes adjustments for costs for supplemental unemployment and severance benefits as well as the continuation of heath care benefits.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded a net charge of \$4 million, associated with Company wide headcount reductions, including within our Flat-Rolled, Tubular and USSE segments. This charge includes costs for supplemental unemployment and severance benefits as well as the continuation of heath care benefits.

During the three months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded a net charge of \$19 million for employee related costs, for severance, supplemental unemployment benefits and a combination of healthcare benefits as well as other shutdown costs, primarily environmental. Favorable adjustments for changes in estimates on restructuring reserves were made for \$18 million, primarily related to employee and environmental costs associated with the shutdown of our coke making operations at Gary Works and Granite City Works.

During the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded a net charge of \$172 million, primarily related to the permanent shutdown of the cokemaking operations at Gary Works and Granite City Works, within our Flat-Rolled segment. In addition to the write-down of the assets, the charge also includes employee related costs, including costs for severance, supplemental unemployment benefits and continuation of health care benefits as well as other shutdown costs, primarily environmental. Favorable adjustments for changes in estimates on restructuring reserves were made for \$18 million, primarily related to employee and environmental costs associated with the shutdown of our cokemaking operations at Gary Works and Granite City Works.

Charges for restructuring and ongoing cost reduction initiatives are recorded in the period the Company commits to a restructuring or cost reduction plan, or executes specific actions contemplated by the plan and all criteria for liability recognition have been met. Charges related to the restructuring and cost reductions include severance costs, accelerated depreciation, asset impairments and other closure costs.

Management believes its restructuring actions with regards to the Company's operations will potentially impact the Company's annual cash flows by approximately \$350 million to \$400 million over the course of subsequent annual periods as a result of decreased employee, maintenance and other facility costs, as well as eliminating the need for capital investment at the facilities. These actions will result in other non-cash savings of approximately \$90 million, primarily related to reduced depreciation expense in future periods. Management does not believe there will be any significant impacts related to the Company's revenues as a result of these actions. The Company has realized actual cash savings of approximately \$115 million related to 2015 restructuring efforts.

Earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes by segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 is set forth in the following table:

| | | Three Mon Jun | | % | | Six Mont Jun | % | |
|--|----|------------------|-------------|--------|----|-----------------|-------------|--------|
| (Dollars in millions) | | 2016 | 2015 | Change | | 2016 | 2015 | Change |
| Flat-Rolled | \$ | 6 | \$ (64) | (109)% | \$ | (182) | \$ (131) | 39 % |
| USSE | | 55 | 20 | 175 % | | 41 | 57 | (28)% |
| Tubular | | (78) | (66) | 18 % | | (142) | (65) | 118 % |
| Total loss from reportable segments | | (17) | (110) | (85)% | | (283) | (139) | 104 % |
| Other Businesses | | 10 | 6 | 67 % | | 24 | 14 | 71 % |
| Segment loss before interest and income taxes | | (7) | (104) | (93)% | | (259) | (125) | 107 % |
| Items not allocated to segments: | | | | | | | | |
| Postretirement benefit expense | | 12 | (14) | (186)% | | 28 | (27) | (204)% |
| Other items not allocated to segments: | | | | | | | | |
| Loss on write-down of retained interest in USSC | | _ | (255) | (100)% | | _ | (255) | (100)% |
| Restructuring and other charges and related adjustments | | 23 | (19) | (221)% | | (2) | (19) | (89)% |
| Loss on shutdown of coke production facilities | | — | | — % | | — | (153) | (100)% |
| Total earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes | \$ | 28 | \$ (392) | (107)% | \$ | (233) | \$ (579) | (60)% |

Segment results for Flat-Rolled

| | Three Mor Jur | nths ne 30 | | Six Montl % Jun | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|-------|--------------------|----|-------|----|-------|----------|
| | 2016 | | 2015 | Change | | 2016 | | 2015 | % Change |
| Earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes (\$ millions) | \$ 6 | \$ | (64) | (109)% | \$ | (182) | \$ | (131) | 39 % |
| Gross margin | 5% | | 3% | 2 % | | 1% | | 4% | (3)% |
| Raw steel production (mnt) | 2,735 | | 2,808 | (3)% | | 5,514 | | 5,676 | (3)% |
| Capability utilization | 65% | | 58% | 7 % | | 65% | | 59% | 6 % |
| Steel shipments (mnt) | 2,692 | | 2,712 | (1)% | | 5,188 | | 5,329 | (3)% |
| Average realized steel price per ton | \$ 642 | \$ | 695 | (8)% | \$ | 625 | \$ | 731 | (15)% |

The increase in Flat-Rolled results for the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 primarily resulted from reduced losses in 2016 after the shutdown of the blast furnace and associated steelmaking operations and most of the finishing operations at Fairfield Works in the third quarter of 2015 and lower overhead costs (approximately \$95 million), lower raw materials costs (approximately \$90 million) and lower energy costs (approximately \$20 million). These changes were partially offset by lower average realized prices (approximately \$135 million). While we experienced an increase in spot prices during the three months ended June 30, 2016 due to a more balanced supply and demand relationship in the North American flat-rolled market, our lower average contract prices year over year on both fixed price agreements and quarterly adjustable contracts more than offset the increase realized in spot market prices.

The decrease in Flat-Rolled results for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 resulted from lower average realized prices (approximately \$530 million) as a result of challenging market conditions, including high import levels, which have served to drastically depress both spot and contract prices and lower steel substrate sales to our Tubular segment (approximately \$20 million). These changes were partially offset by lower repairs and maintenance and other operating costs (approximately \$160 million), lower raw materials costs (approximately \$160 million), reduced losses in 2016 after the shutdown of the blast furnace and associated steelmaking operations and most of the finishing operations at Fairfield Works in the third quarter of 2015 and lower overhead costs (approximately \$130 million), and lower energy costs (approximately \$45 million).

Segment results for USSE

| | | Three Mor Jun | | % | | Six Mont Jun | | | | |
|---|----|------------------|----|-------|--------|-----------------|-------|----|-------|----------|
| | | 2016 | | 2015 | Change | | 2016 | | 2015 | % Change |
| Earnings before interest and income taxes (\$ millions) | \$ | 55 | \$ | 20 | 175 % | \$ | 41 | \$ | 57 | (28)% |
| Gross margin | | 16% | | 9% | 7 % | | 10% | | 10% | — % |
| Raw steel production (mnt) | | 1,258 | | 1,200 | 5 % | | 2,410 | | 2,483 | (3)% |
| Capability utilization | | 101% | | 96% | 5 % | | 97% | | 100% | (3)% |
| Steel shipments (mnt) | | 1,125 | | 1,091 | 3 % | | 2,129 | | 2,355 | (10)% |
| Average realized steel price per ton (\$) | \$ | 485 | \$ | 533 | (9)% | \$ | 472 | \$ | 532 | (11)% |
| Average realized steel price per ton (€) | € | 430 | € | 483 | (11)% | € | 423 | € | 476 | (11)% |

The increase in USSE results for the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 was primarily due to lower raw materials costs (approximately \$55 million) and reduced operating costs from operating efficiencies (approximately \$40 million). These changes were partially offset by lower average realized euro-based prices (approximately \$65 million). While we experienced an increase in spot prices during the three months ended June 30, 2016 , our lower average contract prices year over year on both fixed price agreements and quarterly adjustable contracts more than offset the increase realized in spot market prices.

The decrease in USSE results for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 was primarily due to lower average realized eurobased prices (approximately \$150 million) and lower shipment volumes (approximately \$15 million). These changes were partially offset by lower raw materials costs (approximately \$115 million), increased operating efficiencies (approximately \$25 million) and decreased energy costs (approximately \$10 million).

Gross margins for the three months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 increased primarily due to cost improvements realized from our Carnegie Way efforts.

Segment results for Tubular

| | Three Mor Jur | nths ne 30 | | % | | Six Mont Jui | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------|-------|--------|----|-----------------|----|-------|----------|
| | 2016 | | 2015 | Change | | 2016 | | 2015 | % Change |
| Loss before interest and income taxes (\$ millions) | \$ (78) | \$ | (66) | 18 % | \$ | (142) | \$ | (65) | 118 % |
| Gross margin | (62)% | | (20)% | (42)% | | (46)% | | (1)% | (45)% |
| Steel shipments (mnt) | 70 | | 92 | (24)% | | 159 | | 312 | (49)% |
| Average realized steel price per ton | \$ 1,050 | \$ | 1,651 | (36)% | \$ | 1,123 | \$ | 1,641 | (32)% |

The decrease in Tubular results for the three months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 was primarily due to lower average realized prices (approximately \$55 million) and decreased shipment volumes (approximately \$10 million), as a result of high import levels, lower energy pricing and a continued decline in drilling activity. These changes were partially offset by reduced operating costs (approximately \$20 million), reduced repairs and maintenance costs (approximately \$15 million) and lower raw materials costs (approximately \$10 million).

-32-

The decrease in Tubular results for the six months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 was primarily due to lower average realized prices (approximately \$75 million) and decreased shipment volumes (approximately \$40 million), as a result of high import levels, lower energy pricing and a continued decline in drilling activity. These changes were partially offset by decreased repairs and maintenance and other operating costs (approximately \$30 million).

Gross margins for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 decreased as a result of production cost inefficiencies driven by the decrease in shipments.

U. S. Steel and Butch Gilliam Enterprises LLC ("BGE") participate in a 50-50 joint venture, Patriot Premium located in Midland, Texas, which provides oil country threading, accessory threading, repair services and rig site services to exploration and production companies located principally in the Permian Basin. During 2016, U. S. Steel extended the term of the joint venture until March 31, 2019.

As a result of the significant decline in energy prices and high levels of tubular imports, U. S. Steel conducted a review of its seamless tubular and welded tubular asset groups within its Tubular segment and determined that the assets were not impaired as of December 31, 2015. The seamless tubular asset group had a carrying value of \$359 million at December 31, 2015 and the recoverable amount exceeded this carrying value by 627%. The welded tubular asset group had a carrying value of \$559 million at December 31, 2015 and the recoverable amount exceeded this carrying value by 73%. The key assumption used to estimate the recoverable amount sfor both the seamless and welded tubular asset groups was the forecasted price of oil over the 15-year average remaining useful lives of the assets within the asset groups. The price of crude oil would have to remain at historically low levels for a prolonged period of time for the carrying amount of our seamless tubular and welded tubular asset groups to be impaired. U. S. Steel management will continue to monitor market and economic conditions for triggering events, including further weakening in the oil sector over the long-term, that may warrant further review of its long-lived assets. If a triggering event occurs, we will update our fixed asset impairment testing at that time.

Results for Other Businesses

Other Businesses had earnings of \$10 million and \$24 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, compared to earnings of \$6 million and \$14 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2015.

Items not allocated to segments

The decrease in **postretirement benefit expense** in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 resulted from lower pension expenses as a result of the freezing of benefit accruals for non-union participants effective December 31, 2015 and the natural maturation of our plans.

We recorded a net favorable adjustment of \$23 million for **restructuring and other charges and related adjustments** in the three months ended June 30, 2016 primarily due to changes in estimates associated with supplemental unemployment and severance cost accruals with respect to our actions to adjust our operating configuration, streamline our operational processes, and reduce costs. The favorable adjustment resulted from a reduction in the estimated number of employees on layoff and the length of time employees are projected to be on layoff. The net charge for these items during the six months ended June 30, 2016 was \$2 million. We recorded a net charge of \$19 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 as a result of actions to adjust our operational footprint.

We recorded a \$255 million loss on write-down of our retained interest in USSC in the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 as a result of a change in our assessment of the recoverability of the Company's retained interest in USSC.

We recorded a \$153 million loss on shutdown of coke production facilities in the six months ended June 30, 2015 as a result of the permanent closure of our Gary Works and Granite City Works coke facilities.



Net interest and other financial costs

| | Three Mo Ju | nths ne 3 | | % | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | % |
|--|----------------|--------------|------|--------|------------------------------|------|----|------|--------|
| (Dollars in millions) | 2016 | | 2015 | Change | | 2016 | | 2015 | Change |
| Interest expense | \$ 60 | \$ | 53 | 13 % | \$ | 115 | \$ | 104 | 11 % |
| Interest income | (2) | | _ | NM | | (3) | | — | NM |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | 24 | | — | NM | | 22 | | — | NM |
| Other financial costs | (1) | | 2 | (150)% | | 12 | | 13 | (8)% |
| Total net interest and other financial costs | \$ 81 | \$ | 55 | 47 % | \$ | 146 | \$ | 117 | 25 % |

Net interest and other financial costs increased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 primarily due to an increase in interest expense and a loss on debt extinguishment. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel issued \$980 million of 8.375% Senior Secured Notes due July 1, 2021 (2021 Senior Secured Notes) and repurchased several tranches of its outstanding senior notes through various tender offers, redemptions and open market purchases, including the redemption of our remaining 6.05% Senior Notes due 2017 for an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$444 million plus a total make whole premium of approximately \$22 million which has been reflected within the loss on debt extinguishment line in the table above. The increased interest expense is attributable to the interest on the 2021 Senior Secured Notes. For further information see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The **income tax (benefit) provision** was \$(7) million and \$7 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to a benefit of \$186 million and \$360 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2015. Due to the full valuation allowance on our domestic deferred tax assets in 2016, the tax provision does not reflect any tax benefit for domestic pretax losses. For 2015, the tax provision reflects a benefit for percentage depletion in excess of cost depletion for iron ore that we produce and consume or sell and a net tax benefit of \$31 million relating to the adjustment of certain tax reserves.

For further information on income taxes see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Net loss attributable to United States Steel Corporation was \$46 million and \$386 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, compared to net loss of \$261 million and \$336 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2015. The changes primarily reflect the factors discussed above.

BALANCE SHEET

Accounts receivable increased by \$187 million from year-end 2015. Sales in the latter part of a quarter typically represent the majority of the receivables as of the end of the quarter. The increase in receivables primarily reflected increased shipment volumes in the second quarter of 2016 compared to the fourth quarter of 2015.

Inventories decreased by \$399 million from year-end 2015 primarily due to our planned working capital reductions, which includes better inventory management.

Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities increased by \$138 million from year-end 2015 primarily as a result of increased production levels in the second quarter of 2016 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2015.

Employee benefits increased by \$159 million from year-end 2015 primarily due to benefit and plan design changes in the 2015 Labor Agreements, which resulted in a remeasurement of the Other Benefits plans, partially offset by the impacts from the natural maturation of our pensions plans.

Total **long-term debt (less unamortized discount and debt issuance costs) and short-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt** remained consistent with year-end 2015. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel issued \$980 million of 8.375% Senior Secured Notes due July 1, 2021. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel repurchased approximately \$6 million of its 6.05% Senior Notes due 2017 through open market purchases and completed an optional redemption of the remaining aggregate principal amount of approximately \$444 million. Also, during the six months ended June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel repurchased portions of our outstanding senior notes which included our 7.00% Senior Notes due 2018, 7.375% Senior Notes due 2020, and our 6.875% Senior

-34-

Notes due 2021 for a total aggregate principal value of \$512 million through a series of tender offers and open market repurchases.

CASH FLOW

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$313 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to \$151 million in the same period last year. The increase is primarily due to strong working capital management offsetting lower financial results.

Changes in working capital can vary significantly depending on factors such as the timing of inventory production and purchases, which is affected by the length of our business cycles as well as our captive raw materials position, customer payments of accounts receivable and payments to vendors in the regular course of business.

Our key working capital components include accounts receivable and inventory. The accounts receivable and inventory turnover ratios for the three months and twelve months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

| | Three Month June 3 | | Twelve Months Ended June 30, | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|--|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | |
| Accounts Receivable Turnover | 2.2 | 1.8 | 7.5 | 8.3 | |
| Inventory Turnover | 1.4 | 1.2 | 5.1 | 5.6 | |

The increase in the accounts receivable turnover approximates 9 days for the three months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to June 30, 2015 and is primarily due to decreased accounts receivable (which includes a decrease in accounts receivable from related parties) as a result of lower average realized prices in all of our reportable segments and a decrease in shipment volumes in our Tubular segment. The increase in the inventory turnover approximates 11 days for the three months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to June 30, 2015 and is primarily due to decreased inventory turnover approximates 11 days for the three months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to June 30, 2015 and is primarily due to decreased inventory levels in all of our reportable segments resulting from better inventory management partially offset by a decrease in cost of goods sold mainly attributable to a decrease in shipment volumes in our Tubular segment.

The decrease in the accounts receivable turnover approximates five days for the twelve months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to June 30, 2015 and is primarily due to lower average realized prices and lower shipment volumes which have contributed to a 30% decrease in sales revenue. The decrease in the inventory turnover approximates six days for the twelve months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to June 30, 2015 and is primarily due to a decrease in cost of goods sold mainly attributable to a decrease in shipment volumes.

The last-in, first-out (LIFO) inventory method is the predominant method of inventory costing in the United States. At June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, the LIFO method accounted for 79 percent and 80 percent of total inventory values, respectively. In the U.S., management monitors inventory realizability by comparing the LIFO cost of inventory with the replacement cost of inventory. To the extent the replacement cost (i.e., market value) of inventory is lower than the LIFO cost of inventory, management will write the inventory down. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 the replacement cost of the inventory was higher by approximately \$524 million and \$900 million, respectively. Additionally, based on the Company's latest internal forecasts and its inventory requirements, management does not believe there will be significant permanent LIFO liquidations that would impact earnings in future quarters in 2016.

Capital expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2016, were \$217 million, compared with \$212 million in the same period in 2015. Flat-Rolled capital expenditures were \$75 million and included spending for the Gary Works No. 1 Caster upgrade and certain other blast furnace upgrades, the Great Lakes Works Pickle Line Tank replacement, CGL Strip Cleaning and Roller Coater, and various other infrastructure, environmental and strategic projects. Tubular capital expenditures of \$70 million related to the new electric arc furnace (EAF) and coupling facilities as well as various other infrastructure and strategic capital projects. USSE capital expenditures of \$51 million consisted of spending for a Boiler House upgrade, pickle line upgrades and various other infrastructure and environmental projects.

U. S. Steel's contractual commitments to acquire property, plant and equipment at June 30, 2016, totaled \$145 million.

Capital expenditures for 2016 are expected to total approximately \$350 million and remain focused largely on strategic, infrastructure and environmental projects.

We are also currently developing projects within our Flat-Rolled, USSE and Tubular segments, such as facility enhancements, advanced high strength steels and additional premium connections that will further improve our ability to support our customers' evolving needs and increase our value added product capabilities.



With reduced pricing for iron-ore, management is considering its options with respect to the Company's iron-ore position in the United States. The Company is also exploring opportunities related to the availability of reasonably priced natural gas as an alternative to coke in the iron reduction process to improve our cost competitiveness, while reducing our dependence on coal and coke. After receiving the necessary authorizations from the Jefferson County Department of Health and the Alabama Department of Environmental Management for the Fairfield EAF project, construction began in the second quarter of 2015, but due to the challenging market conditions resulting from depressed oil prices and reduced oil rig counts, the construction of the Fairfield EAF has been delayed until market conditions improve.

Issuance of long-term debt, net of financing costs, totaled \$958 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016. U. S. Steel issued \$980 million of 8.375% Senior Secured Notes due July 1, 2021. U. S. Steel received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$958 million after fees of approximately \$22 million related to underwriting and third party expenses.

Repayment of long-term debt totaled \$962 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel repurchased approximately \$6 million of its 6.05% Senior Notes due 2017 through open market purchases and completed an optional redemption of the remaining aggregate principal amount of approximately \$444 million. Also, during the six months ended June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel repurchased portions of our outstanding senior notes which included our 7.00% Senior Notes due 2018, 7.375% Senior Notes due 2020, and our 6.875% Senior Notes due 2021 for a total aggregate principal value of \$512 million through a series of issuer tender offers and open market repurchases.

-36-

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The following table summarizes U. S. Steel's liquidity as of June 30, 2016:

(Dollars in millions)

| () | |
|--|-------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 820 |
| Amount available under \$1.5 Billion Credit Facility | 1,313 |
| Amount available under USSK credit facilities | 276 |
| Total estimated liquidity | \$ 2,409 |

As of June 30, 2016, \$199 million of the total cash and cash equivalents was held by our foreign subsidiaries. Substantially all of the liquidity attributable to our foreign subsidiaries can be accessed without the imposition of income taxes as a result of the election effective December 31, 2013 to liquidate for U.S. income tax purposes a foreign subsidiary that holds most of our international operations.

U. S. Steel maintains a \$1.5 billion asset-backed revolving credit facility. As of June 30, 2016, there were no amounts drawn on the \$1.5 billion credit facility agreement (Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement). However, since the value of our inventory and trade accounts receivable less specified reserves calculated in accordance with the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement do not support the full amount of the facility at June 30, 2016, the amount available to the Company under this facility was reduced by \$37 million. Additionally, U. S. Steel must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.00 to 1.00 for the most recent four consecutive quarters when availability under the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement is less than the greater of 10 percent of the total aggregate commitments and \$150 million. Since availability was greater than \$150 million, compliance with the fixed charge coverage ratio covenant was not applicable. Based on the most recent four quarters as of June 30, 2016, we would not meet this covenant. So long as we continue to not meet this covenant, the amount available to the Company under this facility is effectively reduced by \$150 million. As a result, availability under the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement is less than the greater of an extense of the socenant was \$1,313 million as of June 30, 2016.

As of June 30, 2016, USSK had no borrowings under its €200 million (approximately \$222 million) unsecured revolving credit facility (the USSK Credit Agreement). The USSK Credit Agreement contains certain USSK financial covenants as well as other customary terms and conditions. At June 30, 2016, USSK had full availability under the USSK Credit Agreement. The USSK Credit Agreement expires in July 2019.

USSK also has a \in 40 million unsecured revolving credit facility that expires in December 2018 and a \in 10 million unsecured credit facility that expires in December 2016. At June 30, 2016, USSK had no borrowings under its \in 40 million and \in 10 million unsecured credit facilities (collectively approximately \$55 million) and the availability was approximately \$54 million due to approximately \$1 million of outstanding customs and other guarantees.

On May 10, 2016, U. S. Steel issued \$980 million of 8.375% Senior Secured Notes due July 1, 2021 (2021 Senior Secured Notes). U. S. Steel received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$958 million after fees of approximately \$22 million related to the underwriting and third party expenses. The net proceeds from the issuance of the 2021 Senior Secured Notes were used to repurchase portions of our outstanding senior notes (see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Debt" for further details). Interest on the notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on January 1st and July 1st of each year commencing on January 1, 2017. The notes are secured by first-priority liens on substantially all of the tangible and intangible assets of the Company's Flat-Rolled segment, exclusive of the collateral required under the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

For the twelve months ended June 30, 2016, the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the 2021 Senior Secured Notes), which as of June 30, 2016 consist principally of our tubular subsidiaries and our foreign subsidiaries, including USSK, represented approximately 31% of our net sales, 35% of our operating loss and 14% of our Adjusted EBITDA on a consolidated basis. As of June 30, 2016, the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries represented 42% of our total assets and had \$1.2 billion of total liabilities on a consolidated basis, including trade payables but excluding intercompany liabilities, all of which would be structurally senior to the 2021 Senior Secured Notes.

We may from time to time seek to retire or purchase our outstanding long-term debt through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, exchange transactions, redemptions or otherwise. Such purchases or exchanges, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, and other factors and may be commenced or suspended at any time. The amounts involved may be material.

-37-

We use surety bonds, trusts and letters of credit to provide financial assurance for certain transactions and business activities. The use of some forms of financial assurance and cash collateral have a negative impact on liquidity. U. S. Steel has committed \$158 million of liquidity sources for financial assurance purposes as of June 30, 2016. Increases in certain of these commitments which use collateral are reflected in restricted cash on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

At June 30, 2016, in the event of a change in control of U. S. Steel, the following may occur: (a) debt obligations totaling \$2,593 million as of June 30, 2016 (including the Senior Notes) may be declared due and payable; (b) the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and USSK's €200 million revolving credit agreement may be terminated and any amounts outstanding declared due and payable; and (c) U. S. Steel may be required to either repurchase the leased Fairfield slab caster for \$29 million or provide a cash collateralized letter of credit to secure the remaining obligation.

The maximum guarantees of the indebtedness and other obligations of unconsolidated entities of U. S. Steel totaled \$4 million at June 30, 2016. If any default related to the guaranteed indebtedness occurs, U. S. Steel has access to its interest in the assets of the investees to reduce its potential losses under the guarantees.

Our major cash requirements in 2016 are expected to be for capital expenditures, debt service, and operating costs, including purchases of raw materials. We finished the second quarter of 2016 with \$820 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$2.4 billion of total liquidity. Available cash is left on deposit with financial institutions or invested in highly liquid securities with parties we believe to be creditworthy.

U. S. Steel management believes that U. S. Steel's liquidity will be adequate to satisfy our obligations for the foreseeable future, including obligations to complete currently authorized capital spending programs. Future requirements for U. S. Steel's business needs, including the funding of acquisitions and capital expenditures, scheduled debt maturities, contributions to employee benefit plans, and any amounts that may ultimately be paid in connection with contingencies, are expected to be financed by a combination of internally generated funds (including asset sales), proceeds from the sale of stock, borrowings, refinancings and other external financing sources.

Environmental Matters, Litigation and Contingencies

Some of U. S. Steel's facilities were in operation before 1900. Although management believes that U. S. Steel's environmental practices have either led the industry or at least been consistent with prevailing industry practices, hazardous materials may have been released at current or former operating sites or delivered to sites operated by third parties.

U. S. Steel has incurred and will continue to incur substantial capital, operating, and maintenance and remediation expenditures as a result of environmental laws and regulations, related to release of hazardous materials, which in recent years have been mainly for process changes to meet Clean Air Act (CAA) obligations and similar obligations in Europe.

Slovak Operations

USSK is subject to the environmental laws of Slovakia and the European Union (EU). An EU law commonly known as Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals, Regulation 1907/2006 (REACH) requires the registration of certain substances produced in or imported into the EU, and applying for authorization to continue use where replacement of certain substances is not possible or feasible. In some cases replacements for substances currently used in our operations will have to be implemented. We are also beginning the process of seeking authorization for continued use of these substances until viable alternatives can be proved and implemented. Suppliers in the EU have filed the Application for Authorization to be permitted to continue using hexavalent chromium substances, which also covers the use in our production processes, until suitable alternatives can be identified. The authorization will be for four years, after which time replacement substances must be employed. Efforts are ongoing to identify, test and prove the feasibility of replacement substances. Although USSK is currently compliant with REACH, efforts to remain compliant will require capital investment and will increase our operational costs. We cannot reliably estimate the potential cost of complying with these measures at this time. For further discussion of laws applicable in Slovakia and the EU and their impact on USSK, see Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters, EU Environmental Requirements."

A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was signed in March of 2013 between U. S. Steel and the government of Slovakia. The MOU outlines areas in which the government and U. S. Steel will work together to help create a more competitive environment and conditions for USSK. Incentives the government of Slovakia agreed to provide include

-38-

potential participation in a renewable energy program that provides the opportunity to reduce electricity costs, as well as the potential for government grants and other support concerning investments in environmental control technology. Although there are many conditions and uncertainties regarding the grants, including matters controlled by the EU, the value of these incentives as stated in the MOU could be as much as €75 million (approximately \$83 million). U. S. Steel also agreed to pay the government of Slovakia specified declining amounts should U. S. Steel sell USSK within five years of the date of the MOU. We continue to work closely with the government of Slovakia to monitor the progress of the respective commitments and to achieve the incentives described in the MOU.

Slovakia adopted a new waste code in March 2015 that became effective January 1, 2016. This legislation implements the EU Waste Framework Directive that strictly regulates waste disposal and encourages recycling, among other provisions, by increasing fees for waste disposed of in landfills, including privately owned landfills. This legislation will not have a material impact on USSK.

The EU's Industry Emission Directive will require implementation of EU determined best available techniques (BAT) to reduce environmental impacts as well as compliance with BAT associated emission levels. This directive includes operational requirements for air emissions, wastewater discharges, solid waste disposal and energy conservation, dictates certain operating practices and imposes stricter emission limits. Producers were required to be in compliance with the iron and steel BAT as of March 8, 2016, unless specific exceptions or extensions are granted by the Slovak environmental authority. The Company has updated operating permits for different facilities involved in producing iron and steel in accordance with the new BAT requirements. Through this process for some facilities, the Company has obtained some extensions from the 2016 compliance deadline in order to meet or exceed the BAT requirements. Compliance with stricter emission limits going beyond BAT requirements makes us eligible for EU funding support and prepares us for any further tightening of environmental protection standards. Our most recent broad estimate of likely capital expenditures for projects to comply with or go beyond the BAT requirements is approximately €140 million (approximately \$155 million).

The EU has various programs under which funds are allocated to member states to implement broad public policies which are then awarded by the member states to public and private entities on a competitive basis. The funding intensity under these programs currently ranges from 55 percent of defined eligible costs on a project under the standard state scheme to 90 percent on a recently approved ad hoc scheme to improve the air quality in the Košice region of Slovakia. Based on our list of projects that comprise the approximately €140 million (approximately \$155 million) of spending noted, we currently believe we will be eligible to receive up to €97 million (approximately \$108 million) of incentive grants. This could potentially reduce our net cash expenditures to approximately €43 million (approximately \$48 million). The actual amount of capital spending will be dependent upon, among other things, the actual amount of incentive grants received.

We also believe there will be increased operating costs associated with these projects, such as increased energy and maintenance costs. We are currently unable to reasonably estimate what the increase in operating costs will be as many projects are still in the development stage.

For further discussion of laws applicable in Slovakia and the EU and their impact on USSK, see Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters, EU Environmental Requirements."

New and Emerging Environmental Regulations

United States and European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Regulations

Future compliance with carbon dioxide (CO₂) emission requirements may include substantial costs for emission allowances, restriction of production and higher prices for coking coal, natural gas and electricity generated by carbon based systems. Because we cannot predict what requirements ultimately will be imposed in the U.S. and Europe, it is difficult to estimate the likely impact on U. S. Steel, but it could be substantial. To the extent these expenditures, as with all costs, are not ultimately reflected in the prices of U. S. Steel's products and services, operating results will be reduced. There were no material changes in U. S. Steel's exposure to Greenhouse Gas Emissions regulation from December 31, 2015.



United States - Air

The CAA imposes stringent limits on air emissions with a federally mandated operating permit program and civil and criminal enforcement sanctions. The CAA requires, among other things, the regulation of hazardous air pollutants through the development and promulgation of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) Standards. The EPA has developed various industry-specific MACT standards pursuant to this requirement. The CAA requires the EPA to promulgate regulations establishing emission standards for each category of Hazardous Air Pollutants. The EPA also must conduct risk assessments and technology reviews on each source category that is already subject to MACT standards and determine if additional standards are needed to reduce residual risks.

While our operations are subject to several different categories of NESHAP and MACT standards, the principal impact of these standards on U. S. Steel operations includes those that are specific to cokemaking, ironmaking, steelmaking and iron ore processing.

In September 2011, the EPA sent domestic integrated steel facilities, including U. S. Steel, an Information Collection Request for future rulemaking activities pursuant to the CAA. U. S. Steel responded to the request, and the EPA, as part of a voluntary remand that was granted by the D.C. Court of Appeals, is currently performing a review of the existing Iron and Steel MACT regulations. U. S. Steel and other integrated steel companies are in communication with the EPA on the review.

Additionally, the EPA is required, pursuant to the CAA, to conduct a risk and technology review of the Coke Pushing, Quenching, and Battery Stack MACT. To perform the risk technology review, EPA sent cokemaking facilities an Information Collection Request in April 2016. Since the EPA has yet to determine if any changes to these MACTs are required, the impact, if any, on U. S. Steel cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

The CAA also requires the EPA to develop and implement National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for criteria pollutants, which include, among others, particulate matter (PM) - consisting of PM10 and PM2.5, lead, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and ozone. Sulfur dioxide (SO ₂) is the NAAQS criteria pollutant that will likely have the most significant impact on the Company.

In June 2010, the EPA significantly lowered the primary NAAQS for SO ₂ from 140 parts per billion (ppb) on a 24-hour basis to an hourly standard of 75 ppb. Based upon the 2009-2011 ambient air monitoring data, the EPA designated the areas in which Great Lakes Works and Mon Valley Works facilities are located as nonattainment with the 2010 SO₂ NAAQS. The non-attainment designation will require the facilities to implement operational and/or capital requirements to demonstrate attainment with the 2010 standard. In addition, the EPA is evaluating the attainment status for all other areas in phases or "rounds" as required by a Consent Decree that the EPA entered into with the Sierra Club and the Natural Resources Defense Counsel in March 2015 pursuant to a lawsuit filed by the non-governmental organizations. U. S. Steel continues to work with the relevant regulatory agencies in completing the evaluation process as required by the Consent Decree. While U. S. Steel could face increased capital, operating and compliance costs, the operational and financial impact of the SO₂ NAAQS cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

In October 2015, U. S. EPA lowered the NAAQS for ozone from 75 ppb eight-hour average to 70 ppb eight-hour average. EPA intends to issue final area designations in October 2017 based upon 2014-2016 air quality data. In December 2015, several petitions for judicial review of the standard were filed in the U. S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. Because the designations and any resulting State Implementation Plans have not been made, the impacts to U. S. Steel are not estimable at this time.

Environmental Remediation

In the United States, U. S. Steel has been identified as a potentially responsible party (PRP) at 9 sites under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) as of June 30, 2016. Of these, there are 3 sites related to U. S. Steel where information requests have been received or there are other indications that U. S. Steel may be a PRP under CERCLA but where sufficient information is not presently available to confirm the existence of liability or to make a reasonable estimate with respect to any potential liabilities. There are also 20 additional sites related to U. S. Steel where U. S. Steel may be liable for remediation costs under other environmental statues, both federal and state, or where private parties are seeking to impose liability on U. S. Steel for remediation costs through discussions or litigation. At many of these sites, U. S. Steel is one of a number of parties involved and the total cost of remediation, as well as U. S. Steel's share thereof, is frequently dependent upon the outcome of ongoing investigations and remedial studies. U. S. Steel accrues for environmental remediation activities when the responsibility to remediate is probable and the amount of associated costs is reasonably determinable. As



environmental remediation matters proceed toward ultimate resolution or as remediation obligations arise, charges in excess of those previously accrued may be required.

For further discussion of relevant environmental matters, see "Part II. Other information - Item 1. Legal Proceedings - Environmental Proceedings."

During the first six months of 2016, U. S. Steel recorded a net decrease of \$9 million to our accrued balance for environmental matters for U.S. and international facilities. The total accrual for such liabilities at June 30, 2016 was \$188 million. These amounts exclude liabilities related to asset retirement obligations, disclosed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

U. S. Steel is the subject of, or a party to, a number of pending or threatened legal actions, contingencies and commitments involving a variety of matters, including laws and regulations relating to the environment. The ultimate resolution of these contingencies could, individually or in the aggregate, be material to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other Relevant Matters

Apolo Tubulars

Apolo Tubulars S.A., an unconsolidated Brazilian joint venture of which the Company owns 50%, was the subject of a search of its premises on May 24, 2016, pursuant to the Brazilian investigation of the CEO of Apolo Tubulars S.A., who has since been suspended, and others. The former CEO was among those subsequently indicted by the Brazilian federal prosecutor on June 27, 2016 for corruption, money laundering and organized crime in connection with alleged payments to government officials in exchange for contracts with Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. (commonly known as "Petrobras"), Brazil's state-run energy company. An interim CEO has been appointed. The prosecutor has not alleged any violations of law by, or initiated any investigation of, the Company or any of its employees. While there can be no assurance that the outcome of the prosecution of the joint venture's former CEO will not have an adverse effect on the joint venture or result in an impairment of the Company's investment in the joint venture, it would not have a material impact on the Company as a whole.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

U. S. Steel did not enter into any new material off-balance sheet arrangements during the second quarter of 2016.

OUTLOOK

The significant improvements we have made to our earnings power through our Carnegie Way transformation will become more apparent as market prices recover from the very low levels at the end of 2015. While we began to realize some benefit from recent price increases in the second quarter, we will see better average realized prices, primarily in our Flat-Rolled and European segments, in the second half of the year. The steel industry continues to face challenging conditions as a result of global overcapacity and unfair trade practices. We remain focused on improving our trade laws and their enforcement, and we are encouraged that final affirmative determinations in recent trade cases have been a catalyst for increasing steel prices. Our Carnegie Way journey continues to create improvements in our business model that will enable us to be profitable across the business cycle.

If market conditions, which include spot prices, customer demand, import volumes, supply chain inventories, rig counts and energy prices, remain at their current levels, we would expect:

- 2016 net earnings to be approximately \$50 million, or \$0.34 per share, and adjusted EBITDA to be approximately \$850 million.
- Results for our Flat-Rolled and European segments should each be higher than their 2015 results and results for our Tubular segment should be lower than their 2015 results.
- To be cash positive for the year, including approximately \$400 million of cash benefits from working capital improvements in 2016, primarily related to better inventory management, driven by improved sales and operations planning practices, helping to offset growing accounts receivables balances.

We believe market conditions will change, and as changes occur during the balance of 2016, our net earnings and adjusted EBITDA should change consistent with the pace and magnitude of changes in market conditions.

We expect improved results for Other Businesses, primarily from real estate, and approximately \$60 million of post retirement benefit income.

Please refer to the Non-GAAP Financial Measures reconciliation below for a reconciliation of the Outlook net earnings to adjusted earnings (loss) before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA).

RECONCILIATION OF ANNUAL ADJUSTED EBITDA OUTLOOK Year Ended Dec. 31 2016 (Dollars in millions) Reconciliation to Projected Annual Adjusted EBITDA Included in Outlook Projected net earnings attributable to United States Steel Corporation included in Outlook \$ 50 Estimated income tax expense 30 Estimated net interest and other financial costs 265 Estimated depreciation, depletion and amortization 505 Projected annual adjusted EBITDA included in Outlook \$ 850

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION

Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure that excludes the effects of items such as restructuring charges, impairment charges and losses associated with U. S. Steel Canada. We present adjusted EBITDA to enhance the understanding of our ongoing operating performance and established trends affecting our core operations, particularly cash generating activity, by excluding the effects of items such as restructuring charges, impairment charges and losses associated with non-core operations that can obscure underlying trends. U. S. Steel's management considers adjusted EBITDA useful to investors by facilitating a comparison of our operating performance to the operating performance of our competitors, many of which use adjusted EBITDA as an alternative measure of operating performance. Additionally, the presentation of adjusted EBITDA provides insight into management's view and assessment of the Company's ongoing operating performance or in preparing the adjusting items when evaluating the Company's financial performance or in preparing the Company's annual financial outlook. Adjusted EBITDA should

-42-

not be considered a substitute for net earnings (loss) or other financial measures as computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP and is not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE

U. S. Steel continues to lead the industry in efforts to address illegal dumping and subsidized imports that injure the economic health of our country, our Company and our workers.

On June 3, 2015, U. S. Steel filed antidumping (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) cases against China, India, Italy, South Korea, and Taiwan for the import of unfairly traded corrosion-resistant steel. The U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC) imposed final countervailing duties against core imports from China, India, Italy, and South Korea. China, India, Italy, South Korea, and Taiwan also received dumping margins ranging from approximately 3 to 210 percent, which became final when the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC) determined that the U.S. industry is materially injured by reason of these imports. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will enforce these decisions and collect AD and CVD duties thereon.

On July 28, 2015, U. S. Steel filed AD and CVD petitions charging that unfairly-traded imports of cold-rolled steel products from Brazil, China, India, Japan, South Korea, the Netherlands, Russia, and the United Kingdom are causing material injury to the domestic industry and that the foreign producers in Brazil, China, India, South Korea, and Russia benefit from numerous countervailable subsidies. On May 18, 2016, DOC announced its final determinations in the AD and CVD investigations against China and Japan. The USITC unanimously determined that the U.S. steel industry is materially injured by reason of imports of cold-rolled steel products from China and Japan. In late July, Commerce issued its final AD and CVD determinations for Brazil, India, South Korea, Russia, and the United Kingdom. Final countervailing duty margins range from approximately 1 percent to approximately 256 percent, whereas the final dumping margins range from approximately 1 percent to approximately 1 percent to approximately 1. Russia, and the United Kingdom.

On August 11, 2015, U. S. Steel filed AD and CVD petitions for the imposition of duties on hot-rolled coil from Australia, Brazil, Japan, South Korea, the Netherlands, Turkey, and the United Kingdom. On January 11, 2016, the DOC announced its affirmative preliminary determinations in the CVD investigation of imports of hot-rolled steel from Brazil, imposing duties of approximately 7 percent. In the AD investigations, DOC imposed preliminary AD duties ranging from approximately 4 to 49 percent against Australia, Brazil, Japan, Korea, Netherlands, Turkey, and the United Kingdom. The final USITC hearing is scheduled for August 4th. The final CVD and AD determinations are expected to be released in August 2016, unless the statutory deadline is extended.

As an industry leader in the fight for fair trade, U. S. Steel also launched an action under Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 against ten of the eleven largest Chinese producers and their distributors. The complaint alleges three causes of action: 1) illegal conspiracy to fix prices and control output and export volumes; 2) the theft of trade secrets through industrial espionage, and 3) circumvention of duties by false labeling and transshipment. The relief sought is the exclusion of all carbon and alloy steel from China. On May 26, 2016 the USITC instituted an investigation on all three causes. In July 2016, Administrative Law Judge Sandra Lord is expected to set a target date for completing the investigation. The case is now in the discovery phase.

At present, U. S. Steel is also involved in several appeals filed with the Court of International Trade from the Oil Country Tubular Goods (OCTG) cases. In addition to defending on-going appeals, U. S. Steel, and other domestic producers, filed joint requests for administrative reviews in the several OCTG investigations. U. S. Steel continues to be actively engaged in relevant, pending sunset reviews before the USITC and the DOC.

In the EU, USSK is also participating in and cooperating with the EC dumping action concerning hot-rolled steel flat products from China, which was filed on December 23, 2015. On July 7, 2016, at the request of European steel producers, the EC opened a dumping investigation into the imports of hot-rolled coil from Russia, Ukraine, Serbia, Iran and Brazil.

USSK is also actively participating in the EC's investigation concerning cold-rolled steel flat products from China and Russia. The EC instituted provisional measures against Chinese and Russian suppliers of cold-rolled steel at approximately16 and 26 percent, respectively. By August 2016, the EC will determine whether definitive measures will be imposed against China and Russia.

-43-

U. S. Steel continually assesses the impact of imports from foreign countries on our business, and continues to execute a broad, global strategy to enhance the means and manner in which it competes in the U.S. market and internationally. In an effort to mitigate the negative impact of unfairly traded foreign imports on our business, U. S. Steel has commenced substantive work with regional trade partners and organizations; outlined a robust engagement with the Administration to tackle global overcapacity. Across diverse platforms, U. S. Steel is leveraging its unique experience, knowledge, and reputation to forge alliances and partnerships to advance innovative structural changes to commercial and legal regimes to better position and support the U.S. steel industry in the 21st century and beyond.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There were no material changes in U. S. Steel's exposure to market risk from December 31, 2015.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

U. S. Steel has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2016. These disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that were designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports that are filed with or submitted to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission are: (1) accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures and (2) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in applicable law and regulations. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have not been any changes in U. S. Steel's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter covered by this quarterly report, which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, U. S. Steel's internal control over financial reporting.

| -4 | n | |
|----|---|--|
| | | |

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION SUPPLEMENTAL STATISTICS (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|---------------------------|----|-------|--|
| (Dollars in millions) | | 2016 | 2016 | | 2016 | | | 2015 | |
| SEGMENT EARNINGS (LOSS) BEFORE INTEREST AND INCOME TAXES: | | | | | | | | | |
| Flat-Rolled | \$ | 6 | \$ | (64) | \$ | (182) | \$ | (131) | |
| U. S. Steel Europe | | 55 | | 20 | | 41 | | 57 | |
| Tubular | | (78) | | (66) | | (142) | | (65) | |
| Total reportable segments | | (17) | | (110) | | (283) | | (139) | |
| Other Businesses | | 10 | | 6 | | 24 | | 14 | |
| Items not allocated to segments: | | | | | | | | | |
| Postretirement benefit expense | | 12 | | (14) | | 28 | | (27) | |
| Other items not allocated to segments: | | | | | | | | | |
| Loss on write-down of retained interest in USSC | | — | | (255) | | — | | (255) | |
| Restructuring and other charges and adjustments | | 23 | | (19) | | (2) | | (19) | |
| Loss on shutdown of coke production facilities | | — | | — | | _ | | (153) | |
| Total earnings (loss) before interest and income taxes | \$ | 28 | \$ | (392) | \$ | (233) | \$ | (579) | |
| CAPITAL EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | | | |
| Flat-Rolled ^(a) | \$ | 28 | \$ | 56 | \$ | 74 | \$ | 124 | |
| U. S. Steel Europe | | 22 | | 24 | | 51 | | 45 | |
| Tubular | | 18 | | 24 | | 70 | | 40 | |
| Other Businesses | | 1 | | — | | 22 | | 3 | |
| Total ^(b) | \$ | 69 | \$ | 104 | \$ | 217 | \$ | 212 | |
| OPERATING STATISTICS | | | | | | | | | |
| Average realized price: (\$/net ton) (c) | | | | | | | | | |
| Flat-Rolled | \$ | 642 | \$ | 695 | \$ | 625 | \$ | 731 | |
| U. S. Steel Europe | | 485 | | 533 | | 472 | | 532 | |
| Tubular | | 1,050 | | 1,651 | | 1,123 | | 1,641 | |
| Steel Shipments:(c)(d) | | | | | | | | | |
| Flat-Rolled | | 2,692 | | 2,712 | | 5,188 | | 5,329 | |
| U. S. Steel Europe | | 1,125 | | 1,091 | | 2,129 | | 2,355 | |
| Tubular | | 70 | | 92 | | 159 | | 312 | |
| Raw Steel Production: ^(d) | | | | | | | | | |
| Flat-Rolled | | 2,735 | | 2,808 | | 5,514 | | 5,676 | |
| U. S. Steel Europe | | 1,258 | | 1,200 | | 2,410 | | 2,483 | |
| Raw Steel Capability Utilization: (e) | | | | | | | | | |
| Flat-Rolled | | 65% | | 58% | | 65% | | 59% | |
| U. S. Steel Europe | | 101% | | 96% | | 97% | | 100% | |

 Image: Note: Europe
 Image: Note: Europe<

(a) Based on annual raw steel production capability of 17.0 million net tons for Flat-Rolled and 5.0 million net tons for U.S. Steel Europe. Prior to the permanent shutdown of the blast furnace and associated steel making operations, along with most of the flat-rolled finishing operations at Fairfield Works late in the third quarter of 2015, annual raw steel production capability for Flat-Rolled was 19.4 million tons.

-47-

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

GENERAL LITIGATION

On September 16, 2014, U. S. Steel Canada Inc. commenced court-supervised restructuring proceedings under Canada's Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act (CCAA) before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice. As part of the CCAA proceedings, U. S. Steel submitted both secured and unsecured claims of approximately C\$2.2 billion, which were verified by the court-appointed Monitor. U. S. Steel's claims were challenged by a number of interested parties and on February 29, 2016, the Court denied those challenges and verified U. S. Steel's secured claims in the amount of approximately \$119 million and unsecured claims of approximately C\$1.8 billion and \$120 million. The interested parties have appealed the determinations of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice.

On April 26, 2016 the Company filed a complaint with the U.S. International Trade Commission to initiate an investigation under Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, against ten of the eleven largest Chinese steel producers and their distributors seeking the exclusion of all unfairly traded Chinese steel products from the U.S. market. The complaint alleges three causes of action: 1) illegal conspiracy to fix prices and control output and export volumes; 2) the theft of trade secrets through industrial espionage, and 3) circumvention of duties by false labeling and transshipment. This case is currently in the discovery/investigation phase.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROCEEDINGS

The following is a summary of the proceedings of U. S. Steel that were pending or contemplated as of June 30, 2016, under federal and state environmental laws. Information about specific sites where U. S. Steel is or has been engaged in significant clean up or remediation activities is also summarized below. Except as described herein, it is not possible to accurately predict the ultimate outcome of these matters.

CERCLA Remediation Sites

Claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) have been raised with respect to the cleanup of various waste disposal and other sites. Under CERCLA, potentially responsible parties (PRPs) for a site include current owners and operators, past owners and operators at the time of disposal, persons who arranged for disposal of a hazardous substance at a site, and persons who transported a hazardous substance to a site. CERCLA imposes strict and joint and several liabilities. Because of various factors, including the ambiguity of the regulations, the difficulty of identifying the responsible parties for any particular site, the complexity of determining the relative liability among them, the uncertainty as to the most desirable remediation techniques, and the amount of damages and cleanup costs and the time period during which such costs may be incurred, we are unable to reasonably estimate U. S. Steel's ultimate liabilities under CERCLA.

At June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel has received information requests or been identified as a PRP at a total of nine CERCLA sites, three of which liability has not been resolved. Based on currently available information, which is in many cases preliminary and incomplete, management believes that U. S. Steel's liability for CERCLA cleanup and remediation costs at the other six sites will be between \$100,000 and \$1 million for five of the sites, and over \$5 million for one site as described below.

Duluth Works

The former U. S. Steel Duluth Works site was placed on the National Priorities List under CERCLA in 1983 and on the State of Minnesota's Superfund list in 1984. Liability for environmental remediation at the site is governed by a Response Order by Consent executed with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) in 1985 and a Record of Decision signed by MPCA in 1989. U. S. Steel has submitted a feasibility study that includes remedial measures to address contaminated sediments in the St. Louis River Estuary and several other Operable Units that could impact the Estuary if not addressed.

There has been no material change in the status of the project during the six months ended June 30, 2016. Additional study, investigation and oversight costs, and implementation of U. S. Steel's preferred remedial alternatives on the upland property and Estuary are currently estimated as of June 30, 2016 at approximately \$48 million.



RCRA and Other Remediation Sites

U. S. Steel may be liable for remediation costs under other environmental statutes, both federal and state, or where private parties are seeking to impose liability on U. S. Steel for remediation costs through discussions or litigation. There are 20 such sites where remediation is being sought involving amounts in excess of \$100,000. Based on currently available information, which is in many cases preliminary and incomplete, management believes that liability for cleanup and remediation costs in connection with 11 sites have potential costs between \$100,000 and \$1 million per site, 5 sites may involve remediation costs between \$1 million and \$5 million per site and 4 sites are estimated to or could have, costs for remediation, investigation, restoration or compensation in excess of \$5 million per site.

For more information on the status of remediation activities at U. S. Steel's significant sites, see the discussions related to each site below.

Gary Works

U. S. Steel has closed three hazardous waste disposal (HWD) sites located on plant property at Gary Works: HWD-5, HWD-2 and Hazardous Waste Treatment (HWT) Unit No. 2. Aside from HWT-2, which is complete, the other units are in post-closure monitoring. As of June 30, 2016, the accrued liability for retention of contractual guarantees at these sites was reduced to approximately \$400,000.

On October 23, 1998, the EPA issued a final Administrative Order on Consent (Order) addressing Corrective Action for Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU) throughout Gary Works. This Order requires U. S. Steel to perform a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Facility Investigation (RFI), a Corrective Measures Study (CMS) and Corrective Measure Implementation. While work continues on several items, there has been no material change in the status of the project during the six months ended June 30, 2016. Until the remaining Phase I work and Phase II field investigations are completed, it is not possible to assess what additional expenditures will be necessary for Corrective Action projects at Gary Works. In total, the accrued liability for Corrective Action projects is approximately \$30.6 million as of June 30, 2016, based on our current estimate of known remaining costs.

Geneva Works

At U. S. Steel's former Geneva Works, liability for environmental remediation, including the closure of three hazardous waste impoundments and facility-wide corrective action, has been allocated between U. S. Steel and the current property owner pursuant to an agreement and a permit issued by the Utah Department of Environmental Quality (UDEQ). Having completed the investigation on a majority of the remaining areas identified in the permit, U. S. Steel has determined the most effective means to address the remaining impacted material is to manage those materials in a previously approved on-site Corrective Action Management Unit (CAMU). While preliminary approval of the conceptual CAMU design has been granted by the UDEQ, there has been no material change in the status of the project during the six months ended June 30, 2016. U. S. Steel has an accrued liability of approximately \$63 million as of June 30, 2016, for our estimated share of the remaining costs of remediation.

USS-POSCO Industries (UPI)

A joint venture in Pittsburg, California between subsidiaries of U. S. Steel and POSCO, UPI's facilities were previously owned and operated solely by U. S. Steel which retains primary responsibility for the existing environmental conditions. The California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) recently approved U. S. Steel's preferred remedial plan to address groundwater impacts from trichloroethylene at the facility. Remedy implementation began during the first quarter of 2016 and continued during the six months ended June 30, 2016. Evaluations continue for the remaining three SWMUs and it is likely that corrective measures will be required, but it is not possible at this time to define a scope or estimate costs for what may be required by the DTSC. As such, there has been no material change in the status of the project during the six months ended June 30, of 2016. As of June 30, 2016, approximately \$5 million has been accrued for ongoing environmental studies, investigations and remedy implementation. Significant additional costs associated with this site are possible and are referenced in Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters - Remediation Projects - Projects with Ongoing Study and Scope Development."



Fairfield Works

A consent decree was signed by U. S. Steel, the EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice and filed with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama (United States of America v. USX Corporation) on December 11, 1997. In accordance with the consent decree, U. S. Steel initiated a RCRA corrective action program at the Fairfield Works facility. The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), with the approval of the EPA, assumed primary responsibility for regulation and oversight of the RCRA corrective action program at Fairfield Works. While work continues on different aspects of the program, there has been no material change in the status of the project during the six months ended June 30, 2016. In total, the accrued liability for remaining work under the Corrective Action Program, including the former Ensley facility, was approximately \$177,000 at June 30, 2016. Significant additional costs associated with this site are possible and are referenced in Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters - Remediation Projects with Ongoing Study and Scope Development."

Fairless Plant

In April, 1993, U. S. Steel entered into a consent order with the EPA pursuant to RCRA, under which U. S. Steel would perform Interim Measures (IM), an RFI and CMS at our Fairless Plant. A Phase I RFI Final Report was submitted in September of 1997. With EPA's agreement, in lieu of conducting subsequent phases of the RFI and the CMS, U. S. Steel has been working through the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Act 2 Program to characterize and remediate facility parcels for redevelopment. While work continues on these items, there has been no material change in the status of the project during the six months ended June 30, 2016. As of June 30, 2016, the accrued liability to maintain the interim measures, and clear properties through the Act 2 process is approximately \$275,000. Significant additional costs associated with this site are possible and are referenced in Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters - Remediation Projects - Projects with Ongoing Study and Scope Development."

Lorain Tubular Operations

In September 2006, U. S. Steel received a letter from the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) inviting U. S. Steel to enter into discussions about RCRA Corrective Action at Lorain Tubular Operations. A Phase I RFI on the identified SWMUs and Area of Contamination was submitted in March 2012. While work continues on the implementation of the Phase II RFI work plan that addresses additional soil investigations, site wide groundwater and the pipe mill lagoon, there has been no material change in the status of the project during the six months ended June 30, 2016. As of June 30, 2016, costs to complete additional projects are estimated to be approximately \$67,000. Significant additional costs associated with this site are possible and are referenced in Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters - Remediation Projects - Projects with Ongoing Study and Scope Development."

Joliet Works

The 50-acre parcel at the former Joliet Works is enrolled in the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's (IEPA) voluntary Site Remediation Program. The Program requires investigation and establishment of cleanup objectives followed by submission/approval of a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) to meet those objectives. The 50-acre parcel was divided into four (4) subareas with remedial activities completed in 2015 for three (3) subareas. While work continues to define the requirements for further investigation of the remaining parcel, there has been no material change in the status of the project during the six months ended June 30, 2016. U. S. Steel has an accrued liability of \$313,000 as of June 30, 2016. Significant additional costs associated with this site are possible and are referenced in Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements "Contingencies and Commitments - Environmental Matters - Remediation Projects - Projects with Ongoing Study and Scope Development."

Cherryvale (KS) Zinc

In April 2003, U. S. Steel and Salomon Smith Barney Holdings, Inc. (SSB) entered into a Consent Order with the Kansas Department of Health & Environment (KDHE) concerning a former zinc smelting operation in Cherryvale, Kansas. Remediation was essentially completed in 2007 and U. S. Steel and SSB continue to work with KDHE to address the remaining issues. The Consent Order was amended on May 3, 2013, to investigate potential contamination beyond the boundary of the former zinc smelting operation. On September 15, 2015, the Consent Order was further amended for an early soil removal action at certain properties in Cherryvale. While work continues on investigations

-50-

beyond the former operations area, there has been no material change in the status of the project during the six months ended June 30, 2016. As of June 30, 2016, an accrual of approximately \$302,000 remains available for addressing these outstanding issues.

Air Related Matters

Great Lakes Works

On March 27, 2014, the No. 2 BOP Shop experienced an incident when air pollution control ductwork unexpectedly collapsed. The incident resulted in structural damage and atypical emissions. On April 14, 2014, the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) issued a Violation Notice that also included a request for additional information. U. S. Steel responded to the notice on May 5, 2014. In addition, on April 14, 2014, the EPA issued a separate Notice of Violation regarding the same incident alleging that U. S. Steel failed to properly operate the BOP furnace and failed to continuously meet roof monitor opacity standards. U. S. Steel continues to discuss resolution of the matter with both MDEQ and the EPA.

Great Lakes Works received Violation Notices from MDEQ relating to isolated BOP opacity exceedances which allegedly occurred from 2014 through 2016. In addition, MDEQ alleges that U. S. Steel failed to operate in a manner consistent with its operating and maintenance plans. U. S. Steel responded to the notices and continues to discuss resolution of the matter with MDEQ.

On May 27, 2015, Great Lakes Works received a Violation Notice in which MDEQ alleged that U. S. Steel did not obtain a required permit to install a BOP vessel replacement that occurred in November 2014. U. S. Steel responded to MDEQ on June 17, 2015.

On October 29, 2015, Great Lakes Works received a Violation Notice in which MDEQ alleges that U. S. Steel failed a stack test for emissions from the pickle line in August 2015. U. S. Steel has responded to the notice and is currently discussing resolution with MDEQ.

Although discussions with MDEQ regarding the foregoing alleged violations are ongoing and the resolution of these matters is uncertain at this time, it is not anticipated that the result of those discussions will be material to U.S. Steel.

Granite City Works

In October 2015, Granite City Works received a Violation Notice from IEPA in which the Agency alleges that U. S. Steel violated the emission limits for nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds from the Basic Oxygen Furnace Electrostatic Precipitator Stack. In addition, the Agency alleges that U. S. Steel exceeded its natural gas usage limit at its CoGeneration Boiler. U. S. Steel responded to the notice and is currently discussing resolution of the matter with IEPA.

Although discussions with IEPA regarding the foregoing alleged violations are ongoing and the resolution of these matters is uncertain at this time, it is not anticipated that the result of those discussions will be material to U. S. Steel.

Minnesota Ore Operations

On February 6, 2013, the EPA published a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) that applies to taconite facilities in Minnesota. The FIP establishes and requires emission limits and the use of low nitrogen oxide (NOx) reduction technology on indurating furnaces as Best Available Retrofit Technology. While U. S. Steel installed low NOx burners on three furnaces at Minntac and is currently obligated to install low NOx burners on the two other furnaces at Minntac pursuant to existing agreements and permits, the rule would require the installation of a low NOx burner on the one furnace at Keetac for which U. S. Steel did not have an otherwise existing obligation. U. S. Steel estimates expenditures associated with the installation of low NOx burners of as much as \$25 to \$30 million. On June 14, 2013, the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals stayed the effectiveness of the FIP. The EPA also published a final rule denying the approval of the Minnesota State Implementation Plan (SIP), which did not require the installation of low NOx burners and determined the applicable Best Available Retrofit Technology on a case-by-case basis. U. S. Steel and other taconite facilities have petitioned the Eighth Circuit for judicial review of the final rule denying the SIP. U. S. Steel continues to negotiate with the EPA to resolve the issues identified in the petitions. It is likely that any adverse resolution would be material to U. S. Steel; however, we are unable to estimate the amount, if any, at this time.

In June 2011, U. S. Steel and MPCA reached agreement on a Schedule of Compliance (SOC) to address alleged water quality issues at the Minntac facility. The 2011 agreement required U. S. Steel to determine sulfate levels at the property boundary and to resolve the water quality allegations. In addition, the agreement anticipated that U. S. Steel would pilot trial a dry control system on Line 6 at Minntac. Since then, U. S. Steel has employed actions to resolve some of the allegations raised in the SOC. In addition, since then, U. S. Steel has conducted additional investigations and evaluated technologies that would be used to address other water quality allegations in the SOC and reduce sulfate levels in groundwater outside the boundaries of Minnesota Ore. The actions already employed as well as the new data indicate that the proposed dry control system in the 2011 agreement would not be an effective means to reach the goals outlined in the SOC. U. S. Steel is currently negotiating an alternate resolution with MPCA.

EPA Region V Federal Lawsuit

On August 1, 2012, the EPA, joined by the States of Illinois, Indiana and Michigan, initiated an action in the Northern District of Indiana alleging various air regulatory violations at Gary Works, Granite City Works, and Great Lakes Works. For more information on this action, see Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements "Contingencies and Commitments - EPA Region V Federal Lawsuit."

Other Regulatory

In March 2015, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) issued multiple "Serious" citations and one "Willful" citation and proposed penalties totaling \$107,000 resulting from a September 2014 fatality incident at U. S. Steel's Fairfield Works plant in Alabama. OSHA has proposed that U. S. Steel be placed in the Severe Violator Enforcement Program (SVEP). U. S. Steel negotiated a settlement agreement with OSHA in which the willful citation will be reclassified. As a result of this reclassification and other negotiated changes, U. S. Steel does not meet the criteria to be in the SVEP. The fully-executed settlement agreement was approved by the presiding Judge and became a Final Order of the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission on April 22, 2016.

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

As of June 30, 2016, U. S. Steel was a defendant in approximately 805 active cases involving approximately 3,300 plaintiffs. The vast majority of these cases involve multiple defendants. At December 31, 2015, U. S. Steel was a defendant in approximately 820 active cases involving approximately 3,315 plaintiffs. About 2,500, or approximately 76 percent, of these plaintiff claims are currently pending in jurisdictions which permit filings with massive numbers of plaintiffs. Based upon U. S. Steel's experience in such cases, it believes that the actual number of plaintiffs who ultimately assert claims against U. S. Steel will likely be a small fraction of the total number of plaintiffs. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, settlements and other dispositions resolved approximately 145 cases, and new case filings added approximately 130 cases. During 2015, settlements and other dispositions resolved approximately 415 cases, and new case filings added approximately 275 cases.

The following table shows activity with respect to asbestos litigation:

| Period ended | Opening Number of Claims | Claims Dismissed, Settled and Resolved | New Claims | Closing Number of Claims |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|--------------------------------|
| December 31, 2013 | 3,330 | 250 | 240 | 3,320 |
| December 31, 2014 | 3,320 | 190 | 325 | 3,455 |
| December 31, 2015 | 3,455 | 415 | 275 | 3,315 |
| June 30, 2016 | 3,315 | 145 | 130 | 3,300 |

Historically, asbestos-related claims against U. S. Steel fall into three major groups: (1) claims made by persons who allegedly were exposed to asbestos on the premises of U. S. Steel facilities; (2) claims made by persons allegedly exposed to products manufactured by U. S. Steel; and (3) claims made under certain federal and maritime laws by employees of former operations of U. S. Steel.

The amount U. S. Steel accrues for pending asbestos claims is not material to U. S. Steel's financial condition. However, U. S. Steel is unable to estimate the ultimate outcome of asbestos-related claims due to a number of uncertainties, including (1) the rates at which new claims are filed, (2) the number of and effect of bankruptcies of other



companies traditionally defending asbestos claims, (3) uncertainties associated with the variations in the litigation process from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, (4) uncertainties regarding the facts, circumstances and disease process with each claim, and (5) any new legislation enacted to address asbestos-related claims. Despite these uncertainties, management believes that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on U. S. Steel's financial condition, although the resolution of such matters could significantly impact results of operations for a particular quarter.

```
-53-
```

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

The information concerning mine safety violations and other regulatory matters required by Section 150 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K is included in Exhibit 95 to this Form 10-Q.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

| ltem 6. | EXHIBITS |
|---------|---|
| 3.1 | Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation of United States Steel Corporation, dated April 26, 2016. |
| 10.1 | United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement - Retention Grant Form |
| 10.2 | United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement - Annual Grant Form |
| 10.3 | United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan - Stock Option Grant Form |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rules 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rules 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 95 | Mine Safety Disclosure required under Section 1503 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. |
| 101 IN | NS XBRL Instance Document |
| 101 S | CH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101 C | AL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101 D | EF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101 L | AB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101 P | RE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

-54-

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned chief accounting officer thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION

By /s/ Colleen M. Darragh

Colleen M. Darragh Vice President & Controller

July 27, 2016

WEB SITE POSTING

This Form 10-Q will be posted on the U. S. Steel web site, www.ussteel.com, within a few days of its filing.

-55-

CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT

ТО

RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION

OF

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION

Pursuant to Section 242 of the Delaware General Corporation Law

United States Steel Corporation, a corporation organized and existing under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware does hereby certify:

| FIRST: | The name of the corporation is United States Steel Corporation (the "Corporation"). |
|---------|---|
| SECOND: | That the amendment set forth in this Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Corporation, was duly adopted by the Board of Directors of the Corporation and by the stockholders of the Corporation in accordance with the provisions of Section 242 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware. |
| THIRD: | Article SEVENTH of the Corporation's Restated Certificate of Incorporation shall be amended and restated in its entirety to read as follows: |
| | SEVENTH: The number of directors of the Corporation shall be fixed from time to time by, or in the manner provided in, its by-laws and may be increased or decreased as therein provided; but the number thereof shall not be less than three. |
| | The directors of the Corporation shall be classified as follows: The term of office for the class of directors elected at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders shall expire at the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders; the term of office for the class of directors elected at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders shall expire at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders; and the term of office for the class of directors elected at the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders at the 2017 annual meeting of |

stockholders, with the members of each such class to hold office until their successors are elected and qualified. The directors elected at the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and at each subsequent annual meeting of stockholders shall hold office for a term expiring at the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified.

In the case of any vacancy created by an increase in the number of directors of the Corporation, the additional director or directors shall be elected by the Board of Directors, and such additional director or directors so elected shall hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified.

In the case of any vacancy in the Board of Directors from any cause other than an increase in the number of directors, a successor to hold office for the unexpired portion of the term of the director whose place became vacant shall be elected by a majority of the Board of Directors then in office, though less than a quorum.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Corporation has caused this Certificate of Amendment to be executed on its behalf this 26th day of April, 2016.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION

By: <u>/s/ Arden T. Phillips</u> Name: Arden T. Phillips Title: Secretary

United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement

United States Steel Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, herein called the Corporation, grants to the undersigned employee of the employing company identified below (the "Grantee") the number of Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") set forth below, each of which is a bookkeeping entry representing the equivalent in value of one share of the class of common stock of the Corporation set forth below:

| Name of Grantee: | PARTICIPANT NAME |
|--|--|
| Name of Employing Company on Date Hereof: | (the company recognized by the Corporation as employing the Grantee) |
| Number of RSUs Granted: | # RSUs |
| Date of Grant: | GRANT DATE |

By accepting this Grant in any manner prescribed by the Corporation, the Grantee agrees that (1) these RSUs are granted under and governed by the terms and conditions of the United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan as the same may be amended from time to time (the "Plan") and the provisions of this Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement, including the Terms and Conditions contained herein and any special provisions for the Grantee's country of residence set out on Exhibit A (the "Agreement"), (2) he or she has reviewed the Plan and the Agreement in their entirety, and (3) he or she has had opportunity to obtain the advice of counsel prior to accepting this Grant and fully understand all provisions of the Plan and the Agreement.

United States Steel Corporation

By:_____ Authorized Officer

Terms and Conditions

1. <u>Grant</u>: The Corporation has granted to the Grantee the number of RSUs set forth in this Agreement. Each RSU represents the right to receive one share of the Corporation's common stock (a "Share") on the date specified in Section 6 below in settlement of each RSU that has vested as provided in Sections 3, 4 of 5, below. Unless and until the RSUs are vested in the manner set forth in Section 3, 4 or 5 below, the Grantee will have no right to settlement of any such RSUs or any right to receive any Shares. Prior to settlement of any vested RSUs, such RSUs will represent an unsecured obligation of the Corporation, payable (if at all) only from the general assets of the Corporation.

2. <u>Restriction Period</u>: The restriction period with regard to the RSUs shall commence on the date the RSUs are granted and end on the date the RSUs are settled as provided in Section 6, below. During the restriction period, the Grantee shall not sell, transfer, assign, pledge or otherwise encumber or dispose of any portion of the RSUs, and any attempt to sell, transfer, assign, pledge or encumber any portion of the RSUs prior to end of the restriction period shall have no effect. During the restriction period, the Grantee shall not be entitled to vote any Shares that may be received upon settlement of any vested RSUs and shall not receive dividends paid on those Shares. The Grantee shall be entitled to receive dividend equivalents in cash; provided, however, the dividend equivalents shall not vest or be paid to the Grantee unless and to the extent the underlying RSUs vest as provided in Section 3, 4 or 5 of this Agreement.

3. <u>Change in Control</u>: If the Grantee's employment is terminated within two years following a Change in Control involuntarily (except for Cause) or, in the case of a Grantee designated by the Corporation as executive management at the time of the Change in Control, voluntarily for Good Reason, each unvested RSU will immediately vest.

4. <u>Termination of Employment</u>: Unvested RSUs will immediately vest upon the Grantee's death during employment, termination of employment by reason of Disability, or Termination with Consent. Unvested RSUs will be forfeited automatically upon any other termination of employment.

5. <u>Vesting</u>: Subject to Sections 3 and 4, in order to vest in the RSUs, the Grantee must continue as an active employee of an Employing Company for three years from the Date of Grant, subject to the Employing Company's right to terminate the Grantee's employment at any time for any reason. The RSUs shall vest on the three-year anniversary of the Date of Grant, provided that the Grantee is continuously employed by an Employing Company through such anniversary.

Except as provided in Sections 3 and 4 of this Agreement, notwithstanding any other terms or conditions of the Plan or this Agreement to the contrary, in the event of the Grantee's termination of employment, regardless of the reason for such termination and whether or not later found to be invalid or in breach of employment laws in the jurisdiction where the Grantee is employed or the terms of the Grantee's employment agreement, if any, the Grantee's right to vest in the RSUs, if any, will terminate effective as of the date that the Grantee is no longer actively employed by an Employing Company and will not be extended by any notice period (i.e., active employment would not include any contractual notice period or any period of "garden leave" or similar period mandated under employment laws in the jurisdiction where the Grantee is employed or the terms of the Grantee's employment agreement, if any); the Committee shall have the exclusive discretion to determine when the Grantee is no longer actively employed for purposes of the RSUs.

6. <u>Settlement</u>: RSUs that have vested shall be paid in Shares, along with any dividend equivalents with respect to those vested RSUs, within 45 days after the vesting date. The Corporation shall have no obligation to issue Shares unless and until the Grantee has satisfied any applicable tax withholding obligations pursuant to Section 11 below and such issuance otherwise complies with all applicable law. Upon vesting and settlement of the RSUs, Shares shall be delivered free of all restrictions on transferability or forfeiture except for restrictions required by applicable laws and/or regulations and issued in the Grantee's name (or, in the event of the Grantee's death prior to such termination or such issuance, to the Grantee's estate) for the number of Shares subject to vested RSUs. The Grantee shall not be entitled to delivery of any portion of the Shares until the corresponding portion of the RSUs has vested.

7. <u>Adjustments and Clawback</u>: The number of RSUs awarded is subject to adjustment as provided in Section 8 of the Plan. The Grantee shall be notified of such adjustment and such adjustment shall be binding upon the Corporation and the Grantee. This Grant shall be administered in accordance with, and is subject to, any clawback policies and provisions prescribed by the Plan, including but not limited to Section 7.07 thereof and all clawback and recoupment policies or provisions required by law from time to time. In its sole discretion, the Committee shall have the authority to amend, waive or apply the terms of any clawback or recoupment policies or provisions to the extent necessary or advisable to comply with applicable laws, as determined by the Committee.

8. Interpretation and Amendments: This Grant, the vesting and delivery of RSUs and the issuance of Shares upon vesting are subject to, and shall be administered in accordance with, the provisions of the Plan, as the same may be amended by the Committee from time to time, provided that no amendment may, without the consent of the Grantee, affect the rights of the Grantee under this Grant in a materially adverse manner. For purposes of the foregoing sentence, an amendment that affects the tax treatment of the RSUs or that is necessary to comply with securities or other laws applicable to the issuance of Shares shall not be considered as affecting the Grantee's rights in a materially adverse manner. All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning assigned to such terms in the Plan.

9. <u>Compliance with Laws</u>: The obligations of the Corporation and the rights of the Grantee are subject to all applicable laws, rules and regulations including, without limitation, the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended; the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; and any other applicable U.S. and foreign laws. No Shares will be issued or delivered to the Grantee under the Plan unless and until there has been compliance with such applicable laws.

10. Acceptance of Grant: The Grant shall not be payable unless it is accepted by the Grantee and notice of such acceptance is received by the Stock Plan Officer.

11. <u>Withholding Taxes</u>: The Grantee acknowledges that, regardless of any action taken by the Corporation or the Employing Company, the ultimate liability for any or all income tax, social security, payroll tax, payment on account or other tax-related withholding or liability in connection with any aspect of the RSUs, including the grant, vesting, or settlement of the RSUs or the subsequent sale of Shares ("Tax-Related Items"), is and remains his or her responsibility and may exceed the amount withheld by the Corporation or the Employing Company. Furthermore, the Grantee acknowledges that the

Corporation and/or the Employing Company (a) make no representations or undertakings regarding the treatment of any Tax-Related Items; and (b) do not commit to and are under no obligation to structure the terms of the grant of the RSUs or any aspect of the Grantee's participation in the Plan to reduce or eliminate his or her liability for Tax-Related Items or to achieve any particular tax result. Further, if the Grantee has become subject to Tax-Related Items in more than one jurisdiction between the Date of Grant and the date of any relevant taxable event, the Grantee acknowledges that the Corporation and/or the Employing Company (or former Employing Company, as applicable) may be required to withhold or account for Tax-Related Items in more than one jurisdiction.

Prior to the relevant taxable event, the Grantee shall pay or make adequate arrangements satisfactory to the Corporation and/or the Employing Company to satisfy all Tax-Related Items. In this regard, the Grantee authorizes the Corporation and/or the Employing Company, or their respective agents, at their discretion, to satisfy the obligations with regard to all applicable Tax-Related Items by one or a combination of the following methods: (1) withholding from Grantee's wages or other cash compensation paid to Grantee by the Corporation and/or the Employing Company; (2) withholding from proceeds of the sale of Shares issued upon vesting of the RSUs either through a voluntary sale or through a mandatory sale arranged by the Corporation (on Grantee's behalf pursuant to this authorization) through such means as the Corporation may determine in its sole discretion (whether through a broker or otherwise); or (3) withholding in Shares to be issued upon vesting of the RSUs. If the Corporation gives the Grantee the power to choose the withholding method, and the Grantee does not make a choice, then the Corporation will at its discretion withhold in Shares as stated in alternative (3) herein.

To avoid negative accounting treatment, the Corporation may withhold or account for Tax-Related Items by considering applicable minimum statutory withholding amounts or other applicable withholding rates. If the Corporation withholds at a rate other than the minimum statutory rate, such as the maximum withholding rate, then the refund of any over-withheld amount shall be paid in cash and the Grantee will have no entitlement to the Common Stock equivalent. If the Tax-Related Items are satisfied by withholding in Shares issuable upon vesting of the RSUs, for tax purposes, the Grantee is deemed to have been issued the full number of Shares subject to the RSUs, notwithstanding that a number of the Shares are held back solely for the purpose of paying the Tax-Related Items. Finally, the Grantee shall pay to the Corporation or the Employing Company, any amount of Tax-Related Items due as a result of any aspect of the Grantee's participation in the Plan. The Grantee understands that no Shares or proceeds from the sale of Shares shall be delivered to Grantee, notwithstanding the lapse of the restrictions on the RSUs, unless and until the Grantee shall have satisfied any obligation for Tax-Related Items with respect thereto.

Notwithstanding anything in this Section 11 to the contrary, if the RSUs are considered nonqualified deferred compensation, the fair market value of the shares withheld together with the amount of cash withheld may not exceed the liability for Tax-Related Items.

12. <u>Nature of the Grant</u>: Nothing herein shall be construed as giving the Grantee any right to be retained in the employ of an Employing Company or affect any right which the Employing Company may have to terminate the employment of such Grantee. Further, by accepting this grant of RSUs, the Grantee acknowledges that:

- the Plan is established voluntarily by the Corporation, it is discretionary in nature and may be modified, amended, suspended or terminated by the Corporation at any time, to the extent a) permitted by its terms;
- b) the grant of the RSUs is voluntary and occasional and does not create any contractual or other right to receive future grants of RSUs, or benefits in lieu of RSUs, even if RSUs have been granted in the past;
- c) all decisions with respect to future RSU grants, if any, will be at the sole discretion of the Committee or its delegee, as applicable;
- d) the Grantee is voluntarily participating in the Plan;

States:

- the RSUs and the Shares subject to the RSUs are extraordinary items which do not constitute compensation of any kind for services of any kind rendered to the Corporation or to the Employing e) Company, and which are outside the scope of the Grantee's employment contract, if any; the RSUs and the Shares subject to the RSUs are not part of normal or expected compensation or salary for purposes of calculating any severance, resignation, termination, dismissal,
- f) redundancy, end-of-service payments, bonuses, long-service awards, pension or retirement benefits or similar payments and in no event should be considered as compensation for, or relating in any way to, past services for the Corporation or the Employing Company or any Subsidiary or affiliate of the Corporation;
- the RSUs and the Shares subject to the RSUs are not intended to replace any pension rights or compensation;
- h) the grant of RSUs will not be interpreted to form an employment contract or relationship with the Corporation, the Employing Company or any Subsidiary or affiliate of the Corporation;
- i) the future value of the Shares underlying the RSUs is unknown, indeterminable and cannot be predicted with certainty;
- j) no claim or entitlement to compensation or damages arises from forfeiture of the RSUs resulting from termination of the Grantee's employment by the Corporation or the Employing Company (for any reason whether or not in breach of applicable labor laws or the terms of the Grantee's employment agreement, if any), and in consideration of the grant of the RSUs to which the Grantee is not otherwise entitled, the Grantee irrevocably agrees never to institute any claim against the Corporation or the Employing Company, waives his or her ability, if any, to bring any such claim, and releases the Corporation and the Employing Company from any such claim; if, notwithstanding the foregoing, any such claim is allowed by a court of competent jurisdiction, then, by participating in the Plan, the Grantee shall be deemed irrevocably to have agreed not to pursue such claim and agreed to execute any and all documents necessary to request dismissal or withdrawal of such claim;
- k) it is the Grantee's sole responsibility to investigate and comply with any applicable exchange control laws in connection with the issuance and delivery of Shares pursuant to the vesting of the RSUs:
- l) the Corporation and the Employing Company are not providing any tax, legal or financial advice, nor are the Corporation or the Employing Company making any recommendations regarding the Grantee's participation in the Plan or the Grantee's acquisition or sale of the Shares underlying the RSUs;
- m) the Grantee is hereby advised to consult with his or her own personal tax, legal and financial advisors regarding his or her participation in the Plan before taking any action related to the Plan; n)
- unless otherwise provided in the Plan or by the Corporation in its discretion, the RSUs and the benefits evidenced by this Agreement do not create any entitlement to have the RSUs or any such benefits transferred to, or assumed by, another company nor to be exchanged, cashed out or substituted for, in connection with any corporate transaction affecting the Shares of the Corporation; and
- the following provisions apply only if the Grantee is providing services outside the United 0)
 - (i) the RSU and Shares subject to the RSU are not part of normal or expected compensation or salary for any purpose;
 - and (ii) the Grantee acknowledges and agrees that neither the Corporation, the Employing Company nor any Subsidiary or affiliate of the Corporation shall be liable for any foreign exchange rate fluctuation between the local currency and the United States Dollar that may affect the value of the RSUs or of any amounts due to Grantee pursuant to the settlement of the RSUs or the subsequent sale of any Shares acquired upon settlement.

13. Data Privacy: The Grantee hereby explicitly and unambiguously consents to the collection, use and transfer, in electronic or other form, of his or her personal data as described in this document by and among, as applicable, any Employing Company and the Corporation for the exclusive purpose of implementing, administering and managing the Grantee's participation in the Plan.

The Grantee understands that the Employing Company and the Corporation hold certain personal information about the Grantee, including, but not limited to, Grantee's name, home address and telephone number, date of birth, social insurance number or other identification number, salary, nationality, job title, any Shares or directorships held in the Corporation, details of all RSUs or any other entitlement to Shares awarded, canceled, vested, unvested or outstanding in Grantee's favor, as the Employing Company and/or the Corporation deems necessary for the purpose of implementing, administering and managing the Plan ("Data"). The Grantee acknowledges and understands that Data may be transferred to any broker as designated by the Corporation and any third parties assisting in the implementation, administration and management of the Plan, that these recipients may be located in the Grantee's country or elsewhere (and outside the European Economic Area), and that the recipient's country may have different, including less stringent, data privacy laws and protections than the Grantee's country. The Grantee understands that Corporation may transfer Grantee's Data to the United States, which is not considered by the European Commission to have data protection laws equivalent to the laws in Grantee's country. The Grantee understands that if he or she resides outside the United States, he or she may request a list with the names and addresses of any potential recipients of the Data by contacting the Grantee's local human resources representative. The Grantee authorizes the recipients to receive, possess, use, retain and transfer the Data, in electronic or other form, for the purposes of implementing, administering and managing the Grantee's participation in the Plan, including any requisite transfer of such Data as may be required to a broker or other third party with whom the Grantee may elect to deposit any Shares acquired upon vesting of the RSUs. The Grantee understands that Data will be held only as long as is necessary to implement, administer and manage the Grantee's participation in the Plan. The Grantee understands that if he or she resides outside the United States, he or she may, at any time, view Data, request additional information about the storage and processing of Data, require any necessary amendments to Data or refuse or withdraw the consents herein, in any case without cost, by contacting in writing his or her local human resources representative. The Grantee further understands that he or she is providing the consents herein on a purely voluntary basis. If the Grantee does not consent, or if the Grantee later seeks to revoke consent, the Grantee's employment status or service and career with the Employing Company will not be adversely affected. The Grantee understands, however, that refusing or withdrawing his or her consent may affect his or her ability to realize benefits from the RSUs or otherwise participate in the Plan. For more information on the consequences of his or her refusal to consent or withdrawal of consent, the Grantee understands that he or she may contact his or her local human resources representative.

14. Electronic Delivery: The Corporation may, in its sole discretion, decide to deliver any documents related to current or future participation in the Plan by electronic means or request the Grantee's consent to participate in the Plan by electronic means. The Grantee hereby consents to receive such documents by electronic delivery and agrees to participate in the Plan through any on-line or electronic system established and maintained by the Corporation or another third party designated by the Corporation. Such means of electronic delivery may include but do not necessarily include the delivery of a link to a Corporation intranet or the Internet site of a third party involved in administering the Plan, the delivery of the document via e-mail or such other means of electronic delivery specified by the Corporation. The Grantee consents to the electronic delivery of the Plan documents and the Agreement. The Grantee acknowledges that he or she may receive from the Corporation a paper copy of any documents delivered electronically at no cost to the Grantee by contacting the Corporation by telephone or in writing. The Grantee further acknowledges that the Grantee will be provided with a paper copy of any documents if the attempted electronic delivery of such documents fails. Similarly, the Grantee understands that the Grantee must provide the Corporation or any designated third party administrator with a paper copy of any documents if the attempted electronic delivery of such documents fails. The Grantee may revoke his or her consent to the electronic delivery of documents or may change the electronic mail address to which such documents are to be delivered (if the Grantee has provided an electronic mail address) at any time by notifying the Corporation of such revoked consent or revised e-mail address by telephone, postal service or electronic mail. Finally, the Grantee understands that he or she is not required to consent to electronic delivery of documents.

15. Code Section 409A: It is the intent that the vesting or the payments of RSUs set forth in this Agreement shall either qualify for exemption from or comply with the requirements of Section 409A,

and any ambiguities herein will be interpreted to so comply. The Corporation reserves the right, to the extent the Corporation deems necessary or advisable in its sole discretion, to unilaterally amend or modify this Agreement as may be necessary to ensure that all vesting or settlements provided under this Agreement are made in a manner that qualifies for exemption from or complies with Section 409A; provided, however, that the Corporation makes no representation that the vesting or settlement of RSUs provided under this Agreement will be exempt from Section 409A and makes no undertaking to preclude Section 409A from applying to the vesting or settlement of RSUs provided under this any payment to a U.S. taxpayer or Grantee otherwise subject to U.S. taxation, with respect to an RSU is considered to be based upon separation from service, and not compensation the Grantee could receive without separating from service, then such amounts may not be paid until the first business day of the seventh month following the date of the Grantee's termination if the Grantee is a "specified employee" under Section 409A of the Code upon his separation from service.

16. Severability: In the event that any provision in this Agreement is held invalid or unenforceable, such provision will be severable from, and such invalidity or unenforceability will not be construed to have any effect on, the remaining provisions of this Agreement.

17. Language: If the Grantee has received this Agreement or any other document related to the Plan translated into a language other than English and if the meaning of the translated version is different than the English version, the English version will control.

18. Governing Law and Venue: This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, without regard to the conflicts of laws thereof.

For purposes of litigating any dispute that arises under this grant or this Agreement, the parties hereby submit to and consent to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and agree that such litigation shall be conducted in the courts of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, or the federal courts for the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania, where this grant is made and/or to be performed.

19. Exhibit A: Notwithstanding any provisions in this Agreement, the RSUs shall be subject to any special terms and conditions set forth in Exhibit A to this Agreement for the Grantee's country. Moreover, if the Grantee relocates to one of the countries included in Exhibit A, the special terms and conditions for such country will apply to the Grantee, to the extent the Corporation determines that the application of such terms and conditions is necessary or advisable in order to comply with local law or facilitate the administration of the Plan. Exhibit A constitutes part of this Agreement.

20. <u>Insider Trading Restrictions/Market Abuse Laws</u>: The Grantee acknowledges that, depending on the Grantee's country of residence, the Grantee may be subject to insider trading restrictions and/or market abuse laws, which may affect the Grantee's ability to acquire or sell Shares or rights to Shares (e.g., RSUs) under the Plan during such times as the Grantee is considered to have "inside information" regarding the Corporation (as defined by any applicable laws in the Grantee's country). Any restrictions under these laws or regulations are separate from and in addition to any restrictions that may be imposed under any applicable insider trading policy maintained by the Corporation. The Grantee acknowledges that it is the Grantee's responsibility to comply with any applicable restrictions, and the Grantee is advised to speak to his or her personal advisor on this matter.

21. <u>Imposition of Other Requirements</u>: The Corporation reserves the right to impose other requirements on the Grantee's participation in the Plan, on the RSUs and on any Shares acquired under the Plan, to the extent the Corporation determines it is necessary or advisable in order to comply with local law, and to require the Grantee to sign any additional agreements or undertakings that may be necessary to accomplish the foregoing.

22. Headings: Headings of paragraphs and sections used in this Agreement are for convenience only and are not part of this Agreement, and must not be used in construing it.

23. Waiver: The Grantee acknowledges that a waiver by the Corporation of breach of any provision of this Agreement shall not operate or be construed as a waiver of any other provision of this Agreement, or of any subsequent breach by the Grantee.

24. Definitions: In addition to the capitalized terms defined in the Plan, the following terms as used herein shall have the following meanings when used with initial capital letters:

- a) "Termination" shall mean the applicable employee's termination of employment. For purposes of this Agreement, (i) for U.S. taxpayers, Termination and words of similar effect shall be construed consistent with a "separation from service" under Section 409A of the Code to the extent required by Section 409A of the Code, and (ii) for non-U.S. taxpayers, Termination and words of similar effect shall mean that the Grantee is no longer actively employed by an Employing Company, without regard to any notice period (i.e., active employment would not include any contractual notice period or any period of "garden leave" or similar period mandated under employment laws in the jurisdiction where the Grantee is employed or the terms of the Grantee's employment agreement, if any).
- b) "Termination with Consent" shall mean Termination with the consent of the Committee. Consent shall be deemed to be given if the employee incurs a break in continuous service under circumstances which would qualify the Participant for benefits under a severance plan of the Corporation.

EXHIBIT A

Additional Terms and Conditions of the United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

This Exhibit A includes additional terms and conditions that govern the RSUs granted to the Grantee under the Plan if he or she works or resides in one of the countries listed below. If the Grantee is a citizen or resident of a country other than that in which the Grantee is currently working or transfers employment to another country after the RSUs are granted, the Corporation shall, in its discretion, determine to what extent the terms and conditions contained herein shall be applicable to the Grantee. Certain capitalized terms used but not defined in this Exhibit A have the meanings set forth in the Plan and/or the Agreement.

NOTIFICATIONS

This Exhibit A also includes information regarding exchange controls and certain other issues of which the Grantee should be aware with respect to participation in the Plan. The information is based on the laws in effect in the applicable countries as of February 2016. Such laws are often complex and change frequently. As a result, the Corporation strongly recommends that the Grantee not rely on the information in this Exhibit A as the only source of information relating to the consequences of his or her participation in the Plan because the information may be out of date at the time that the Grantee vests in the RSUs or sells Shares acquired under the Plan.

In addition, the information contained herein is general in nature and may not apply to the Grantee's particular situation, and the Corporation is not in a position to assure the Grantee of a particular result. Accordingly, the Grantee is advised to seek appropriate professional advice as to how the relevant laws in his or her country may apply to the Grantee's situation.

Finally, if the Grantee is a citizen or resident of a country other than that in which the Grantee is currently working or transfers employment to another country after the RSUs are granted, the information contained herein may not be applicable.

CANADA

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

RSUs Payable Only in Shares. Notwithstanding any discretion in the Plan or anything to the contrary in the Agreement, the grant of RSUs does not provide any right for the Grantee to receive a cash payment in settlement of the RSUs upon vesting and the RSUs are payable in Shares only.

Securities Law Commitment on Sale of Shares. As a condition of the grant of RSUs and the issuance of Shares upon vesting of the RSUs, the Grantee undertakes to only sell, trade or otherwise dispose of any Shares issued to the Grantee under the Plan in accordance with applicable Canadian securities laws. Under current laws, this means that the Grantee will need to sell any Shares issued under the Plan using the services of a broker or dealer that is registered under Canadian provincial or territorial securities legislation. The Grantee will not be permitted to sell, trade or otherwise dispose of his or her Shares through the Corporation's designated U.S. plan broker, Fidelity Investments, unless such sale, trade or disposal can be executed in accordance with applicable securities laws. As legal requirements may be subject to change, Grantees are encouraged to seek specific advice about their individual situation before taking any action with respect to securities issued to ther under the Plan.

By accepting this RSU, the Grantee expressly agrees that he or she will consult with a personal legal advisor to address any questions that may arise regarding compliance with this requirement. The Grantee understands and agrees that he or she will be liable for any failure to comply with the foregoing provision.

The following provisions will apply if the Grantee is a resident of Quebec:

Language Consent. The parties acknowledge that it is their express wish that the Agreement, this Exhibit A and all documents, notices, and legal proceedings entered into, given or instituted pursuant hereto or relating directly or indirectly hereto, be drawn up in English.

Consentement relatif à la langue utilisée: Les parties reconnaissent avoir exigé la rédaction en anglais de l'accord, cette pièce A, y ainsi que de tous documents, avis donnés et procédures judiciaires, exécutés, donnés ou intentés en vertu de, ou liés directement ou indirectement aux présentes.

Data Privacy. This provision supplements Section 13 of the Agreement:

The Grantee hereby authorizes the Corporation and the Employing Company and their respective representatives to discuss with and obtain all relevant information from all personnel, professional or not, involved in the administration and operation of the Plan. The Grantee further authorizes the Corporation and any Subsidiary or affiliate and the Committee to disclose and discuss the Plan with their respective

advisors. The Grantee further authorizes the Corporation and any Subsidiary or affiliate to record such information and to keep such information in the Grantee's employee file.

NOTIFICATIONS

Foreign Asset/Account Reporting Information. Canadian residents are required to report any foreign property (e.g., Shares acquired under the Plan and possibly RSUs) on form T1135 (Foreign Income Verification Statement) if the total cost of their foreign property exceeds C\$100,000 at any time in the year. It is Grantee's responsibility to comply with these reporting obligations, and Grantee is encouraged to consult his or own personal tax advisor in this regard.

GERMANY

NOTIFICATIONS

Exchange Control Information. Cross-border payments in excess of £12,500 must be reported monthly to the German Federal Bank (*Bundesbank*) electronically using the "General Statistics Reporting Portal" (*"Allgemeines Meldeportal Statistik"*) available via Bundesbank's website (www.bundesbank.de). It is the sole responsibility of the Grantee to make such report.

Securities Disclaimer. The grant of the RSUs is exempt from the requirement to publish a prospectus under the EU Prospectus Directive as implemented in Germany.

SLOVAK REPUBLIC

NOTIFICATIONS

Foreign Assets Reporting Information. If the Grantee permanently resides in the Slovak Republic and, apart from being employed, carries on business activities as an independent entrepreneur (in Slovakian, podnikatel), the Grantee will be obligated to report his or her foreign assets (including any foreign securities such as Shares acquired under the Plan) to the National Bank of Slovakia if the value of the foreign assets exceeds $\epsilon_{2,000,000}$. These reports must be submitted on a monthly basis by the 15th day of the respective calendar month, as well as on a quarterly basis by the 15th day of the calendar month following the respective calendar quarter, using notification form DEV (NBS) 1-12, which may be found at the National Bank of Slovakia's website at <u>www.nbs.sk</u>.

Furthermore, if the above preconditions are met (i.e. permanent residence in the Slovak Republic and entrepreneurial activities in addition to the employment), the Grantee will be obliged to report certain additional information under Section 34b of Act No. 566/1992 Coll. on National Bank of Slovakia as amended. This information is mostly of general nature and contains personal identification data of the Grantee - place and date of birth, birth certificate number, academic degree, etc., as well as telephone and fax number and e-mail address of the Grantee, if any.

Securities Disclaimer. The grant of the RSUs is exempt from the requirement to publish a prospectus under the EU Prospectus Directive as implemented in the Slovak Republic.

UNITED KINGDOM

NOTIFICATIONS

Securities Disclosure. This Agreement is not an approved prospectus for the purposes of section 85(1) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 ("FSMA") and no offer of transferable securities to the public (for the purposes of section 102B of FSMA) is being made in connection with the Plan. The Plan and the RSUs are exclusively available in the UK to bona fide employees and former employees and any other UK subsidiary of the Corporation.

Retention Grant Agreement – May 2016 EAST\123711035.4

United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement

United States Steel Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, herein called the Corporation, grants to the undersigned employee of the Employing Company identified below (the "Grantee") the number of Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") set forth below, each of which is a bookkeeping entry representing the equivalent in value of one share of the class of common stock of the Corporation set forth below:

Name of Grantee: PARTICIPANT NAME

Name of Employing Company (the company recognized by the Corporation on Date Hereof: as employing the Grantee)

Number of RSUs Granted: # RSUs

Date of Grant: GRANT DATE

By accepting this Grant in any manner prescribed by the Corporation, the Grantee agrees that (1) these RSUs are granted under and governed by the terms and conditions of the United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, as the same may be amended from time to time (the "Plan") and the provisions of this Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement, including the Terms and Conditions contained herein and any special provisions for the Grantee's country of residence set out on Exhibit A (the "Agreement"), (2) he or she has reviewed the Plan and the Agreement in their entirety and (3) he or she has had an opportunity to obtain the advice of counsel prior to accepting this Grant and fully understands all provisions of the Plan and the Agreement.

United States Steel Corporation

By:___

Authorized Officer

Terms and Conditions

1. <u>Grant</u>: The Corporation has granted to the Grantee the number of RSUs set forth in this Agreement. Each RSU represents the right to receive one share of the Corporation's common stock (a "Share") on the date specified in Section 6 below in settlement of each RSU that has vested as provided in Sections 3, 4 or 5 below. Unless and until the RSUs are vested in the manner set forth in Section 3, 4 or 5 below, the Grantee will have no right to settlement of any such RSUs or any right to receive any Shares. Prior to settlement of any vested RSUs, such RSUs will represent an unsecured obligation of the Corporation, payable (if at all) only from the general assets of the Corporation.

2. <u>Restriction Period</u>: The restriction period with regard to the RSUs shall commence on the date the RSUs are granted and end on the date the RSUs are settled as provided in Section 6 below. During the restriction period, the Grantee shall not sell, transfer, assign, pledge or otherwise encumber or dispose of any portion of the RSUs, and any attempt to sell, transfer, assign, pledge or encumber any portion of the RSUs prior to the end of the restriction period shall have no effect. During the restriction period, the Grantee shall not be entitled to vote any Shares that may be received upon settlement of any vested RSUs and shall not receive dividends paid on those Shares. The Grantee shall be entitled to receive dividend equivalents in cash; provided, however, the dividend equivalents shall not vest or be paid to the Grantee unless and to the extent the underlying RSUs vest as provided in Section 3, 4 or 5 of this Agreement.

3. Change in Control: If the Grantee's employment is terminated within two years following a Change in Control involuntarily (except for Cause) or, in the case of a Grantee designated by the Corporation as executive management at the time of the Change in Control, voluntarily for Good Reason, each unvested RSU will immediately vest.

4. <u>Termination of Employment</u>: Unvested RSUs will immediately vest upon the Grantee's death during employment or termination of employment by reason of Disability, and in the event of termination of the Grantee's employment by reason of Retirement or Termination with Consent, a prorated number of the RSUs scheduled to vest during the current Vesting Year will vest on the date of termination based upon the number of complete months worked during the Vesting Year in which the Grantee's termination of employment occurs by reason of Retirement or Termination with Consent. The remaining unvested RSUs are forfeited immediately upon the Grantee's termination of employment without consideration or further action required of the Corporation or Employing Company. Unvested RSUs will be forfeited automatically upon any other termination of employment.

5. <u>Vesting</u>: Subject to Sections 3 and 4, the RSUs shall vest as follows: (a) upon the first anniversary of the Date of Grant, one-third of the RSUs granted on the Date of Grant shall vest, provided that the Grantee is employed by an Employing Company on such anniversary, (b) upon the two year anniversary of the Date of Grant, an additional one-third of the RSUs granted on the Date of Grant shall vest, provided that the Grantee is employed by an Employing Company on such anniversary, and (c) upon the three year anniversary of the Date of Grant, the remaining one-third of the RSUs granted on the Date of Grant shall vest, provided that the Grantee is employed by an Employing Company on such anniversary. All fractional unvested RSUs, if any, resulting from the ratable vesting shall vest as whole RSUs upon the latest vesting date.

Except as provided in Sections 3 and 4 of this Agreement, notwithstanding any other terms or conditions of the Plan or this Agreement to the contrary, in the event of the Grantee's termination of employment, regardless of the reason for such termination and whether or not later found to be invalid or in breach of employment laws in the jurisdiction where the Grantee is employed or the terms of the Grantee's employment agreement, if any, the Grantee's right to vest in the RSUs, if any, will terminate effective as of the date that the Grantee is no longer actively employed by an Employing Company and will not be extended by any notice period (i.e., active employment would not include any contractual notice period or any period of "garden leave" or similar period mandated under employment laws in the grantee is no longer actively employed or the terms of the Grantee's employment agreement, if any). The Committee shall have the exclusive discretion to determine when the Grantee is no longer actively employed or the terms of the Grantee is no longer actively employed.

6. <u>Settlement</u>: RSUs that have vested shall be paid in Shares, along with any dividend equivalents with respect to those vested RSUs, within 45 days after the applicable vesting date. The Corporation shall have no obligation to issue Shares unless and until the Grantee has satisfied any applicable tax withholding obligations pursuant to Section 11 below and such issuance otherwise complies with all applicable law. Upon vesting and settlement of the RSUs, Shares shall be delivered free of all restrictions on transferability or forfeiture except for restrictions required by applicable laws and/or regulations, and issued in the Grantee's name (or, in the event of the Grantee's death prior to such termination or such issuance, to the Grantee's estate) for the number of Shares subject to vested RSUs. The Grantee shall not be entitled to delivery of any portion of the Shares until the corresponding portion of the RSUs has vested.

7. Adjustments and Recoupment: The number of RSUs awarded is subject to adjustment as provided in Section 8 of the Plan. The Grantee shall be notified of such adjustment and such adjustment shall be binding upon the Corporation and the Grantee. This Grant shall be administered in accordance with, and is subject to, any recoupment policies and provisions prescribed by the Plan; including but not limited to Section 7.07 thereof and all clawback and recoupment policies or provisions required by law from time to time. In its sole discretion, the Committee shall have the authority to amend, waive or apply the terms of any clawback or recoupment policies or provisions, to the extent necessary or advisable to comply with applicable laws, as determined by the Committee.

8. Interpretation and Amendments: This Grant, the vesting and delivery of RSUs and the issuance of Shares upon vesting are subject to, and shall be administered in accordance with, the provisions of the Plan, as the same may be amended by the Committee from time to time, provided that no amendment may, without the consent of the Grantee, affect the rights of the Grantee under this Grant in a materially adverse manner. For purposes of the foregoing sentence, an amendment that affects the tax treatment of the RSUs or that is necessary to comply with securities or other laws applicable to the issuance of Shares shall not be considered as affecting the Grantee's rights in a materially adverse manner. All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning assigned to such terms in the Plan. In the event of a conflict between the Plan, unless this Grant specifies otherwise, the Plan shall control.

9. <u>Compliance with Laws</u>: The obligations of the Corporation and the rights of the Grantee are subject to all applicable laws, rules and regulations including, without limitation, the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended; the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; and any other applicable U.S. and foreign laws. No Shares will be issued or delivered to the Grantee under the Plan unless and until there has been compliance with such applicable laws.

10. Acceptance of Grant: The Grant shall not be payable unless it is accepted by the Grantee and notice of such acceptance is received by the Stock Plan Officer.

11. <u>Withholding Taxes</u>: The Grantee acknowledges that, regardless of any action taken by the Corporation or the Employing Company, the ultimate liability for any or all income tax, social security, payroll tax, payment on account or other tax-related withholding or liability in connection with any aspect of the RSUs, including the grant, vesting, or settlement of the RSUs or the subsequent sale of Shares ("Tax-Related Items") is and remains his or her responsibility and may exceed the amount withheld by the Corporation or the Employing Company. Furthermore, the Grantee acknowledges that the Corporation and/or the Employing Company (a) make no representations or undertakings regarding the treatment of any Tax-Related Items; and (b) do not commit to and are under no obligation to structure the terms of the grant of the RSUs or any aspect of the Grantee's participation in the Plan to reduce or eliminate his or her liability for any related Items or to achieve any particular tax result. Further, if the Grantee has become subject to Tax-Related Items in more than one jurisdiction between the Date of Grant and the date of any relevant taxable event, the Grantee acknowledges that the Corporation and/or the Employing Company, (or former Employing Company, as applicable) may be required to withhold or account for Tax-Related Items in more than one jurisdiction.

Prior to the relevant taxable event, the Grantee shall pay or make adequate arrangements satisfactory to the Corporation and/or the Employing Company to satisfy all Tax-Related Items. In this regard, the Grantee authorizes the Corporation and/or the Employing Company, or their respective agents, at their discretion, to satisfy the obligations with regard to all applicable Tax-Related Items by one or a combination of the following methods: (1) withholding from Grantee's wages or other cash compensation paid to Grantee by the Corporation and/or the Employing Company; (2) withholding from proceeds of the sale of Shares issued upon vesting of the RSUs either through a voluntary sale or otherwise); or (3) withholding in Shares to be issued upon vesting of the RSUs. If the Corporation gives the Grantee the power to choose the withholding method, and the Grantee does not make a choice, then the Corporation will at its discretion withhold in Shares as stated in alternative (3) herein.

To avoid negative accounting treatment, the Corporation may withhold or account for Tax-Related Items by considering applicable minimum statutory withholding amounts or other applicable withholding rates. If the Corporation withholds at a rate other than the minimum statutory rate, such as the maximum withholding rate, then the refund of any over-withheld amount shall be paid in cash and the Grantee will have no entitlement to the Common Stock equivalent. If the Tax-Related Items are satisfied by withholding in Shares issuable upon vesting of the RSUs, for tax purposes, the Grantee is deemed to have been issued the full number of Shares subject to the RSUs, notwithstanding that a number of the Shares are held back solely for the purpose of paying the Tax-Related Items. Finally, the Grantee shall pay to the Corporation or the Employing Company, any amount of Tax-Related Items due as a result of any aspect of the Grantee's participation in the Plan. The Grantee understands that no Shares or proceeds from the sale of Shares shall be delivered to Grantee, notwithstanding the lapse of the restrictions on the RSUs, unless and until the Grantee shall have satisfied any obligation for Tax-Related Items with respect thereto.

Notwithstanding anything in this Section 11 to the contrary, if the RSUs are considered nonqualified deferred compensation, the fair market value of the shares withheld together with the amount of cash withheld may not exceed the liability for Tax-Related Items.

12. <u>Nature of the Grant</u>: Nothing herein shall be construed as giving the Grantee any right to be retained in the employ of an Employing Company or affect any right which the Employing Company may have to terminate the employment of such Grantee. Further, by accepting this grant of RSUs, the Grantee acknowledges that:

- a) the Plan is established voluntarily by the Corporation, it is discretionary in nature and may be modified, amended, suspended or terminated by the Corporation at any time, to the extent permitted by its terms;
- b) the grant of the RSUs is voluntary and occasional and does not create any contractual or other right to receive future grants of RSUs, or benefits in lieu of RSUs, even if RSUs have been granted in the past;
- c) all decisions with respect to future RSU grants, if any, will be at the sole discretion of the Committee or its delegee, as applicable;
- d) the Grantee is voluntarily participating in the Plan;
- e) the RSUs and the Shares subject to the RSUs are extraordinary items which do not constitute compensation of any kind for services of any kind rendered to the Corporation or to the Employing Company, and which are outside the scope of the Grantee's employment contract, if any;

-2-

- f) the RSUs and the Shares subject to the RSUs are not part of normal or expected compensation or salary for purposes of calculating any severance, resignation, termination, dismissal, redundancy, end-of-service payments, bonuses, long-service awards, pension or retirement benefits or similar payments and in no event should be considered as compensation for, or relating in any way to, past services for the Corporation or the Employing Company or any Subsidiary or affiliate of the Corporation;
- g) the RSUs and the Shares subject to the RSUs are not intended to replace any pension rights or compensation;
- h) the grant of RSUs will not be interpreted to form an employment contract or relationship with the Corporation, the Employing Company or any Subsidiary or affiliate of the
- Corporation; i) the future value of the Shares underlying the RSUs is unknown, indeterminable and cannot be predicted with certainty:
- j) no claim or entitlement to compensation or damages arises from forfeiture of the RSUs resulting from termination of the Grantee's employment by the Corporation or the Employing Company (for any reason whether or not in breach of applicable labor laws or the terms of the Grantee's employment agreement, if any), and in consideration of the grant of the RSUs to which the Grantee is not otherwise entitled, the Grantee irrevocably agrees never to institute any claim against the Corporation or the Employing Company, waives his or her ability, if any, to bring any such claim, and releases the Corporation and the Employing Company from any such claim; if, notwithstanding the foregoing, any such claim is allowed by a court of competent jurisdiction, then, by participating in the Plan, the Grantee shall be deemed irrevocably to have agreed not to pursue such claim and agreed to execute any and all documents necessary to request dismissal or withdrawal of such claim;
- k) it is the Grantee's sole responsibility to investigate and comply with any applicable exchange control laws in connection with the issuance and delivery of Shares pursuant to the vesting of the RSUs;
- the Corporation and the Employing Company are not providing any tax, legal or financial advice, nor are the Corporation or the Employing Company making any recommendations regarding the Grantee's participation in the Plan or the Grantee's acquisition or sale of the Shares underlying the RSUs;
- m) the Grantee is hereby advised to consult with his or her own personal tax, legal and financial advisors regarding his or her participation in the Plan before taking any action related to the Plan;
- n) unless otherwise provided in the Plan or by the Corporation in its discretion, the RSUs and the benefits evidenced by this Agreement do not create any entitlement to have the RSUs or any such benefits transferred to, or assumed by, another company nor to be exchanged, cashed out or substituted for, in connection with any corporate transaction affecting the Shares of the Corporation; and
- o) the following provisions apply only if the Grantee is providing services outside the United States:

and

- (i) the RSU and Shares subject to the RSU are not part of normal or expected compensation or salary for any purpose;
- (ii) the Grantee acknowledges and agrees that neither the Corporation, the Employing Company nor any Subsidiary or affiliate of the Corporation shall be liable for any foreign exchange rate fluctuation between the local currency and the United States Dollar that may affect the value of the RSUs or of any amounts due to Grantee pursuant to the settlement of the RSUs or the subsequent sale of any Shares acquired upon settlement.

13. Data Privacy: The Grantee hereby explicitly and unambiguously consents to the collection, use and transfer, in electronic or other form, of his or her personal data as described in this document by and among, as applicable, any Employing Company and the Corporation for the exclusive purpose of implementing, administering and managing the Grantee's participation in the Plan.

The Grantee understands that the Employing Company and the Corporation hold certain personal information about the Grantee, including, but not limited to, Grantee's name, home address and telephone number, date of birth, social insurance number or other identification number, salary, nationality, job title, any Shares or directorships held in the Corporation, details of all RSUs or any other entitlement to Shares awarded, canceled, vested, unvested or outstanding in Grantee's favor, as the Employing Company and/or the Corporation deems necessary for the purpose of implementing, administering and managing the Plan ("Data"). The Grantee acknowledges and understands that Data may be transferred to any broker as designated by the Corporation and any third parties assisting in the implementation, administration and management of the Plan, that these recipients may be located in the Grantee's country or elsewhere (and outside the European Economic Area), and that the recipient's country may have different, including less stringent, data privacy laws and protections than the Grantee's country. The Grantee understands that Corporation may transfer Grantee's Data to the United States, which is not considered by the European Commission to have data protection laws equivalent to the laws in Grantee's country. The Grantee understands that if he or she resides outside the United States, he or she may request a list with the names and addresses of any potential recipients of the Data by contacting the Grantee's local human resources representative. The Grantee authorizes the recipients to receive, possess, use, retain and transfer the Data, in electronic or other form, for the purposes of implementing, administering and managing the Grantee's participation in the Plan, including any requisite transfer of such Data as may be required to a broker or other third party with whom the Grantee may elect to deposit any Shares acquired upon vesting of the RSUs. The Grantee understands that Data will be held only as long as is necessary to implement, administer and manage the Grantee's participation in the Plan. The Grantee understands that if he or she resides outside the United States, he or she may, at any time, view Data, request additional information about the storage and processing of Data, require any necessary amendments to Data or refuse or withdraw the consents herein, in any case without cost, by contacting in writing his or her local human resources representative. The Grantee further understands that he or she is providing the consents herein on a purely voluntary basis. If the Grantee does not consent, or if the Grantee later seeks to revoke consent, the Grantee's employment status or service and career with the Employing Company will not be adversely affected. The Grantee understands, however, that refusing or withdrawing his or her consent may affect his or her ability to realize benefits from the RSUs or otherwise participate in the Plan. For more information on the consequences of his or her refusal to consent or withdrawal of consent, the Grantee understands that he or she may contact his or her local human resources representative.

14. <u>Electronic Delivery</u>: The Corporation may, in its sole discretion, decide to deliver any documents related to current or future participation in the Plan by electronic means or request the Grantee's consent to participate in the Plan by electronic means. The Grantee hereby consents to receive such documents by electronic delivery and agrees to participate in the Plan through any on-line or electronic system established and maintained by the Corporation or another third party designated by the Corporation. Such means of electronic delivery may include but do not necessarily include the delivery of a link to a Corporation intranet or the Internet site of a third party involved in administering the Plan, the delivery of the document via e-mail or such other means of electronic delivery specified by the Corporation. The Grantee consents to the electronic delivery of the Plan documents and the Agreement. The Grantee acknowledges that he or she may receive from the Corporation a paper copy of any documents delivered electronic delivery of such documents fails. Similarly, the Grantee understands that the Grantee must provide the Corporation or any designated third party administrator with a paper copy of any documents fails. The Grantee may revoke his or her consent to the electronic delivery of documents fails. The Grantee may revoke his or her consent to the electronic delivery of may change the electronic mail address by telephone, postal service or electronic mail. Finally, the Grantee understands that he or she is not required to consent to electronic delivery of such documents fails. The Grantee may revoke his or her consent to the electronic delivery of may change the electronic mail address by telephone, postal service or electronic mail. Finally, the Grantee understands that he or she is not required to consent to electronic delivery of documents or may change the enditors by telephone, postal service or electronic mail. Finally, the Grantee understands that he or she is not required to consent to electr

-3-

15. <u>Code Section 409A</u>: It is the intent that the vesting or the payments of RSUs set forth in this Agreement shall either qualify for exemption from or comply with the requirements of Section 409A, and any ambiguities herein will be interpreted to so comply. The Corporation reserves the right, to the extent the Corporation deems necessary or advisable in its sole discretion, to unilaterally amend or modify this Agreement as may be necessary to ensure that all vesting or settlements provided under this Agreement are made in a manner that qualifies for exemption from or complies with Section 409A; provided, however, that the Corporation makes no representation that the vesting or settlement of RSUs provided under this Agreement will be exempt from Section 409A and makes no undertaking to preclude Section 409A from applying to the vesting or settlement of RSUs provided under this agreement to a U.S. taxpayer or Grantee otherwise subject to U.S. taxation, with respect to an RSU is considered to be based upon separation from service, and not compensation the Grantee could receive without separating from service, then such amounts may not be paid until the first business day of the seventh month following the date of the Grantee's termination if the Grantee is a "specified employee" under Section 409A of the Code upon his separation from service.

16. <u>Severability</u>: In the event that any provision in this Agreement is held invalid or unenforceable, such provision will be severable from, and such invalidity or unenforceability will not be construed to have any effect on, the remaining provisions of this Agreement.

17. Language: If the Grantee has received this Agreement or any other document related to the Plan translated into a language other than English and if the meaning of the translated version is different than the English version, the English version will control.

18. <u>Governing Law and Venue</u>: This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, without regard to the conflicts of laws thereof. For purposes of litigating any dispute that arises under this grant or this Agreement, the parties hereby submit to and consent to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and agree that such litigation shall be conducted in the courts of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, or the federal courts for the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania, where this grant is made and/or to be performed.

19. <u>Exhibit A</u>. Notwithstanding any provisions in this Agreement, the RSUs shall be subject to any special terms and conditions set forth in Exhibit A to this Agreement for the Grantee's country. Moreover, if the Grantee relocates to one of the countries included in Exhibit A, the special terms and conditions for such country will apply to the Grantee, to the extent the Corporation determines that the application of such terms and conditions is necessary or advisable in order to comply with local law or facilitate the administration of the Plan. Exhibit A constitutes part of this Agreement.

20. <u>Insider Trading Restrictions/Market Abuse Laws</u>: The Grantee acknowledges that, depending on the Grantee's country of residence, the Grantee may be subject to insider trading restrictions and/or market abuse laws, which may affect the Grantee's ability to acquire or sell Shares or rights to Shares (e.g., Performance Awards) under the Plan during such times as the Grantee is considered to have "inside information" regarding the Corporation (as defined by any applicable laws in the Grantee's country). Any restrictions under these laws or regulations are separate from and in addition to any restrictions that may be imposed under any applicable insider trading policy maintained by the Corporation. The Grantee acknowledges that it is the Grantee's responsibility to comply with any applicable restrictions, and the Grantee is advised to speak to his or her personal advisor on this matter.

21. <u>Imposition of Other Requirements</u>: The Corporation reserves the right to impose other requirements on the Grantee's participation in the Plan, on the RSUs and on any Shares acquired under the Plan, to the extent the Corporation determines it is necessary or advisable in order to comply with local law, and to require the Grantee to sign any additional agreements or undertakings that may be necessary to accomplish the foregoing.

22. Headings: Headings of paragraphs and sections used in this Agreement are for convenience only and are not part of this Agreement, and must not be used in construing it.

23. <u>Waiver</u>: The Grantee acknowledges that a waiver by the Corporation of breach of any provision of this Agreement shall not operate or be construed as a waiver of any other provision of this Agreement, or of any subsequent breach by the Grantee.

- 24. Definitions: In addition to the capitalized terms defined in the Plan, the following terms as used herein shall have the following meanings when used with initial capital letters:
 - a) "Retirement" shall mean the Grantee's termination of employment after having satisfied the age, service and/or other requirements necessary to commence an immediate pension under either:

 (i) the applicable defined benefit pension plan for the Grantee's home country, regardless of whether the Grantee is a participant in such pension plan, or (ii) in the case of a home country for which there is no applicable defined benefit plan, the applicable local law or regulation; provided, however, such term does not include, unless the Committee consents with knowledge of the specific facts, retirement under circumstances in which the Grantee accepts employment with a company that owns, or is owned by, a business that competes with the Corporation, or its Subsidiaries or affiliates. Further, to the extent necessary under applicable local law.
 - b) "Termination" shall mean the applicable employee's termination of employment. For purposes of this Agreement, (i) for U.S. taxpayers, Termination and words of similar effect shall be construed consistent with a "separation from service" under Section 409A of the Code to the extent required by Section 409A of the Code, and (ii) for non-U.S. taxpayers, Termination and words of similar effect shall mean that the Grantee is no longer actively employed by an Employing Company, without regard to any notice period (i.e., active employment would not include any contractual notice period or any period of "garden leave" or similar period mandated under employment laws in the jurisdiction where the Grantee is employed or the terms of the Grantee's employment agreement, if any).
 - c) "Termination with Consent" shall mean Termination with the consent of the Committee. Consent shall be deemed to be given if the employee incurs a break in continuous service under circumstances which would qualify the Participant for benefits under a severance plan of the Corporation.
 - d) "Vesting Year" shall mean, with respect to the period prior to the third anniversary of the Date of Grant, each one-year period commencing on the Date of Grant or the first or second anniversary thereof, as applicable, and ending on the next following anniversary of the Date of Grant.



<u>EXHIBIT A</u>

Additional Terms and Conditions of the United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

This Exhibit A includes additional terms and conditions that govern the RSUs granted to the Grantee under the Plan if he or she works or resides in one of the countries listed below. If the Grantee is a citizen or resident of a country other than that in which the Grantee is currently working or transfers employment to another country after the RSUs are granted, the Corporation shall, in its discretion, determine to what extent the terms and conditions contained herein shall be applicable to the Grantee. Certain capitalized terms used but not defined in this Exhibit A have the meanings set forth in the Plan and/or the Agreement.

NOTIFICATIONS

This Exhibit A also includes information regarding exchange controls and certain other issues of which the Grantee should be aware with respect to participation in the Plan. The information is based on the laws in effect in the applicable countries as of February 2016. Such laws are often complex and change frequently. As a result, the Corporation strongly recommends that the Grantee not rely on the information in this Exhibit A as the only source of information relating to the consequences of his or her participation in the Plan because the information may be out of date at the time that the Grantee vests in the RSUs or sells Shares acquired under the Plan.

In addition, the information contained herein is general in nature and may not apply to the Grantee's particular situation, and the Corporation is not in a position to assure the Grantee of a particular result. Accordingly, the Grantee is advised to seek appropriate professional advice as to how the relevant laws in his or her country may apply to the Grantee's situation.

Finally, if the Grantee is a citizen or resident of a country other than that in which the Grantee is currently working or transfers employment to another country after the RSUs are granted, the information contained herein may not be applicable.

<u>CANADA</u>

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

RSUs Payable Only in Shares. Notwithstanding any discretion in the Plan or anything to the contrary in the Agreement, the grant of RSUs does not provide any right for the Grantee to receive a cash payment in settlement of the RSUs upon vesting and the RSUs are payable in Shares only.

Securities Law Commitment on Sale of Shares. As a condition of the grant of RSUs and the issuance of Shares upon vesting of the RSUs, the Grantee undertakes to only sell, trade or otherwise dispose of any Shares issued to the Grantee under the Plan in accordance with applicable Canadian securities laws. Under current laws, this means that the Grantee will need to sell any Shares issued under the Plan using the services of a broker or dealer that is registered under Canadian provincial or territorial securities legislation. The Grantee will not be permitted to sell, trade or otherwise dispose of his or her Shares through the Corporation's designated U.S. plan broker, Fidelity Investments, unless such sale, trade or disposal can be executed in accordance with applicable securities laws. As legal requirements may be subject to change, Grantees are encouraged to seek specific advice about their individual situation before taking any action with respect to securities issued to them under the Plan.

By accepting this RSU, the Grantee expressly agrees that he or she will consult with a personal legal advisor to address any questions that may arise regarding compliance with this requirement. The Grantee understands and agrees that he or she will be liable for any failure to comply with the foregoing provision.

The following provisions will apply if the Grantee is a resident of Quebec:

Language Consent. The parties acknowledge that it is their express wish that the Agreement, this Exhibit A and all documents, notices, and legal proceedings entered into, given or instituted pursuant hereto or relating directly or indirectly hereto, be drawn up in English.

Consentement relatif à la langue utilisée : Les parties reconnaissent avoir exigé la rédaction en anglais de l'accord, cette pièce A, y ainsi que de tous documents, avis donnés et procédures judiciaires, exécutés, donnés ou intentés en vertu de, ou liés directement ou indirectement aux présentes.

Data Privacy. This provision supplements Section 13 of the Agreement:

The Grantee hereby authorizes the Corporation and the Employing Company and their respective representatives to discuss with and obtain all relevant information from all personnel, professional or not, involved in the administration and operation of the Plan. The Grantee further authorizes the Corporation and any Subsidiary or affiliate and the Committee to disclose and discuss the Plan with their respective advisors. The Grantee further authorizes the Corporation and any Subsidiary or affiliate to record such information and to keep such information in the Grantee's employee file.

NOTIFICATIONS

Foreign Asset/Account Reporting Information. Canadian residents are required to report any foreign property (e.g., Shares acquired under the Plan and possibly RSUs) on form T1135 (Foreign Income Verification Statement) if the total cost of their foreign property exceeds C\$100,000 at any time in the year. It is Grantee's responsibility to comply with these reporting obligations, and Grantee is encouraged to consult his or own personal tax advisor in this regard.



GERMANY

NOTIFICATIONS

Exchange Control Information. Cross-border payments in excess of $\in 12,500$ must be reported monthly to the German Federal Bank (*Bankshank*) electronically using the "General Statistics Reporting Portal" ("*Allgemeines Meldeportal Statistik*") available via Bundesbank's website (<u>www.bundesbank.de</u>). It is the sole responsibility of the Grantee to make such report.

Securities Disclaimer. The grant of the RSUs is exempt from the requirement to publish a prospectus under the EU Prospectus Directive as implemented in Germany.

SLOVAK REPUBLIC

NOTIFICATIONS

Foreign Assets Reporting Information. If the Grantee permanently resides in the Slovak Republic and, apart from being employed, carries on business activities as an independent entrepreneur (in Slovakian, podnikatel), the Grantee will be obligated to report his or her foreign assets (including any foreign securities such as Shares acquired under the Plan) to the National Bank of Slovakia if the value of the foreign assets exceeds $\epsilon_{2,000,000}$. These reports must be submitted on a monthly basis by the 15th day of the respective calendar month, as well as on a quarterly basis by the 15th day of the calendar month following the respective calendar quarter, using notification form DEV (NBS) 1-12, which may be found at the National Bank of Slovakia's website at www.nbs.sk.

Furthermore, if the above preconditions are met (i.e. permanent residence in the Slovak Republic and entrepreneurial activities in addition to the employment), the Grantee will be obliged to report certain additional information under Section 34b of Act No. 566/1992 Coll. on National Bank of Slovakia as amended. This information is mostly of general nature and contains personal identification data of the Grantee - place and date of birth, birth certificate number, academic degree, etc., as well as telephone and fax number and e-mail address of the Grantee, if any.

Securities Disclaimer. The grant of the RSUs is exempt from the requirement to publish a prospectus under the EU Prospectus Directive as implemented in the Slovak Republic.

UNITED KINGDOM

NOTIFICATIONS

Securities Disclosure. This Agreement is not an approved prospectus for the purposes of section 85(1) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 ("FSMA") and no offer of transferable securities to the public (for the purposes of section 102B of FSMA) is being made in connection with the Plan. The Plan and the RSUs are exclusively available in the UK to bona fide employees and former employees and any other UK subsidiary of the Corporation.

-6-

United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant Agreement

Non-Qualified Stock Option ("Option") granted by United States Steel Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the "Corporation"), to the optionee identified below (the "Optionee").

Name of Optionee: PARTICIPANT NAME

Name of Employing Company (the company recognized by the Corporation on Date Hereof: as employing the Optionee)

Number of Shares Subject to the Option: **# SHARES**

Per-Share Exercise Price: USS GRANT PRICE

Date of Grant: **GRANT DATE**

By accepting the grant of this Option in any manner prescribed by the Corporation, the Optionee agrees that (1) this Option is granted under and governed by the terms and conditions of the United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, as the same may be amended from time to time (the "Plan") and the provisions of this Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant Agreement, including the Terms and Conditions contained herein and any special provisions for the Optionee's country of residence set out on Exhibit A (the "Agreement"), (2) he or she has reviewed the Plan and the Agreement in their entirety, and (3) he or she has no apportunity to obtain the advice of counsel prior to accepting this Grant and fully understands all provisions of the Plan and the Agreement. The Option may not be exercised unless it is accepted by the Optionee in the same manner prescribed by the Corporation.

United States Steel Corporation

By:___

Authorized Officer

Terms and Conditions

1. Grant: Subject to the terms and conditions of the Plan and this Agreement, the Corporation hereby grants to the Optionee an Option to purchase up to the Number of Shares Subject to Option for the Per-Share Exercise Price for each such Share, as set forth in the Agreement.

2. <u>Continuous Employment Requirement</u>: Subject to Sections 3 and 5, in order to vest in the Option, Optionee agrees that Optionee must continue as an active employee of the employing company identified above or the Corporation, its Subsidiaries or affiliates (each an "Employing Company") through the vesting dates set forth in Section 3, subject to the Employing Company's right to terminate the Optionee's employment at any time.

3. Vesting and Exercisability of Option: The Option will become vested and exercisable in annual installments over a three-year vesting period according to the following vesting schedule: 1/3 of the Number of Shares Subject to the Option shall vest upon the 1st anniversary of the Date of Grant, provided that the Optione is employed by an Employing Company on such anniversary; an additional 1/3 of the Number of Shares Subject to the Option will vest upon the 2nd anniversary of the Date of Grant of the Option, provided that the Optionee is employed by an Employing Company on such anniversary; and an additional 1/3 of the Number of Shares Subject to the Option will vest on the 3rd anniversary of the Date of Grant of the Option, provided that the Optionee is employed by an Employing Company on such anniversary; with all fractional Option shares, if any, vesting as whole Option shares upon the latest vesting date. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee, the unvested Number of Shares Subject to the Option will west upon the Optionee's death during employment or termination of employment by reason of Disability. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee, a prorated Number of Shares Subject to the Option scheduled to vest during the Vesting Year will vest on the vesting date for the current Vesting Year or, if earlier, immediately upon the Optionee's death, based upon the number of complete months worked during the Vesting Year in which the Optionee's termination of employment occurs by reason of Retirement or Termination with Consent. Except as provided in Section 6, the remaining unvested Options are forfeited immediately upon the Optionee's termination of employment without consideration or further action required of the Corporation or Employing Company.

Except as provided in Section 6, and notwithstanding any terms or conditions of the Plan or this Agreement to the contrary, in the event of the Optionee's termination of employment, regardless of the reason for such termination and whether or not later found to be invalid or in breach of employment laws in the jurisdiction where the Optionee is employed or the terms of the Optionee's employment agreement, if any: (1) the Optionee's right to vest in the Option, if any, will terminate effective as of the date that the Optionee is no longer actively employed by an Employing Company and will not be extended by any notice period (i.e., active employment would not include any contractual notice period or any period of "garden leave" or similar period mandated under employment laws in the jurisdiction where the Optionee is employed or the terms of the Optionee's employment agreement, if any - collectively referred to herein as any "Notice Period"); and (2) the period (if any) during which the Optionee may exercise the Option after such termination of employment will commence on the date the Optionee cases to be actively employed and will not be extended by any Notice Period; the Committee shall have the exclusive discretion to determine when the Optionee is no longer actively employed for purposes of the Option.

4. <u>Option Period</u>: Any portion of the Option that is vested and exercisable may be exercised in whole or in part from time to time during the Option Period. In the event of the exercise of the Option in whole or in part, the portion of the Option so exercised shall terminate. The Option Period shall begin on the Date of Grant and shall end, except as provided in Section 6 hereof, on the first to occur of: (a) ten years thereafter, (b) three years after the date upon which the Optionee ceases to be an employee of an Employing Company by reason of Retirement, death, Disability or Termination with Consent, (c) immediately

following termination of employment, if termination of employment is due to Termination for Cause, or (d) ninety (90) days following the date of termination of employment, if termination of employment is due to any reason other than Retirement, death, Disability, Termination with Consent or Termination for Cause.

5. Payment of Exercise Price: The exercise price shall be paid at the election of the Optionee, in cash, by delivering Shares owned by the Optionee, by withholding of shares to be acquired upon exercise of the Option, or by broker-assisted cashless exercise subject to the establishment of procedures with respect thereto by the Committee or its delegee as provided in Section 3.02 of the Plan; provided however that, if the Optionee is subject to taxation on the benefit received from the Option in a jurisdiction outside the United States, the Optionee may not pay the exercise price by surrendering shares of Common Stock that he or she already owns or attesting to the ownership of shares of Common Stock. The Corporation reserves the right to restrict the methods of payment of the exercise price if necessary to comply with applicable local law, as determined by the Corporation in its sole discretion. If the Fair Market Value of shares delivered or withheld in payment of the purchase price exceeds the purchase price, a certificate, or its equivalent, representing the whole number of excess shares together with a check, or its equivalent, representing the Fair Market Value of any excess partial Share shall be delivered to the Optionee. If at the time of exercise price in cash or property other than shares. If the Fair Market Value of shares delivered or withheld in payment of the purchase price is less than the purchase price, the difference shall be delivered by the Optionee in cash immediately upon notification of such difference.

6. Change in Control: If the Optionee's employment is terminated within two years following a Change in Control involuntarily (except for Cause) or, in the case of participants designated as executive management at the time of the Change in Control, voluntarily for Good Reason, each unvested Option will immediately vest and remain exercisable until the end of its term.

7. <u>Transferability</u>: During the Optionee's lifetime, to the extent the Option is exercisable, the Option may be exercised only by the Optionee or by the Optionee's guardian or legal representative. Upon the Optionee's death, the Option may be transferred by will or by the laws governing the descent and distribution of the Optionee's estate. Otherwise, the Option may not be transferred, pledged or encumbered and, in the event of an attempt to transfer, pledge or encumber it, the Committee may cancel it.

8. Adjustments and Recoupment: The number of shares subject to the Option and the Option exercise price per share shall be subject to adjustment as provided in Section 8 of the Plan. The Optionee shall be notified of such adjustment and such adjustment shall be binding upon the Corporation and the Optionee. This Grant shall be administered in accordance with, and is subject to, any recoupment policies and provisions prescribed by the Plan; including but not limited to Section 7.07 thereof and all clawback and recoupment policies or provisions required by law from time to time. In its sole discretion, the Committee shall have the authority to amend, waive or apply the terms of any clawback or recoupment policies or provisions, to the extent necessary or advisable to comply with applicable laws, as determined by the Committee.

9. <u>Compliance with Laws</u>: Notwithstanding anything in the Plan or this Agreement to the contrary, the obligations of the Corporation and the rights of the Optionee are subject to all applicable laws, rules and regulations including, without limitation, the Exchange Act, the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended, the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and any other applicable U.S. and foreign laws. No shares of Common Stock will be issued or delivered to the Optionee under the Plan unless and until there has been compliance with such applicable laws.

10. Interpretation and Amendments: The Option shall be administered and exercised in accordance with the Plan, as the same may be amended by the Committee from time to time, provided that no amendment may, without the consent of the Optionee, affect the rights of the Optionee under this Option in a materially adverse manner. For purposes of the foregoing sentence, an amendment that affects the tax treatment of the Option or that is necessary to comply with securities or other laws applicable to the issuance of shares of Common Stock shall not be considered as affecting the Optionee's rights in a materially adverse manner. All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning assigned to such terms in the Plan.

11. <u>Nature of the Grant</u>: Neither the grant of the Option nor anything else contained in this Agreement shall be deemed to limit or restrict the right of the Employing Company to terminate the Optionee's employment at any time, for any reason, with or without cause. Further, by accepting this Option, the Optionee acknowledges that:

- a) the Plan is established voluntarily by the Corporation, it is discretionary in nature and may be modified, amended, suspended or terminated by the Corporation at any time, to the extent permitted by its terms;
- b) the grant of the Option is voluntary and occasional and does not create any contractual or other right to receive future grants of options, or benefits in lieu of options, even if options have been granted in the past;
- c) all decisions with respect to future option grants, if any, will be at the sole discretion of the Committee or its delegee, as applicable;
- d) the Optionee is voluntarily participating in the Plan;
- the Option and the shares of Common Stock subject to the Option are extraordinary items which do not constitute compensation of any kind for services of any kind rendered to the Corporation or to the Employing Company, and which are outside the scope of the Optionee's employment contract, if any;
- f) the Option and the shares of Common Stock subject to the Option are not part of normal or expected compensation for purposes of calculating any severance, resignation, termination, dismissal, redundancy, end-of-service payments, bonuses, long-service awards, pension or retirement benefits or similar payments and in no event should be considered as compensation for, or relating in any way to, past services for the Corporation or the Employing Company or any Subsidiary or affiliate of the Corporation;
- g) the Option and the shares of Common Stock subject to the Option are not intended to replace any pension rights or
- compensation;
- the grant of the Option will not be interpreted to form an employment contract or relationship with the Corporation, the Employing Company or any Subsidiary or affiliate of the Corporation;
- the future value of the shares of Common Stock underlying the Option is unknown, indeterminable and cannot be predicted with certainty; if the underlying shares do not increase in value, the
 Option will have no value. If Optionee exercises the Option and obtains shares of Common Stock, the value of the shares acquired upon exercise may increase or decrease in value, even below
 the exercise price;
- j) no claim or entitlement to compensation or damages arises from forfeiture of the Option resulting from termination of the Optionee's employment by the Corporation or the Employing Company (for any reason whether or not in breach of applicable labor laws or the terms of the Optionee's employment agreement, if any), and in consideration of the grant of the Option to which the Optionee is not otherwise entitled, the Optionee irrevocably agrees never to institute any claim against the Corporation or the Employing Company, waives his or her ability, if any, to bring any such claim, and releases the Corporation and the Employing Company from any such claim; if, notwithstanding the foregoing, any such

-2-

claim is allowed by a court of competent jurisdiction, then, by participating in the Plan, the Optionee shall be deemed irrevocably to have agreed not to pursue such claim and agreed to execute any and all documents necessary to request dismissal or withdrawal of such claim;

- k) it is the Optionee's sole responsibility to investigate and comply with any applicable exchange control laws in connection with the issuance and delivery of shares of Common Stock pursuant to the exercise of the Option;
- the Corporation and the Employing Company are not providing any tax, legal or financial advice, nor are the Corporation or the Employing Company making any recommendations regarding the Optionee's participation in the Plan or the Optionee's purchase or sale of the shares of Common Stock underlying the Option;
- m) the Optionee is hereby advised to consult with his or her own personal tax, legal and financial advisors regarding his or her participation in the Plan before taking any action related to the Plan;
 n) unless otherwise provided in the Plan or by the Corporation in its discretion, the Option and the benefits evidenced by this Agreement do not create any entitlement to have the Option or any such benefits transferred to, or assumed by, another company nor to be exchanged, cashed out or substituted for, in connection with any corporate transaction affecting the shares of the
- Corporation; and
 the following provisions apply only if the Optionee is providing services outside the United States:
 - (i) the Option and the shares of Common Stock subject to the Option are not part of normal or expected compensation or salary for any purpose; and
 - (ii) the Optionee acknowledges and agrees that neither the Corporation nor the Employing Company shall be liable for any foreign exchange rate fluctuation between the local currency and the United States Dollar that may affect the value of the Option or of any amounts due to the Optionee pursuant to the exercise of the Option or the subsequent sale of any shares of Common Stock acquired upon exercise.

12. <u>Withholding Taxes</u>: The Optionee acknowledges that, regardless of any action taken by the Corporation or the Employing Company, the ultimate liability for any or all income tax, social security, payroll tax, payment on account or other tax-related withholding or liability in connection with any aspect of the Option, including the grant, vesting, or exercise of the Option or the subsequent sale of shares of Common Stock or receipt of dividends ("Tax-Related Items") is and remains his or her responsibility and may exceed the amount withheld by the Corporation or the Employing Company. Furthermore, the Optionee acknowledges that the Corporation and/or the Employing Company (a) make no representations or undertakings regarding the treatment of any Tax-Related Items; and (b) do not commit to and are under no obligation to structure the terms of the grant of the Option or any aspect of the Optionee's participation in the Plan to reduce or eliminate his or her liability for Tax-Related Items or to achieve any particular tax result. Further, if the Optionee has become subject to Tax-Related Items in more than one jurisdiction between the grant date and the date of any relevant taxable event, the Optionee acknowledges that the Corporation and/or the Employing Company (or former Employing Company, as applicable) may be required to withhold or account for Tax-Related Items in more than one jurisdiction.

Prior to the relevant taxable event, the Optionee shall pay or make adequate arrangements satisfactory to the Corporation and/or the Employing Company to satisfy all Tax-Related Items. In this regard, the Corporation may notify the Optionee of the amount of Tax-Related Items, if any, required under U.S. federal and, where applicable, state and local or non-U.S. law, and in which case, the Optionee shall, forthwith upon the receipt of such notice, remit the required amount to the Corporation in cash or in accordance with such regulations as the Committee may prescribe. Alternatively, the Optionee authorizes the Corporation and/or the Employing Company, or their respective agents, at their discretion, to satisfy the obligations with regard to all applicable Tax-Related Items by one or a combination of the following methods: (1) withholding from Optionee's wages or other cash compensation paid to Optionee by the Corporation and/or the Employing Company; (2) withholding from proceeds of the sale of shares issued upon exercise of the Option either through a voluntary sale or through a mandatory sale arranged by the Corporation (on Optionee's behalf pursuant to this authorization) through such means as the Corporation may determine in its sole discretion (whether through a broker or otherwise); or (3) withholding in shares to be issued upon exercise of the Option. If the Corporation gives the Optionee the power to choose the withholding method, and the Optionee does not make a choice, then the Corporation will at its discretion withhold from the proceeds of the sale of shares issued upon exercise. Optione the rest.

To avoid negative accounting treatment, the Corporation may withhold or account for Tax-Related Items by considering applicable minimum statutory withholding amounts or other applicable withholding rates. If the Corporation withholds at a rate other than the minimum statutory rate, such as the maximum withholding rate, then the refund of any over-withheld amounts shall be paid in cash and the Optionee will have no entitlement to the Common Stock equivalent. If the Tax-Related Items are satisfied by withholding in shares issuable upon exercise of the Option, for tax purposes, the Optionee is deemed to have been issued the full number of shares of Common Stock subject to the exercised Option, notwithstanding that a number of the shares are held back solely for the purpose of paying the Tax-Related Items. Finally, the Optionee shall pay to the Corporation or the Employing Company any amount of Tax-Related Items that the Corporation or the Employing Common Stock or proceeds from the sale of shares of Common Stock shall be delivered to Optionee, notwithstanding the exercise thereof, unless and until the Optionee shall have satisfied any obligation for Tax-Related Items with respect thereto.

13. <u>Data Privacy</u>: The Optionee hereby explicitly and unambiguously consents to the collection, use and transfer, in electronic or other form, of his or her personal data as described in this document by and among, as applicable, any Employing Company and the Corporation for the exclusive purpose of implementing, administering and managing the Optionee's participation in the Plan.

The Optionee understands that the Employing Company and the Corporation hold certain personal information about the Optionee, including, but not limited to, Optionee's name, home address and telephone number, date of birth, social insurance number or other identification number, salary, nationality, job title, any shares or directorships held in the Corporation, details of all options or any other entitlement to shares awarded, canceled, vested, unvested or outstanding in Optionee's favor, as the Employing Company and/or the Corporation deems necessary for the purpose of implementing, administering and managing the Plan ("Data"). The Optionee acknowledges and understands that Data may be transferred to any broker as designated by the Corporation and any third parties assisting in the implementation, administration and management of the Plan, that these recipients may be located in the Optionee's country or elsewhere (and outside the European Economic Area), and that the recipient's country may have different, including less stringent, data privacy laws and protections than the Optionee's country. The Optionee understands that if he or she resides outside the United States, he or she may request a list with the names and addresses of any potential recipients of the Data by contacting his or her local human resources representative. The Optionee authorizes the recipients to receive, posses, use, retain and transfer the Data, in electronic or other form, for the purposes of implementing, administering and managing the Optionee's participation in the Plan, including any requisite transfer of such Data as may be ick acquired upon exercise of the Optione Stock acquired upon exercise of the Optione understands that Data will be held only as long as is necessary to implement, administer and manage the Optionee's participation in the Plan. The Optionee



understands that if he or she resides outside the United States, he or she may, at any time, view Data, request additional information about the storage and processing of Data, require any necessary amendments to Data or refuse or withdraw the consents herein, in any case without cost, by contacting in writing his or her local human resources representative. The Optionee further understands that he or she is providing the consents herein on a purely voluntary basis. If the Optionee does not consent or later seeks to revoke consent, the Optionee's employment status or service and career with the Employing Company will not be adversely affected. The Optionee understands, however, that refusing or withdrawing his or her consent may affect his or her ability to realize benefits from the Option or otherwise participate in the Plan. For more information on the consequences of his or her refusal to consent or withdrawal of consent, the Optionee understands that he or she may contact his or her local human resources representative.

14. Electronic Delivery: The Corporation may, in its sole discretion, decide to deliver any documents related to current or future participation in the Plan or request the Optionee's consent to participate in the Plan by electronic means. The Optionee hereby consents to receive such documents by electronic delivery and agrees to participate in the Plan through any on-line or electronic system established and maintained by the Corporation or another third party designated by the Corporation. Such means of electronic delivery may include but do not necessarily include the delivery of a link to a Corporation intranet or the Internet site of a third party involved in administering the Plan, the delivery of the document via e-mail or such other means of electronic delivery specified by the Corporation. The Optionee consents to the electronic delivery of the Plan documents and the Agreement. The Optionee acknowledges that he or she may receive from the Corporation a paper copy of any documents if the attempted electronic delivery of such documents fails. Similarly, the Optionee understands that the Optionee must provide the Corporation or any change the electronic mail address to which such documents are to be delivered (if the Optionee has provided an electronic mail address) at any time by notifying the Corporation of such revoked consent or revised e-mail address by telephone, postal service or electronic delivery of documents.

15. Language: If the Optionee has received this Agreement or any other document related to the Plan translated into a language other than English and if the meaning of the translated version is different than the English version, the English version will control.

16. <u>Severability</u>: In the event that any provision in this Agreement is held invalid or unenforceable, such provision will be severable from, and such invalidity or unenforceability will not be construed to have any effect on, the remaining provisions of this Agreement.

17. <u>Governing Law and Venue</u>: This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, without regard to the conflicts of laws thereof. For purposes of litigating any dispute that arises under this grant or this Agreement, the parties hereby submit to and consent to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and agree that such litigation shall be conducted in the courts of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, or the federal courts for the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania, where this grant is made and/or to be performed.

18. Section 409A: Notwithstanding any other provision of the Plan or this Agreement, the Plan and this Agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with, and incorporate the terms and conditions required by, Section 409A of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (together with any Department of Treasury regulations and other interpretive guidance issued thereunder, including without limitation any such regulations or other guidance that may be issued after the date hereof, "Section 409A"). The Corporation reserves the right, to the extent the Corporation deems necessary or advisable in its sole discretion, to unilaterally amend or modify the Plan or this Agreement or adopt other policies and procedures (including amendments, policies and procedures with retroactive effect), or take any other actions, as the Committee determines are necessary or appropriate to ensure that this Option qualifies for exemption from, or complies with the requirements of, Section 409A; provided, however, that the Corporation makes no representation that the Option will be exempt from, or will comply with, Section 409A, and makes no undertakings to preclude Section 409A of the Code from applying to the Option or to ensure that it complies with Section 409A.

19. <u>Exhibit A</u>: Notwithstanding any provisions in this Agreement, the Option shall be subject to any special terms and conditions set forth in Exhibit A to this Agreement for the Optionee's country. Moreover, if the Optionee relocates to one of the countries included in Exhibit A, the special terms and conditions for such country will apply to the Optionee, to the extent the Corporation determines that the application of such terms and conditions is necessary or advisable in order to comply with local law. Exhibit A constitutes part of this Agreement.

20. <u>Insider Trading Restrictions/Market Abuse Laws</u>: The Optionee acknowledges that, depending on the Optionee's country of residence, the Optionee may be subject to insider trading restrictions and/or market abuse laws, which may affect the Optionee's ability to acquire or sell shares of Common Stock or rights to shares of Common Stock (e.g., Options) under the Plan during such times as the Optionee is considered to have "inside information" regarding the Corporation (as defined by any applicable laws in the Optionee's country). Any restrictions under these laws or regulations are separate from and in addition to any restrictions that may be imposed under any applicable insider trading policy maintained by the Corporation. The Optionee acknowledges that it is the Optionee's responsibility to comply with any applicable restrictions, and the Optionee is advised to speak to his or her personal advisor on this matter.

21. <u>Imposition of Other Requirements</u>: The Corporation reserves the right to impose other requirements on the Optionee's participation in the Plan, on the Option and on any shares of Common Stock acquired under the Plan, to the extent the Corporation determines it is necessary or advisable in order to comply with local law, and to require the Optionee to sign any additional agreements or undertakings that may be necessary to accomplish the foregoing.

22. Headings: Headings of paragraphs and sections used in this Agreement are for convenience only and are not part of this Agreement, and must not be used in construing it.

23. <u>Waiver</u>: The Optionee acknowledges that a waiver by the Corporation of breach of any provision of this Agreement shall not operate or be construed as a waiver of any other provision of this Agreement, or of any subsequent breach by the Optionee.

24. Definitions: In addition to the capitalized terms defined in the Plan, the following terms as used herein shall have the following meanings when used with initial capital letters:

a) "Retirement" shall mean the Optionee's termination of employment after having satisfied the age, service and/or other requirements necessary to commence an immediate pension under either:
 (i) the applicable defined benefit pension plan for the Optionee's home country, regardless of whether the Optionee is a participant in such pension plan, or (ii) in the case of a home country for which there is no applicable defined



benefit plan, the applicable local law or regulation; provided, however, such term does not include, unless the Committee consents with knowledge of the specific facts, retirement under circumstances in which the Optionee accepts employment with a company that owns, or is owned by, a business that competes with the Corporation, or its Subsidiaries or affiliates. Further, to the extent necessary under applicable local law.

- b) "Termination" shall mean the applicable employee's termination of employment. For purposes of this Agreement, (i) for U.S. taxpayers, Termination and words of similar effect shall be construed consistent with a "separation from service" under Section 409A of the Code to the extent required by Section 409A of the Code, and (ii) for non-U.S. taxpayers, Termination and words of similar effect shall mean that the Optionee is no longer actively employed by an Employing Company, without regard to any notice period (i.e., active employment would not include any contractual notice period or any period of "garden leave" or similar period mandated under employment laws in the jurisdiction where the Optionee is employed or the terms of the Optionee's employment any.
- c) "Termination with Consent" shall mean Termination with the consent of the Committee. Consent shall be deemed to be given if the employee incurs a break in continuous service under circumstances which would qualify the Participant for benefits under a severance plan of the Corporation.
- d) "Vesting Year" shall mean, with respect to the period prior to the third anniversary of the Date of Grant, each one-year period commencing on the Date of Grant or the first or second anniversary thereof, as applicable, and ending on the next following anniversary of the Date of Grant.

EXHIBIT A

Additional Terms and Conditions of the United States Steel Corporation 2016 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant Agreement

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

This Exhibit A includes additional terms and conditions that govern the Option granted to the Optionee under the Plan if he or she works or resides in one of the countries listed below. If the Optionee is a citizen or resident of a country other than that in which the Optionee is currently working or transfers employment to another country after the Option is granted, the Corporation shall, in its discretion, determine to what extent the terms and conditions contained herein shall be applicable to the Optionee. Certain capitalized terms used but not defined in this Exhibit A have the meanings set forth in the Plan and/or the Agreement.

NOTIFICATIONS

This Exhibit A also includes information regarding exchange controls and certain other issues of which the Optionee should be aware with respect to participation in the Plan. The information is based on the laws in effect in the applicable countries as of February 2016. Such laws are often complex and change frequently. As a result, the Corporation strongly recommends that the Optionee not rely on the information in this Exhibit A as the only source of information relating to the consequences of his or her participation in the Plan because the information may be out of date at the time that the Optionee exercises the Option or sells shares of Common Stock acquired under the Plan.

In addition, the information contained herein is general in nature and may not apply to the Optionee's particular situation, and the Corporation is not in a position to assure the Optionee of a particular result. Accordingly, the Optionee is advised to seek appropriate professional advice as to how the relevant laws in his or her country may apply to the Optionee's situation.

Finally, if the Optionee is a citizen or resident of a country other than that in which the Optionee is currently working or transfers employment to another country after the Option is granted, the information contained herein may not be applicable.

SLOVAK REPUBLIC

NOTIFICATIONS

Foreign Assets Reporting Information. If the Optionee permanently resides in the Slovak Republic and, apart from being employed, carries on business activities as an independent entrepreneur (in Slovakian, podnikatel), the Optionee will be obligated to report his or her foreign assets (including any foreign securities such as shares of Common Stock acquired under the Plan) to the National Bank of Slovakia if the value of the foreign assets exceeds \notin 2,000,000. These reports must be submitted on a monthly basis by the 15th day of the respective calendar month, as well as on a quarterly basis by the 15th day of the calendar month following the respective calendar quarter, using notification form DEV (NBS) 1-12, which may be found at the National Bank of Slovakia's website at <u>www.nbs.sk</u>.

Furthermore, if the above preconditions are met (i.e. permanent residence in the Slovak Republic and entrepreneurial activities in addition to the employment), the Optionee will be obliged to report certain additional information under Section 34b of Act No. 566/1992 Coll. on National Bank of Slovakia as amended. This information is mostly of general nature and contains personal identification data of the Optionee - place and date of birth, birth certificate number, academic degree, etc., as well as telephone and fax number and e-mail address of the Optionee, if any.

Securities Disclaimer. The grant of the Option is exempt from the requirement to publish a prospectus under the EU Prospectus Directive as implemented in the Slovak Republic.



CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, Mario Longhi, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of United States Steel Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

July 27, 2016

/s/ Mario Longhi

Mario Longhi President and Chief Executive Officer

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, David B. Burritt, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of United States Steel Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to
 ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those
 entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

July 27, 2016

/s/ David B. Burritt

David B. Burritt Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO <u>18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350</u>

I, Mario Longhi, President and Chief Executive Officer of United States Steel Corporation, certify that:

- (1) The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of United States Steel Corporation for the period ending June 30, 2016, fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the foregoing report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of United States Steel Corporation.

/s/ Mario Longhi

Mario Longhi President and Chief Executive Officer

July 27, 2016

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 has been provided to United States Steel Corporation and will be retained by United States Steel Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO <u>18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350</u>

I, David B. Burritt, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United States Steel Corporation, certify that:

- (1) The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of United States Steel Corporation for the period ending June 30, 2016, fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the foregoing report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of United States Steel Corporation.

/s/ David B. Burritt

David B. Burritt Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

July 27, 2016

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 has been provided to United States Steel Corporation and will be retained by United States Steel Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

United States Steel Corporation Mine Safety Disclosure (Unaudited)

For the quarter ended June 30, 2016

| Mine (Federal Mine Safety and | Total # of Significant & Substantial violations under | Total # of orders under | Total # of unwarrantable failure citations and orders under | Total # of violations under §110(b) | Total # of orders under | Total dollar value of proposed assessments from | Total # of mining related | Received Notice of Pattern of Violations under §104(e) ^(a) | Received Notice of Potential to have Pattern under §104(e) ^(a) | Total # of Legal Actions Pending with the Mine Safety and Health Review Commission as of Last Day of | Legal Actions Initiated During | Legal Actions Resolved During |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Health Administration (MSHA) ID) | §104(a) ^(a) | §104(b) ^(a) | §104(d) ^(a) | (2) ^(a) | §107(a) ^(a) | MSHA | fatalities | (yes/no)? | (yes/no)? | Period (b) | Period | Period |
| Mt. Iron (2100820, 2100282) | 12 | _ | _ | _ | _ | \$132,767 | _ | no | no | 154 | 130 | 80 |
| Keewatin (2103352) | _ | _ | — | _ | _ | _ | _ | no | no | 14 | 8 | 24 |

(a) References to Section numbers are to sections of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

 Includes all legal actions pending before the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission, together with the Administrative Law Judges thereof, for each of our iron ore operations. These actions may have been initiated in prior quarters. All of the legal actions were initiated by us to contest citations, orders or proposed assessments issued by the Federal Mine Safety and Health administration, and if we are successful, may result in the reduction or dismissal of those citations, orders or assessments. As of the last day of the period, all 168 legal actions were to contest citations and proposed assessments.